

# MSDE Public Website of State Performance Plan Results

#### State ResultsEarly Intervention Services Maryland: Indicator 9

General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.

## Results

	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13
State Baseline:	62%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Target:	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
State Results:	-	<b>98</b> %	100.00% Target Met	100% Target Met	100.00% Target Met	100.00% Target Met	-	-	-

## Narrative Description of Indicator

FFY 2008 findings of non-compliance corrected in FFY 2008 or in FFY 2009 (within 12 months of

LITP notification) include findings identified through State-level monitoring and complaint investigations. The total numb findings reported includes findings identified from 7/1/08 to 6/30/09. There were 79 findings of noncompliance in FFY 200 findings of noncompliance were identified through the State data system and onsite record reviews.

- For Indicators 1, 7, 8B, and 8C, there were two reporting periods 7/1/08 to 12/31/08 and 1/1/09 to 6/30/09, there were two notification dates 3/09 and 10/09.
- For Indicators 2, 5, and 6, there were two reporting snapshot dates 10/26/08 and 6/30/09, and there were tw notification dates 3/09 and 10/09.
- For Indicator 8A, there was one reporting period 7/1/08 to 6/30/09, and there was one notification date 10/

### Summary of Correction of Findings:

Indicator #1 - Timely Service Delivery (Details of Correction are in Indicator #1)

Of the 19 systemic (Prong 2) findings of noncompliance for Indicator #1 in FFY 2008:

- All 19 were corrected prior to notification
  - o 13 were corrected in the first 2-week period
  - o 4 were corrected in the second 2-week period
  - o 1 was corrected in the third 2-week period
  - o 1 was corrected in the fourth 2-week period

Of the 228 individual level (Prong 1) incidences of noncompliance in FFY 2009:

Although late, services were eventually provided for all 228 children whose services were not provided within Maryland's (timeline.

Indicator #7 - 45-Day Timeline (Details of Correction are in Indicator #7)

Of the 20 systemic level (Prong 2) findings of noncompliance for Indicator #7 in FFY 2008:

- All 20 were corrected prior to notification
  - o 11 were corrected in the first 2-week period
  - o 8 were corrected in the second 2-week period
  - o 1 was corrected in the third 2-week period

Of the 66 individual level (Prong 1) incidences of noncompliance in FFY 2009: Although late, evaluation, assessments, and IFSPs not provided within the 45-day timeline were completed for all 66 child

Indicator #8A - Transition Steps and Services (Details of Correction are in Indicator #8A)

Of the 6 systemic level (Prong 2) findings of noncompliance for Indicator #8A in FFY 2008:

• 6 were corrected prior to notification (within the first 2-week period)

For FFY 2009, transition steps and services for the 4 individual level (Prong 1) incidences of noncompliance could not be consistent since these children were no longer located within the jurisdiction of the EIS programs.

Indicator #8B - Notification to the LEA (Details of Correction are in Indicator #8B)

Of the 9 systemic level (Prong 2) findings of noncompliance for Indicator #8B in FFY 2008:

• 9 were corrected prior to notification (within the first 2-week period)

For FFY 2009, correction of noncompliance at the individual level (Prong 1) for the 7 incidences of noncompliance could necessary because these children were no longer located within the jurisdiction of the EIS programs.

Indicator #8C - Timely Transition Planning Meetings (Details of Correction are in Indicator #8C)

Of the 24 systemic level (Prong 2) findings of noncompliance for Indicator #8C in FFY 2008:

• 24 were corrected prior to notification (within the first 2-week period)

Of the individual level (Prong 1) incidences of noncompliance in FFY 2009:

Although late, Transition Planning Meetings were eventually held for 12 of the children whose meetings were not held in a manner. Correction of noncompliance at the individual level could not occur for the other 1 child because this child no lo resided within the jurisdiction of the EIS programs.

Other Areas of Noncompliance - Failure to Provide Services in Accordance with the IFSP

During FFY2008, the State identified 1 systemic level (Prong 2) incidence of noncompliance as a result of a formal complai in one local jurisdiction. The program corrected this incidence of noncompliance within 1 year of notification.

This particular complaint also resulted in 21 individual level (Prong 1) incidences of noncompliance for children who were situated. Of the 21 individual incidences of noncompliance identified as a result of this complaint, all 21 were corrected (compensatory services were provided) within 1 year of notification.