

MSDE Public Website of State Performance Plan Results

State Results Early Intervention Services

Maryland: Indicator 9

General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.

Results

	2004/05	2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13
State Baseline:	62%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
State Target:	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
State Results:	-	98%	100.00% Target Met	100% Target Met	100.00% Target Met	100.00% Target Met	100% Target Met	-	-

Narrative Description of Indicator

FFY 2009 findings of non-compliance corrected in FFY 2009 or in FFY 2010 (within 12 months of LITP notification) include findings identified through State-level monitoring and complaint investigations. The total number of findings reported includes findings identified from 7/1/09 to 6/30/10. There were 55 findings of noncompliance in FFY 2009. All findings of noncompliance were identified through the State data system and onsite record reviews.

- For Indicators 1, 7, 8B, and 8C, there were two reporting periods – 7/1/09 to 12/31/09 and 1/1/10 to 6/30/10, and there were two notification dates – 3/10 and 10/10.
- For Indicators 2, 5, and 6, there were two reporting snapshot dates – 10/26/09 and 6/30/10, and there were two notification dates – 3/10 and 10/10.
- For Indicator 8A, there was one reporting period – 7/1/09 to 6/30/10, and there was one notification date – 10/10.

Summary of Correction of Findings:

Indicator #1 - Timely Service Delivery (Details of Correction are in Indicator #1)

Of the 14 systemic (Prong 2) findings of noncompliance for Indicator #1 in FFY 2009:

- All 14 were corrected prior to notification
 - 12 were corrected in the first 2-week period
 - 1 was corrected in the third 2-week period
 - 1 was corrected in the fourth 2-week period

Of the 228 individual level (Prong 1) incidences of noncompliance in FFY 2009:

Although late, the services were provided for all 228 children after Maryland’s 30-day timeline.

Indicator #7 – 45-Day Timeline (Details of Correction are in Indicator #7)

Of the 15 systemic level (Prong 2) findings of noncompliance for Indicator #7 in FFY 2009:

- All 15 were corrected prior to notification
 - 8 were corrected in the first 2-week period
 - 3 were corrected in the second 2-week period
 - 2 were corrected in the fourth 2-week period

- 1 was corrected in the seventh 2-week period
- 1 was corrected after notification in the ninth 2-week period

Of the 66 individual level (Prong 1) incidences of noncompliance in FFY 2009:

Although late, evaluation, assessments, and IFSPs not provided within the 45-day timeline were completed for all 66 children.

Indicator #8A – Transition Steps and Services (Details of Correction are in Indicator #8A)

Of the 5 systemic level (Prong 2) findings of noncompliance for Indicator #8A in FFY 2009:

- 5 were corrected prior to notification (within the first 2-week period)

For FFY 2009, transition steps and services for the 4 individual level (Prong 1) incidences of noncompliance could not be corrected, since these children were no longer located within the jurisdiction of the EIS programs.

Indicator #8B – Notification to the LEA (Details of Correction are in Indicator #8B)

Of the 3 systemic level (Prong 2) findings of noncompliance for Indicator #8B in FFY 2009:

- 3 were corrected prior to notification
 - 1 was corrected in the first 2-week period
 - 1 was corrected in the second 2-week period
 - 1 was corrected in the third 2-week period

For FFY 2009, correction of noncompliance at the individual level (Prong 1) for the 7 incidences of noncompliance could not occur because these children were no longer located within the jurisdiction of the EIS programs.

Indicator #8C – Timely Transition Planning Meetings (Details of Correction are in Indicator #8C)

Of the 18 systemic level (Prong 2) findings of noncompliance for Indicator #8C in FFY 2009:

- 18 were corrected prior to notification
 - 12 were corrected in the first 2-week period
 - 3 were corrected in the second 2-week period
 - 1 was corrected in the third 2-week period
 - 1 was corrected in the fifth 2-week period
 - 1 was corrected in the seventh 2-week period

Of the individual level (Prong 1) incidences of noncompliance in FFY 2009:

Although late, Transition Planning Meetings were eventually held for 12 of the children whose meetings were not held in a timely manner. Correction of noncompliance at the individual level could not occur for 1 child because this child no longer resided within the jurisdiction of the EIS programs.

Other Areas of Noncompliance – During FFY 2009, the State had no other systemic level and no other individual level incidences of noncompliance.