



MSDE Public Website of State Performance Plan Results

State Results Early Intervention Services

Maryland: Indicator 9

General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.

Results

	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11
State Baseline:	62%	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Target:	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
State Results:	-	98%	100.00% Target Met	100% Target Met	-	-	-

Narrative Description of Indicator

FFY 2006 findings of non-compliance corrected in FFY 2006 or in FFY 2007 (within 12 months of LITP notification) include findings identified through State-level monitoring and complaint investigations. The total number of findings reported includes findings identified from 7/1/06 to 6/30/07. The number of corrected findings reported includes 32 findings that were corrected/verified beyond the reporting period, but within the twelve-month period following notification.

- For Indicator 7, there were two reporting periods - 7/1/06 to 12/31/06 and 1/1/07 to 6/30/07, and there were two notification dates - 3/07 and 12/07.
- For Indicator 1 (Projected Service Initiation Dates), there was one data period - 7/1/06 to 6/30/07, and one notification date - 12/07.
- For sub-Indicators 8A, 8B and 8C, there was one data period - 7/1/06 to 6/30/07 and one notification date - 3/07.

Summary of Correction of Findings:

Indicator #1 - Timely Service Delivery

Since database reports were not yet available to LITPs to track projected service initiation dates, identification and correction of non-compliance was documented by reviewing projected service initiation date reports generated by MSDE's database developer, which were then verified by LITPs, and validated by MSDE.

Six of the seven findings for Indicator #1 in FFY 2006 were identified through the State data system; one of the findings was identified through State-level complaint investigation. Of the seven findings for Indicator 1:

- 4 were corrected within 8 months
- 1 (complaint finding) was corrected within 4 months. Specifically, MSDE found a delay in the initiation of Occupational Therapy services and that appropriate services were offered to compensate the child for the delay.
- 2 were corrected prior to notification

Indicator #7 - 45-Day Timeline

Of the 22 findings of non-compliance for Indicator #7 in FFY 2006:

- 15 were corrected prior to notification
- 2 were corrected in 1 month
- 1 was corrected in 3 months
- 2 were corrected in 4 months

- 1 was corrected in 6 months
- 1 was corrected in 7 months

Indicator #8A - Transition Steps and Services

Of the 4 findings of non-compliance for Indicator #8A in FFY 2006:

- 4 were corrected in the 12-mo period

Indicator #8B - Notification to the LEA

Of the 3 findings of non-compliance for Indicator #8B in FFY 2006:

- 3 were corrected in 4 months

Indicator #8C - Timely Transition Planning Meetings

Of the 16 findings of non-compliance for Indicator #8C in FFY 2006:

- 8 were corrected in 4 months
- 2 were corrected in 5 months
- 3 was corrected in 6 months
- 1 was corrected in 7 months
- 2 were corrected in 10 months

Other Areas of Non-compliance: Service is provided in excess of what is documented on the IFSP: In FFY 2006, one finding, not included in the above OSEP indicators, was identified through a complaint process. Specifically, MSDE found that the number of Special Instruction services included in the IFSP were in excess of the consented amount. The finding was corrected in 4 months.

In FFY 2007, the percentage of correction was 100%, primarily because of State and local efforts focusing on data tracking, analysis, targeted improvement activities, and CAPs. LITPs used local indicator data to identify the reasons for noncompliance, implemented activities related to the reasons, tracked the data regularly to determine progress, and reported the results to MSDE in required corrective action and improvement plan reports.