

Constatulations Constatulations 200 West Baltimore Street • Baltimore, MD 21201 • 410-767-0100 • 410-333-6442 TTY/TDD • marylandpublicschools.org

March 8, 2018

Dr. David Cox Superintendent Allegany County Public Schools P. O. Box 1724 Cumberland, MD 21502

Dear Dr. Cox:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]¹. The MSDE, DSE/EIS uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each local school system in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2016 Part B determinations, the Allegany County Public Schools has achieved the determination status of "Meets Requirements."

Attached please find supporting documentation:

- 1. FFY 2016 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a FFY 2017 local determination status on page two - please note the addition of fiscal accountability. State complaints/due process hearings, and preschool least restrictive environment data):
- 2. FFY 2016 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a six-year graduated results driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year); and
- 3. FFY 2016 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21).

The MSDE, DSE/EIS is committed to maintaining a balanced focus on results and compliance to narrow the school readiness and achievement gaps. Please note in FFY 2019 the determination status for each local school system will reflect the July 1, 2018 proposed revision to Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) regarding the identification of significant disproportionality. Maryland's proposed revised definition of significant disproportionality, as required by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), includes the three to five years of age population. Beginning in 2016, the DSE/EIS has provided guidance, technical assistance, and professional learning opportunities to support local school systems in the implementation of revised data collection processes and review of local policies, procedures, and practices. Please note that FFY 2016-17 and FFY 2017-18 data capturing suspension/expulsion (in and out of school), identification by race/ethnicity, and identification by race/ethnicity and disability will be used for the FFY 2019 local determination status.

¹ USDE, OSEP uses state National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) participation and performance data for students with disabilities to assign Maryland's special education determination status (see attached "NAEP"). DSE/EIS will be analyzing local testing protocols and decisions for the NAEP assessment.

Dr. David Cox March 8, 2018 Page Two

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the DSE/EIS five-year strategic plan provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon a comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), the Allegany County Public Schools has been assigned the "Universal" tier for the delivery of the DSE/EIS technical assistance model for results.

Local school systems are strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DSE/EIS will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to equity and efficiency for excellence. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of the Allegany County Public Schools to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Marcella E. Franczkowski, M.S.
Assistant State Superintendent
Division of Special Education/
Early Intervention Services

MEF/AM/cc

Attachments

c: Karen B. Salmon Carol A. Williamson Debra Metheny

Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 Determination Overview Part C & Part B July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is focused on state accountability for Part C and Part B Indicators defined in the Office of Special Education's (OSEP) State Performance Plan. Annually, the OSEP evaluates each state's performance on these Indicators, and, as a result, assigns each state to one of four levels of determination: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) anticipates receiving its current federal determination status for Part C and Part B in June 2018.

To receive a State determination, the IDEA requires states to evaluate each Local Lead Agency (LLA) for the IDEA Part C early intervention services, and each Local School System (LSS) for the IDEA Part B special education performance on the established Indicators. As a result of that evaluation, the state is to assign each LLA and LSS to one of four levels of determination status: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." In making determinations, the OSEP requires states to consider:

- Performance on Results and Compliance Indicators;
- Whether data submitted by public agencies are valid, reliable (accurate), and timely;
- Uncorrected noncompliance from other sources;
- Any audit findings; and
- Other information.

The MSDE, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS), uses a results-based rubric on identified indicators to rate the performance for each LLA and LSS in meeting the State's targets as defined by the State Performance Plan for Part C and Part B. The status and results for each LLA and LSS will be posted, as required, on the MSDE Public Website of State Performance Plan Results at http://mdideareport.org no later than June 1, 2018. For the FFY 2016 determinations, the MSDE, DSE/EIS has included additional indicators highlighted below in blue.

The following **Part C** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

Results	Compliance
2. Primary Service Setting	1. Timely Services
3A. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Emotional Skills	7. 45 Day Timeline
3B. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Knowledge and Skills	8A. Transition - Transition Outcomes
3C. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Use of appropriate behaviors	8B. Transition - Notification to LSS
4A. Family Survey-Know their rights	8C. Transition - Timely Planning Meeting
4B. Family Survey-Effectively communicate their	
children's needs	
4C. Family Survey-Help their children develop and learn	
5. Child Find 0-1	
6. Child Find 0-3	
Correction of Noncompliance	Data and Submission of Reports
Timely Correction of Noncompliance	Timely and Accurate

Fiscal	Complaints and Due Process Hearing
Fiscal Accountability	Number of State complaints filed
	Number of State complaints with violations
	Number of due process hearings filed
	Number of due process hearings with violations

The following **Part B** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

	Results		Compliance
1.	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular	4B.	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant
	diploma		Discrepancy Disaggregated by
2.	Students with IEPs dropping out		Race/Ethnicity
5A	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	9.	Disproportionate Representation
	class 80% or more of the day;		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity
5B	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	10.	Disproportionate Representation
	class less than 40% of the day; and		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity and
5C	LRE for Students Ages 6-21- In separate		Disability
	schools, residential facilities, or housebound/	11.	Initial Evaluation Timeline
Sept. C	hospital placements.	12.	Part C to B Transition
4A	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant	13.	Secondary Transition
	Discrepancy in Single or Multiple		
	Suspensions/Expulsions > than 10 days.		
8A	Preschool Parent Survey; or		
8B	School Age Parent Survey.		
14	Post-School Outcomes		
	Correction of Noncompliance		Data and Submission of Reports
Timely	y Correction of Noncompliance	Time	ly and Accurate
	Fiscal		Complaints and Due Process Hearings
Fiscal	Accountability	Numl	per of State complaints filed
		Numl	per of State complaints with violations
		Numl	per of due process hearings filed
		Numl	per of due process hearings with violations

The MSDE supports the efforts and work of each LLA and LSS to improve performance and identify and correct noncompliance with federal and State requirements. Programmatic technical assistance and monitoring may include, but is not limited to teleconference calls, targeted technical assistance, professional learning opportunities, targeted funds for improvement, and onsite visits. In certain circumstances, enforcement options may be necessary for sustained poor performance and/or ongoing noncompliance.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a), if Maryland determines, for two consecutive years, a public agency needs assistance under §300.603(b)(1)(ii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Advise the public agency of available sources of technical assistance that may help to address the identified areas in which the public agency needs assistance;
- (2) Direct the use of federal funds, in accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a)(2), on the area or areas in which the public agency needs assistance; and/or
- (3) Identify the public agency as a high-risk grantee and impose special conditions on the public agency application for federal funds under the IDEA.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(b), if Maryland determines, for three or more consecutive years, that a public agency needs intervention under §300.603(b)(1)(iii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must implement enforcement actions. The MSDE may:

(1) Take any of the actions described in 34 C.F.R. §300.604(a);

- (2) Take one or more of the following actions:
- (i) Requires the public agency to prepare a corrective action plan or improvement plan if the MSDE determines that the public agency should be able to correct the problem within one year.
- (ii) Require the public agency to enter into a compliance agreement under section 457 of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended, 20 U.S.C. §1221 et seq. (GEPA), if the MSDE has reason to believe that the public agency cannot correct the problem within one year.
- (iii) For each year of the determination, withholds not less than 20 percent and not more than 50 percent of the State's funds under Section 611(e) of the IDEA, until the MSDE determines the public agency has sufficiently addressed the areas in which the public agency needs intervention.
- (iv) Seeks to recover funds under Section 452 of the GEPA.
- (v) Withholds, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under Part B of the IDEA.

In addition to the enforcement actions described above, if the MSDE determines a LLA or LSS needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of the IDEA or that there is a substantial failure to comply with any condition of a public agency's eligibility under the IDEA, in accordance with 34 C.F.R. §300.604(c), the MSDE may take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Recover Funds under section 452 of the GEPA; or
- (2) Withhold, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under the IDEA.

Prior to withholding any funds under the IDEA, the MSDE provides reasonable notice and an opportunity for a hearing to the public agency involved, pursuant to the procedures in in 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.180 through 300.183.

Framework for Assistance and Intervention

Needs Assistance

The process for the provision of assistance is dependent upon the degree of need within each LSS or LLA. The types of assistance must be individualized to address the root causes of the problem(s) for each indicator(s) in order to meet the specific identified needs. The MSDE will identify:

- What needs to change;
- How frequently and in what manner the local school system reports on progress; and
- The MSDE contact for support, information, documentation, and follow-up.

In collaboration with the MSDE, LSSs/LLAs will identify the type, amount, and frequency of assistance needed to address the specific needs. A wide variety of assistance is available. The MSDE is available to consult with LSSs/LLAs by telephone, fax, email, mail, or in-person. To enable a LSS to improve performance and meet compliance available assistance may include, but is not limited to the MSDE assisting a LSS/LLA to:

- Clarify/examine/develop/revise policies and procedures;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system administration and system of general supervision;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system of self-monitoring;
- Provide training/professional development;
- Access the advice of experts to address the area(s) of need, including explicit plans for addressing the area for concern within a specified period of time;

- Identify and implement professional development, instructional strategies, and methods of instruction that are based on scientifically based research;
- Designate and use distinguished superintendents, principals, special education administrators, special education teachers, and other teachers to provide advice, assistance, and support;
- Devise additional approaches to providing assistance, such as collaborating with institutions of higher education, educational service agencies, national centers of technical assistance supported under IDEA, and private providers of scientifically based technical assistance;
- Provide access to additional tools and resources;
- Share sample forms, procedures, processes;
- Provide information on where additional information, resources, support, and other organizations for support may be located;
- Examine/revise data collection;
- Improve collaboration/coordination with internal and external colleagues;
- Provide local director networking opportunities;
- Develop specialized programs/services;
- Examine options for increasing/reallocating personnel
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise fiscal management procedures and documentation
- Use evaluative measures to validate progress and correction of previous noncompliance
- Provide access to discretionary funds.

Needs Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Intervention" indicates a need for assistance in either performance and/or compliance areas within the LSS/LLA General Supervision process. Using the National Center for Special Education Accountability Monitoring (NCSEAM) "Developing and Implementing an Effective System of General Supervision: Part B," MSDE will direct the LSSILLA to examine, clarify, and develop an effective system of general supervision to address results and compliance. This may require more frequent MSDE oversight and direct onsite follow-up.

In collaboration with the LSSILLA, the MSDE may determine a local school system needs additional assistance beyond that listed above in the determination category of "Needs Assistance." Additional supports and required actions may include but are not limited to:

- Mandatory review of the local school system's system of general supervision to include:
 - o Local Self-Assessment of Performance and Compliance:
 - o Policies, procedures, and effective practices, including the local school system's required documentation of implementation;
 - o Integrated Monitoring Activities;
 - o Fiscal Management;
 - o Data Processes and Results;
 - o Improvement, Correction, Incentives, and Sanctions;
 - o Effective Dispute Resolution; and
 - o Directed Targeted Assistance and Professional Development.
- Identification and prioritization of corrective actions based upon the analysis of their system of general supervision, needs and resources;
- Development and implementation of required Corrective Action Plans with required timelines for submission, provision of evidence and data to demonstrate progress and correction as soon as possible but in no case later than one year;
- MSDE prescribing the use of discretionary funds;

- Identification of a local school system as a high risk grantee if a local school system is in the category of Needs Intervention for 2 years;
- Required quarterly reporting on status of correction of noncompliance

Needs Substantial Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Substantial Intervention" indicates a need for significant assistance and support in multiple results and compliance indicators. In addition to the available assistance listed above, to address "Needs Intervention," additional supports and required actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Reduce or eliminate the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Passthrough funds;
- Withhold or reduce Part B Passthrough funds pending completion of the corrective actions;
- Withhold or reduce State funds for special education services pending the completion of corrective actions;
- Assign MSDE staff on-site to assist in the completion of the corrective actions; and
- Assign a monitor to oversee the local school system's special education programs, with the responsibility for the costs of monitoring to be determined by the Department.

Prior to reducing or withholding funds, ail requirements regarding proper notice by MSDE to the local school system shall be met, consistent with 20 U.S.C. §1413(c) and (d).

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 2	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Inc	dicators		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results
Re	sults Indicator Co		State	Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY
			Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
1	Students with IEPs gradiploma - 4 year cohor 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01	t (ESSA lag data based on	≥ 65.91%	75.64%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	78.67%	67.69%
2	Students with IEPs dropping out (ESSA Option 2 leaver rate based on lag data for 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.08.01.07		≤ 3.51%	3.53%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	6.65%	5.92%
	State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA	AMO for Reading	NA	NA	NA	Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.	NA	NA
3A	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	3A.05.11.05 COMAR AMO for Math		NA	NA		NA	NA
2D	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA	Math	≥ 95.00%	98.09%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	00 (70/	NA
3B	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	Reading	≥ 95.00%	99.04%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	99.67%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Allegany County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

				FFY	2016 (SFY 2	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Inc	dicator	e		FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	· —		nce Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	State Assessment: Proficiency rate for	2	Mathematics	16.50%	31.40%	Met	Target met in reading and math for the 3 rd grade.	22.06%	NA
	children with IEPs against grade level, modified and	3	Reading	18.60%	25.62%	Met	Target not met in reading and math for the 4th grade through the 8th grade and for high school. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018.	17.65%	NA
	alternate academic achievement	4	Mathematics	16.15%	14.06%	Not Met	Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	16.79%	NA
	standards	4	Reading	21.10%	17.97%	Not Met		17.56%	NA
		5	Mathematics	18.85%	12.10%	Not Met		20.93%	NA
		3	Reading	23.60%	11.29%	Not Met		17.83%	NA
3C		6	Mathematics	20.55%	16.50%	Not Met		9.35%	NA
		0	Reading	26.10%	8.74%	Not Met		5.61%	NA
		7	Mathematics	22.25%	10.99%	Not Met		12.61%	NA
		,	Reading	28.60%	8.79%	Not Met		10.92%	NA
		Mathematics 8	23.95%	8.26%	Not Met		13.64%	NA	
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	0	Reading	31.10%	8.26%	Not Met		7.95%	NA
	COMAR 13A.03.06.05	HS	Mathematics	56.08%	21.79%	Not Met		31.67%	NA
	1371.03.00.03	113	Reading	50.52%	10.13%	Not Met		31.15%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Allegany County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Allegany County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators the Paried July 1, 2016 June 30, 2017 Pl

		FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	CDD/ADD Indicators		FFY 2016			Previou	s Results
Re	SPP/APR Indicators sults Indicator Compliance Indicator	State	Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY
nc	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	1.44%	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	2.43	0.53
421	Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	*	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	*_	*

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Allegany County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	016 (SFY 20	017) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indica	tore		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results
Re	sults Indicator Com		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose day (MD SSIS LRE A)	LRE is $\geq 80\%$ of the	≥ 69.90%	86.04%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	86.80%	85.09%
5B	COMAR 13A.05.01.10 Students aged 6-21 whose day (MD SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	LRE is $\leq 40\%$ of the	≤ 11.76%	5.41%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	6.20%	6.81%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose public/private day and resi home and hospital facilitie COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16	≤ 5.94%	5.97%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	5.71%	6.47%	
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Se childhood setting the majo COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≥ 64.70%	84.46%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	83.06%	85.61%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≤ 18.30%	8.79%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	2.42%	2.16%
7.4	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥68.90%	42.11%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	70.59%	68.97%
7A	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥68.00%	39.13%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	42.11%	63.64%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Allegany County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indica	ators		FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	sults Indicator Com		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥67.60%	57.89%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	76.47%	66.67%
7B	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥57.20%	34.78%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	57.89%	45.45%
70	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥63.10%	45.00%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	50.00%	72.97%
7C	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥65.70%	34.78%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	53.85%	59.09%
8	Parent Survey	Age 3-5	≥83.00%	90.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	68.00%	NA
O		Age 6-21	≥70.00%	65.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	43.00%	71.00%
	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in	A. Higher ED w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥25.00%	26.39%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	18.07%	6.58%
14	effect at the time they left school, and were:	B. Higher ED or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥51.00%	73.61%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	59.04%	42.11%
	COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	C. Higher ED or training program or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥57.00%	94.44%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	60.24%	44.74%

Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Allegany County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY :	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Indicato	rs		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previou	s Results
Results	Indicator Comp	tor Compliance Indicator State Local Target Results ¹		Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014	
	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Asian	< 2.00	0.00	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.00	0.00
	Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30	Black/African American	< 2.00	6.34*	NA		0.00	3.80*
4B		Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	*
(Ages 6-21)	* N size is < 30* No suspension	White	< 2.00	1.40	Met		2.40*	0.44
	of disabled or nondisabled students	2 or more races	< 2.00	0.00	Met		5.41*	0.00
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.00

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Allegany County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators												
	SPP/APR Indicato			FFY 2016		Action Required	Previou	s Results					
Results	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014					
	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	0.42	Met	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	0.85	1.11					
	ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of	Asian	< 2.00 0.59 Met The proposed change to COM	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	0.74	0.42							
	inappropriate identification Discrepancy exists in the category	Black/African American	< 2.00	0.98	Met	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to	0.94	1.05					
9		Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	2.26*	Met		1.69	0.00					
(Ages 6-21)	N size is ≥ 30 * N size is ≤ 30	White	< 2.00	1.19	Met		1.16	1.10					
		2 or more races	< 2.00	1.13	Met	COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS	1.11	1.34					
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.83	Met	should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.91	0.84					

 ¹ Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Allegany County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Allegany County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

				F	FY 2016 ¹	(SFY 2017)	Part B In	dicators	
_	SPP/APR Indicators Compliance Indicator		Intellectual Disability	Specific Learning Disability	Emotional Disability	Speech or Ianguage Impairment	Autism	Other Health Impairment	Required Action
	FFY 2016 results: Disproportionate representation	American Indian/Alaska Native	0.00	1.49	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Disproportionality for White students with Speech/Language Impairment was identified. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based
	(≥ 2.0) in	Asian	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.00	5.13*	0.92	practices targeted to improve student results. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of
	as a result of inappropriate identification	Black/African American	1.23	0.85	4.37*	0.52	0.82	1.34	significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and
10	Discrepancy exists in the	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.66*	0.00	0.00	to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification
	category N size is ≥ 30	White	0.70	1.12	0.51	2.07	1.17	1.02	of SWD by race/ethnicity <u>and</u> disability. Targeted review to be scheduled by MSDE DSE/EIS.
	* N size is < 30	2 or more races	0.41	0.65	1.43	1.98	0.23	1.35	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students
	COMAR	Hispanic/Latino	2.07*	1.50	0.00	0.69	0.00	0.42	with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to the identification of SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity and disability. To be decided
	13A.08.01.21								FFY 2019.

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Allegany County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Allegany County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

		FFY 20	016 (SFY 201	L7) Part B lı	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	s Results
Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days. COMAR 13A.05.01.06	100%	99.66%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results. Correction of the noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by April 6, 2019. Updated data demonstrated compliance subsequent to the 1 year from written notification.	98.40%	99.27%
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Allegany County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Allegany County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

	Other Data Considerations							
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous Results		
Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator			Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014	
	Timely correction of noncompliance (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%	
	State reported data are timely and accurate. (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)		100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%	
	Number of State complaints filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA	
	Number of State complaints identified with violation(s)	NA	0	NA	Correction of the identified noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by August 2018.	NA	NA	
	Number of State findings from State complaints corrected in a timely manner	NA	NA	NA	TBD FFY 2017.	NA	NA	
	Number of due process hearings filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA	
	Number of due process hearings identified with violations	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA	
	Fiscal Accountability: Number of findings identified	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA	
	National Assessment of Educational Progress.(NAEP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA	

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Allegany County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services



Songatulations. 200 West Baltimore Street • Baltimore, MD 21201 • 410-767-0100 • 410-333-6442 TTY/TDD • marylandpublicschools.org

March 8, 2018

Dr. George Arlotto Superintendent Anne Arundel Co. Public Schools 2644 Riva Road Annapolis, MD 21401

Dear Dr. Arlotto:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]¹. The MSDE, DSE/EIS uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each local school system in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2016 Part B determinations, the Anne Arundel County Public Schools has achieved the determination status of "Meets Requirements."

Attached please find supporting documentation:

- 1. FFY 2016 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a FFY 2017 local determination status on page two - please note the addition of fiscal accountability, State complaints/due process hearings, and preschool least restrictive environment data);
- 2. FFY 2016 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a six-year graduated results driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year); and
- 3. FFY 2016 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21).

The MSDE, DSE/EIS is committed to maintaining a balanced focus on results and compliance to narrow the school readiness and achievement gaps. Please note in FFY 2019 the determination status for each local school system will reflect the July 1, 2018 proposed revision to Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) regarding the identification of significant disproportionality. Maryland's proposed revised definition of significant disproportionality, as required by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), includes the three to five years of age population. Beginning in 2016, the DSE/EIS has provided guidance, technical assistance, and professional learning opportunities to support local school systems in the implementation of revised data collection processes and review of local policies, procedures, and practices. Please note that FFY 2016-17 and FFY 2017-18 data capturing suspension/expulsion (in and out of school), identification by race/ethnicity, and identification by race/ethnicity and disability will be used for the FFY 2019 local determination status.

¹ USDE, OSEP uses state National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) participation and performance data for students with disabilities to assign Maryland's special education determination status (see attached "NAEP"). DSE/EIS will be analyzing local testing protocols and decisions for the NAEP assessment.

Dr. George Arlotto March 8, 2018 Page Two

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the DSE/EIS five-year strategic plan provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon a comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), the Anne Arundel County Public Schools has been assigned the "Universal" tier for the delivery of the DSE/EIS technical assistance model for results.

Local school systems are strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DSE/EIS will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to equity and efficiency for excellence. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of the Anne Arundel County Public Schools to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Marcella E. Franczkowski, M.S.
Assistant State Superintendent
Division of Special Education/

Early Intervention Services

MEF/AM/cc

Attachments

c: Karen B. Salmon Carol A. Williamson Bobbi Pedrick

Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 Determination Overview Part C & Part B July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is focused on state accountability for Part C and Part B Indicators defined in the Office of Special Education's (OSEP) State Performance Plan. Annually, the OSEP evaluates each state's performance on these Indicators, and, as a result, assigns each state to one of four levels of determination: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) anticipates receiving its current federal determination status for Part C and Part B in June 2018.

To receive a State determination, the IDEA requires states to evaluate each Local Lead Agency (LLA) for the IDEA Part C early intervention services, and each Local School System (LSS) for the IDEA Part B special education performance on the established Indicators. As a result of that evaluation, the state is to assign each LLA and LSS to one of four levels of determination status: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." In making determinations, the OSEP requires states to consider:

- Performance on Results and Compliance Indicators;
- Whether data submitted by public agencies are valid, reliable (accurate), and timely;
- Uncorrected noncompliance from other sources;
- Any audit findings; and
- Other information.

The MSDE, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS), uses a results-based rubric on identified indicators to rate the performance for each LLA and LSS in meeting the State's targets as defined by the State Performance Plan for Part C and Part B. The status and results for each LLA and LSS will be posted, as required, on the MSDE Public Website of State Performance Plan Results at http://mdideareport.org no later than June 1, 2018. For the FFY 2016 determinations, the MSDE, DSE/EIS has included additional indicators highlighted below in blue.

The following **Part C** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

Results	Compliance
2. Primary Service Setting	1. Timely Services
3A. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Emotional Skills	7. 45 Day Timeline
3B. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Knowledge and Skills	8A. Transition - Transition Outcomes
3C. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Use of appropriate behaviors	8B. Transition - Notification to LSS
4A. Family Survey-Know their rights	8C. Transition - Timely Planning Meeting
4B. Family Survey-Effectively communicate their	
children's needs	
4C. Family Survey-Help their children develop and learn	
5. Child Find 0-1	
6. Child Find 0-3	
Correction of Noncompliance	Data and Submission of Reports
Timely Correction of Noncompliance	Timely and Accurate

Fiscal	Complaints and Due Process Hearing					
Fiscal Accountability	Number of State complaints filed					
	Number of State complaints with violations					
	Number of due process hearings filed					
	Number of due process hearings with violations					

The following **Part B** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

	Results		Compliance
1.	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular	4B.	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant
	diploma		Discrepancy Disaggregated by
2.	Students with IEPs dropping out		Race/Ethnicity
5A	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	9.	Disproportionate Representation
	class 80% or more of the day;		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity
5B	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	10.	Disproportionate Representation
	class less than 40% of the day; and		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity and
5C	LRE for Students Ages 6-21- In separate		Disability
	schools, residential facilities, or housebound/	11.	Initial Evaluation Timeline
Sept. C	hospital placements.	12.	Part C to B Transition
4A	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant	13.	Secondary Transition
	Discrepancy in Single or Multiple		
	Suspensions/Expulsions > than 10 days.		
8A	Preschool Parent Survey; or		
8B	School Age Parent Survey.		
14	Post-School Outcomes		
	Correction of Noncompliance		Data and Submission of Reports
Timely	y Correction of Noncompliance	Time	ly and Accurate
	Fiscal		Complaints and Due Process Hearings
Fiscal	Accountability	Numl	per of State complaints filed
		Numl	per of State complaints with violations
		Numl	per of due process hearings filed
		Numl	per of due process hearings with violations

The MSDE supports the efforts and work of each LLA and LSS to improve performance and identify and correct noncompliance with federal and State requirements. Programmatic technical assistance and monitoring may include, but is not limited to teleconference calls, targeted technical assistance, professional learning opportunities, targeted funds for improvement, and onsite visits. In certain circumstances, enforcement options may be necessary for sustained poor performance and/or ongoing noncompliance.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a), if Maryland determines, for two consecutive years, a public agency needs assistance under §300.603(b)(1)(ii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Advise the public agency of available sources of technical assistance that may help to address the identified areas in which the public agency needs assistance;
- (2) Direct the use of federal funds, in accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a)(2), on the area or areas in which the public agency needs assistance; and/or
- (3) Identify the public agency as a high-risk grantee and impose special conditions on the public agency application for federal funds under the IDEA.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(b), if Maryland determines, for three or more consecutive years, that a public agency needs intervention under §300.603(b)(1)(iii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must implement enforcement actions. The MSDE may:

(1) Take any of the actions described in 34 C.F.R. §300.604(a);

- (2) Take one or more of the following actions:
- (i) Requires the public agency to prepare a corrective action plan or improvement plan if the MSDE determines that the public agency should be able to correct the problem within one year.
- (ii) Require the public agency to enter into a compliance agreement under section 457 of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended, 20 U.S.C. §1221 et seq. (GEPA), if the MSDE has reason to believe that the public agency cannot correct the problem within one year.
- (iii) For each year of the determination, withholds not less than 20 percent and not more than 50 percent of the State's funds under Section 611(e) of the IDEA, until the MSDE determines the public agency has sufficiently addressed the areas in which the public agency needs intervention.
- (iv) Seeks to recover funds under Section 452 of the GEPA.
- (v) Withholds, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under Part B of the IDEA.

In addition to the enforcement actions described above, if the MSDE determines a LLA or LSS needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of the IDEA or that there is a substantial failure to comply with any condition of a public agency's eligibility under the IDEA, in accordance with 34 C.F.R. §300.604(c), the MSDE may take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Recover Funds under section 452 of the GEPA; or
- (2) Withhold, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under the IDEA.

Prior to withholding any funds under the IDEA, the MSDE provides reasonable notice and an opportunity for a hearing to the public agency involved, pursuant to the procedures in in 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.180 through 300.183.

Framework for Assistance and Intervention

Needs Assistance

The process for the provision of assistance is dependent upon the degree of need within each LSS or LLA. The types of assistance must be individualized to address the root causes of the problem(s) for each indicator(s) in order to meet the specific identified needs. The MSDE will identify:

- What needs to change;
- How frequently and in what manner the local school system reports on progress; and
- The MSDE contact for support, information, documentation, and follow-up.

In collaboration with the MSDE, LSSs/LLAs will identify the type, amount, and frequency of assistance needed to address the specific needs. A wide variety of assistance is available. The MSDE is available to consult with LSSs/LLAs by telephone, fax, email, mail, or in-person. To enable a LSS to improve performance and meet compliance available assistance may include, but is not limited to the MSDE assisting a LSS/LLA to:

- Clarify/examine/develop/revise policies and procedures;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system administration and system of general supervision;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system of self-monitoring;
- Provide training/professional development;
- Access the advice of experts to address the area(s) of need, including explicit plans for addressing the area for concern within a specified period of time;

- Identify and implement professional development, instructional strategies, and methods of instruction that are based on scientifically based research;
- Designate and use distinguished superintendents, principals, special education administrators, special education teachers, and other teachers to provide advice, assistance, and support;
- Devise additional approaches to providing assistance, such as collaborating with institutions of higher education, educational service agencies, national centers of technical assistance supported under IDEA, and private providers of scientifically based technical assistance;
- Provide access to additional tools and resources;
- Share sample forms, procedures, processes;
- Provide information on where additional information, resources, support, and other organizations for support may be located;
- Examine/revise data collection;
- Improve collaboration/coordination with internal and external colleagues;
- Provide local director networking opportunities;
- Develop specialized programs/services;
- Examine options for increasing/reallocating personnel
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise fiscal management procedures and documentation
- Use evaluative measures to validate progress and correction of previous noncompliance
- Provide access to discretionary funds.

Needs Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Intervention" indicates a need for assistance in either performance and/or compliance areas within the LSS/LLA General Supervision process. Using the National Center for Special Education Accountability Monitoring (NCSEAM) "Developing and Implementing an Effective System of General Supervision: Part B," MSDE will direct the LSSILLA to examine, clarify, and develop an effective system of general supervision to address results and compliance. This may require more frequent MSDE oversight and direct onsite follow-up.

In collaboration with the LSSILLA, the MSDE may determine a local school system needs additional assistance beyond that listed above in the determination category of "Needs Assistance." Additional supports and required actions may include but are not limited to:

- Mandatory review of the local school system's system of general supervision to include:
 - o Local Self-Assessment of Performance and Compliance:
 - o Policies, procedures, and effective practices, including the local school system's required documentation of implementation;
 - o Integrated Monitoring Activities;
 - o Fiscal Management;
 - o Data Processes and Results;
 - o Improvement, Correction, Incentives, and Sanctions;
 - o Effective Dispute Resolution; and
 - o Directed Targeted Assistance and Professional Development.
- Identification and prioritization of corrective actions based upon the analysis of their system of general supervision, needs and resources;
- Development and implementation of required Corrective Action Plans with required timelines for submission, provision of evidence and data to demonstrate progress and correction as soon as possible but in no case later than one year;
- MSDE prescribing the use of discretionary funds;

- Identification of a local school system as a high risk grantee if a local school system is in the category of Needs Intervention for 2 years;
- Required quarterly reporting on status of correction of noncompliance

Needs Substantial Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Substantial Intervention" indicates a need for significant assistance and support in multiple results and compliance indicators. In addition to the available assistance listed above, to address "Needs Intervention," additional supports and required actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Reduce or eliminate the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Passthrough funds;
- Withhold or reduce Part B Passthrough funds pending completion of the corrective actions;
- Withhold or reduce State funds for special education services pending the completion of corrective actions;
- Assign MSDE staff on-site to assist in the completion of the corrective actions; and
- Assign a monitor to oversee the local school system's special education programs, with the responsibility for the costs of monitoring to be determined by the Department.

Prior to reducing or withholding funds, ail requirements regarding proper notice by MSDE to the local school system shall be met, consistent with 20 U.S.C. §1413(c) and (d).

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 2	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Inc	dicators		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			state Local Target arget Results¹ Met		Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
1	Students with IEPs gradiploma - 4 year cohor 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01	≥ 65.91%	65.93%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	60.50%	60.86%	
2	Students with IEPs dropping out (ESSA Option 2 leaver rate based on lag data for 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.08.01.07		≤ 3.51%	4.19%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	4.17%	3.84
	State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA	AMO for Reading	NA	NA	NA	Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.	NA	NA
3A	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	AMO for Math	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA
20	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA	Math	≥ 95.00%	98.70%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	00 200/	NA
3B	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	11.05 R Reading		98.15%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	98.28%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Anne Arundel County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators									
	SPP/APR Inc	dicator	c		FFY 2016			Previous	Results	
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014	
	State Assessment: Proficiency rate for	3	Mathematics	16.50%	18.89%	Met	Target met in math for the 3rd grade.	17.96%	NA	
	children with IEPs against grade level, modified and	3	Reading	18.60%	17.91%	Not Met	Target not met in reading for the 3rd grade through the 8th grade and for high school. Target not met in math for the 4th grade through the 8th grade and	18.48%	NA	
	alternate academic achievement	4	Mathematics	16.15%	12.38%	Not Met	high school. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-	12.69%	NA	
	standards	4	Reading	21.10%	12.24%	Not Met	based practices targeted to improve student results.	13.92%	NA	
		5	Mathematics	18.85%	10.32%	Not Met		13.49%	NA	
		3	Reading	23.60%	11.00%	Not Met		12.44%	NA	
3C		6	Mathematics	20.55%	9.60%	Not Met		7.94%	NA	
		0	Reading	26.10%	7.95%	Not Met		5.77%	NA	
		7	Mathematics	22.25%	8.57%	Not Met		7.32%	NA	
		,	Reading	28.60%	8.53%	Not Met		8.65%	NA	
		8	Mathematics	23.95%	8.58%	Not Met		7.45%	NA	
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	0	Reading	31.10%	6.49%	Not Met		5.03%	NA	
	COMAR 13A.03.06.05	HS	Mathematics	56.08%	32.93%	Not Met		49.50%	NA	
	13/1.03.00.03	110	Reading	50.52%	12.32%	Not Met		38.62%	NA	

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Anne Arundel County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators											
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results					
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State Local Target Target Results ¹ Met		Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014					
	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	2.62	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	1.19*	2.05*					
4A	Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	1.46	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	1.22*	1.09					

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Anne Arundel County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators											
	CDD/ADD Indica	a to un		FFY 2016			Previous	Results				
Re	SPP/APR Indicates Com		State	Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY				
nc	Results maleator Compilance maleator			Results ¹	Met		2015	2014				
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose day (MD SSIS LRE A)	≥ 69.90%	73.48%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	74.03%	73.45%					
5B	COMAR 13A.05.01.10 Students aged 6-21 whose day (MD SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≤11.76%	10.23%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	10.64%	10.59%					
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose public/private day and resi home and hospital facilitie COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16	≤ 5.94%	7.69%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	7.30%	6.13%					
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Se	udents aged 3-5 LRE: Services in regular early illdhood setting the majority of the day		47.80%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	51.43%	52.26%				
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≤ 18.30%	23.97%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	21.01%	15.75%				
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥68.90%	60.16%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	60.85%	65.02%				
/A	COMAR 13A.13.01.09 2. Exits within age expectations		≥68.00%	55.88%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	61.03%	62.57%				

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Anne Arundel County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indica	ators		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			State Local Target Target Results ¹ Met		Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥67.60%	50.78%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	62.69%	61.40%
/B	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥57.20%	50.00%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	51.52%	60.66%
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥63.10%	65.63%	Met	Target met, no further action required	64.17%	60.66%
7C	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥65.70%	62.35%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	64.17%	61.43%
8	Parent Survey	Age 3-5	≥83.00%	78.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	49.00%	50.00%
O		Age 6-21	≥70.00%	67.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	41.00%	39.00%
_	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they	A. Higher ED w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥25.00%	21.15%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	21.01%	17.81%
14	left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07	B. Higher ED or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥51.00%	71.02%	Met	Target met, no further action required	57.98%	41.41%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	C. Higher ED or training program or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥57.00%	73.11%	Met	Target met, no further action required	68.69%	52.17%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Anne Arundel County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators											
_	SPP/APR Indicato			FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous Results				
Results	Indicator Comp	liance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014			
	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of Black/African American SWD was identified. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the	0.00	0.00			
	expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Asian	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.00			
	Discrepancy exists in the	Black/African American	< 2.00	5.50	Not Met		3.17	2.75			
	category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.00	Met	definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current	0.00	0.00			
4B (Ages		White	< 2.00	0.76	Met	methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity.	0.32	0.47			
6-21)	of disabled or nondisabled students	2 or more races	< 2.00	3.01*	NA		2.17*	0.99			
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.46	Met	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.33	1.09			

Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Anne Arundel County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators											
	SPP/APR Indicate			FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous Results				
Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014				
	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	1.24	Met	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	1.09	0.98			
ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification	Asian	< 2.00	0.48	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	0.42	0.45				
	identification	Black/African American	< 2.00	1.56	Met	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity.	1.87	1.57			
9	Discrepancy exists in the category	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.73	Met		1.03	0.96			
(Ages 6-21)	N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30	White	< 2.00	0.86	Met		0.83	0.78			
		2 or more races	< 2.00	0.79	Met	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS	0.78	0.90			
		Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.76	Met	should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the	0.78	0.85			
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21					identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.					

Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Anne Arundel County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Anne Arundel County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

			F	FY 2016 ¹	(SFY 2017)	Part B In	dicators	
SPP/APR Indicators Compliance Indicator		Intellectual Disability	Specific Learning Disability	Emotional Disability	Speech or language Impairment	Autism	Other Health Impairment	Action Required
FFY 2016 results: Disproportionate representation	American Indian/Alaska Native	0.00	1.19	3.89*	1.20	0.82	1.41	Disproportionality for Black/African American students with an emotional disability was identified. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes
(≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race	Asian	0.81	0.29	0.34	0.83	0.79	0.16	and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.
as a result of inappropriate identification	Black/African American	1.82	1.72	2.26	0.89	1.37	1.95	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the
Discrepancy exists in the	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	1.36	0.87	1.97	0.00	1.05	0.72	current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to
category N size is ≥ 30	White	0.73	0.66	0.71	1.23	1.04	0.81	determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity <u>and</u> disability.
* N size is < 30	2 or more races	0.39	0.69	0.82	0.80	0.74	0.92	Targeted review to be scheduled by MSDE, DSE/EIS. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding
COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	0.74	1.11	0.42	0.94	0.56	0.57	the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to the identification of SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity and disability. To be decided FFY 2019.

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Anne Arundel County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Anne Arundel County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators											
SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016			Action Required Previous Res		s Results				
Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014				
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days COMAR 13A.05.01.06	Target	98.31%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results. Correction of the noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by April 6, 2019. Subsequent data demonstrated that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2015 was not corrected within one year of the written finding.	98.40%	99.18%				
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	99.14%				
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	100%	99.10%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results. Correction of the noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by April 6, 2019.	100%	100%				

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Anne Arundel County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Other Data Considerations											
SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016			Action Required	Previous Results					
Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014				
	Timely correction of noncompliance (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%				
	State reported data are timely and accurate. (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%				
	Number of State complaints filed	NA	9	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA				
	Number of State complaints identified with violation(s)	NA	8	NA	Correction of the identified noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by August 2018.	NA	NA				
	Number of State findings from State complaints corrected in a timely manner	NA	NA	NA	TBD FFY 2017.	NA	NA				
	Number of due process hearings filed	NA	1	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA				
	Number of due process hearings identified with violations	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA				
	Fiscal Accountability: Number of findings identified	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA				
	National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA				

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Anne Arundel County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services



200 West Baltimore Street • Baltimore, MD 21201 • 410-767-0100 • 410-333-6442 TTY/TDD • marylandpublicschools.org

March 8, 2018

Ms. Verletta White Interim Superintendent

Baltimore County Public Schools

6901 Charles Street

Towson, MD 21204

Dear Ms. White:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DSE/EIS uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each local school system in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2016 Part B determinations, the Baltimore County Public Schools has achieved the determination status of "Needs Assistance – Year 12."

Attached please find supporting documentation:

- 1. FFY 2016 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a FFY 2017 local determination status on page two please note the addition of fiscal accountability, State complaints/due process hearings, and preschool least restrictive environment data):
- 2. FFY 2016 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a six-year graduated results driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year); and
- 3. FFY 2016 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21).

The MSDE, DSE/EIS is committed to maintaining a balanced focus on results and compliance to narrow the school readiness and achievement gaps. Please note in FFY 2019 the determination status for each local school system will reflect the July 1, 2018 proposed revision to Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) regarding the identification of significant disproportionality. Maryland's proposed revised definition of significant disproportionality, as required by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), includes the three to five years of age population. Beginning in 2016, the DSE/EIS has provided guidance, technical assistance, and professional learning opportunities to support local school systems in the implementation of revised data collection processes and review of local policies, procedures, and practices. Please note that FFY 2016-17 and FFY 2017-18 data capturing suspension/expulsion (in and out of school), identification by race/ethnicity, and identification by race/ethnicity and disability will be used for the FFY 2019 local determination status.

¹ USDE, OSEP uses state National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) participation and performance data for students with disabilities to assign Maryland's special education determination status (see attached "NAEP"). DSE/EIS will be analyzing local testing protocols and decisions for the NAEP assessment.

Ms. Verletta White March 8, 2018 Page Two

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the DSE/EIS five-year strategic plan provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon a comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), the Baltimore County Public Schools has been assigned the "Targeted" tier for the delivery of the DSE/EIS technical assistance model for results.

Local school systems are strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decisionmaking, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DSE/EIS will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to equity and efficiency for excellence. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of the Baltimore County Public Schools to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

arcella E. Francykowski Marcella E. Franczkowski, M.S. Assistant State Superintendent Division of Special Education/

Early Intervention Services

MEF/AM/cc

Attachments

c: Karen B. Salmon Carol A. Williamson

Rebecca Rider

Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 Determination Overview Part C & Part B July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is focused on state accountability for Part C and Part B Indicators defined in the Office of Special Education's (OSEP) State Performance Plan. Annually, the OSEP evaluates each state's performance on these Indicators, and, as a result, assigns each state to one of four levels of determination: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) anticipates receiving its current federal determination status for Part C and Part B in June 2018.

To receive a State determination, the IDEA requires states to evaluate each Local Lead Agency (LLA) for the IDEA Part C early intervention services, and each Local School System (LSS) for the IDEA Part B special education performance on the established Indicators. As a result of that evaluation, the state is to assign each LLA and LSS to one of four levels of determination status: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." In making determinations, the OSEP requires states to consider:

- Performance on Results and Compliance Indicators;
- Whether data submitted by public agencies are valid, reliable (accurate), and timely;
- Uncorrected noncompliance from other sources;
- Any audit findings; and
- Other information.

The MSDE, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS), uses a results-based rubric on identified indicators to rate the performance for each LLA and LSS in meeting the State's targets as defined by the State Performance Plan for Part C and Part B. The status and results for each LLA and LSS will be posted, as required, on the MSDE Public Website of State Performance Plan Results at http://mdideareport.org no later than June 1, 2018. For the FFY 2016 determinations, the MSDE, DSE/EIS has included additional indicators highlighted below in blue.

The following **Part C** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

Results	Compliance
2. Primary Service Setting	1. Timely Services
3A. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Emotional Skills	7. 45 Day Timeline
3B. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Knowledge and Skills	8A. Transition - Transition Outcomes
3C. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Use of appropriate behaviors	8B. Transition - Notification to LSS
4A. Family Survey-Know their rights	8C. Transition - Timely Planning Meeting
4B. Family Survey-Effectively communicate their	
children's needs	
4C. Family Survey-Help their children develop and learn	
5. Child Find 0-1	
6. Child Find 0-3	
Correction of Noncompliance	Data and Submission of Reports
Timely Correction of Noncompliance	Timely and Accurate

Fiscal	Complaints and Due Process Hearing
Fiscal Accountability	Number of State complaints filed
	Number of State complaints with violations
	Number of due process hearings filed
	Number of due process hearings with violations

The following **Part B** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

	Results		Compliance
1.	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular	4B.	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant
	diploma		Discrepancy Disaggregated by
2.	Students with IEPs dropping out		Race/Ethnicity
5A	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	9.	Disproportionate Representation
	class 80% or more of the day;		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity
5B	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	10.	Disproportionate Representation
	class less than 40% of the day; and		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity and
5C	LRE for Students Ages 6-21- In separate		Disability
	schools, residential facilities, or housebound/	11.	Initial Evaluation Timeline
See S. C.	hospital placements.	12.	Part C to B Transition
4A	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant	13.	Secondary Transition
	Discrepancy in Single or Multiple		
	Suspensions/Expulsions > than 10 days.		
8A	Preschool Parent Survey; or		
8B	School Age Parent Survey.		
14	Post-School Outcomes		
	Correction of Noncompliance		Data and Submission of Reports
Timely	y Correction of Noncompliance	Time	ly and Accurate
	Fiscal		Complaints and Due Process Hearings
Fiscal	Accountability	Numl	per of State complaints filed
		Numl	per of State complaints with violations
		Numl	per of due process hearings filed
		Numl	per of due process hearings with violations

The MSDE supports the efforts and work of each LLA and LSS to improve performance and identify and correct noncompliance with federal and State requirements. Programmatic technical assistance and monitoring may include, but is not limited to teleconference calls, targeted technical assistance, professional learning opportunities, targeted funds for improvement, and onsite visits. In certain circumstances, enforcement options may be necessary for sustained poor performance and/or ongoing noncompliance.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a), if Maryland determines, for two consecutive years, a public agency needs assistance under §300.603(b)(1)(ii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Advise the public agency of available sources of technical assistance that may help to address the identified areas in which the public agency needs assistance;
- (2) Direct the use of federal funds, in accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a)(2), on the area or areas in which the public agency needs assistance; and/or
- (3) Identify the public agency as a high-risk grantee and impose special conditions on the public agency application for federal funds under the IDEA.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(b), if Maryland determines, for three or more consecutive years, that a public agency needs intervention under §300.603(b)(1)(iii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must implement enforcement actions. The MSDE may:

(1) Take any of the actions described in 34 C.F.R. §300.604(a);

- (2) Take one or more of the following actions:
- (i) Requires the public agency to prepare a corrective action plan or improvement plan if the MSDE determines that the public agency should be able to correct the problem within one year.
- (ii) Require the public agency to enter into a compliance agreement under section 457 of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended, 20 U.S.C. §1221 et seq. (GEPA), if the MSDE has reason to believe that the public agency cannot correct the problem within one year.
- (iii) For each year of the determination, withholds not less than 20 percent and not more than 50 percent of the State's funds under Section 611(e) of the IDEA, until the MSDE determines the public agency has sufficiently addressed the areas in which the public agency needs intervention.
- (iv) Seeks to recover funds under Section 452 of the GEPA.
- (v) Withholds, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under Part B of the IDEA.

In addition to the enforcement actions described above, if the MSDE determines a LLA or LSS needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of the IDEA or that there is a substantial failure to comply with any condition of a public agency's eligibility under the IDEA, in accordance with 34 C.F.R. §300.604(c), the MSDE may take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Recover Funds under section 452 of the GEPA; or
- (2) Withhold, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under the IDEA.

Prior to withholding any funds under the IDEA, the MSDE provides reasonable notice and an opportunity for a hearing to the public agency involved, pursuant to the procedures in in 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.180 through 300.183.

Framework for Assistance and Intervention

Needs Assistance

The process for the provision of assistance is dependent upon the degree of need within each LSS or LLA. The types of assistance must be individualized to address the root causes of the problem(s) for each indicator(s) in order to meet the specific identified needs. The MSDE will identify:

- What needs to change;
- How frequently and in what manner the local school system reports on progress; and
- The MSDE contact for support, information, documentation, and follow-up.

In collaboration with the MSDE, LSSs/LLAs will identify the type, amount, and frequency of assistance needed to address the specific needs. A wide variety of assistance is available. The MSDE is available to consult with LSSs/LLAs by telephone, fax, email, mail, or in-person. To enable a LSS to improve performance and meet compliance available assistance may include, but is not limited to the MSDE assisting a LSS/LLA to:

- Clarify/examine/develop/revise policies and procedures;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system administration and system of general supervision;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system of self-monitoring;
- Provide training/professional development;
- Access the advice of experts to address the area(s) of need, including explicit plans for addressing the area for concern within a specified period of time;

- Identify and implement professional development, instructional strategies, and methods of instruction that are based on scientifically based research;
- Designate and use distinguished superintendents, principals, special education administrators, special education teachers, and other teachers to provide advice, assistance, and support;
- Devise additional approaches to providing assistance, such as collaborating with institutions of higher education, educational service agencies, national centers of technical assistance supported under IDEA, and private providers of scientifically based technical assistance;
- Provide access to additional tools and resources;
- Share sample forms, procedures, processes;
- Provide information on where additional information, resources, support, and other organizations for support may be located;
- Examine/revise data collection;
- Improve collaboration/coordination with internal and external colleagues;
- Provide local director networking opportunities;
- Develop specialized programs/services;
- Examine options for increasing/reallocating personnel
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise fiscal management procedures and documentation
- Use evaluative measures to validate progress and correction of previous noncompliance
- Provide access to discretionary funds.

Needs Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Intervention" indicates a need for assistance in either performance and/or compliance areas within the LSS/LLA General Supervision process. Using the National Center for Special Education Accountability Monitoring (NCSEAM) "Developing and Implementing an Effective System of General Supervision: Part B," MSDE will direct the LSSILLA to examine, clarify, and develop an effective system of general supervision to address results and compliance. This may require more frequent MSDE oversight and direct onsite follow-up.

In collaboration with the LSSILLA, the MSDE may determine a local school system needs additional assistance beyond that listed above in the determination category of "Needs Assistance." Additional supports and required actions may include but are not limited to:

- Mandatory review of the local school system's system of general supervision to include:
 - o Local Self-Assessment of Performance and Compliance:
 - o Policies, procedures, and effective practices, including the local school system's required documentation of implementation;
 - o Integrated Monitoring Activities;
 - o Fiscal Management;
 - o Data Processes and Results;
 - o Improvement, Correction, Incentives, and Sanctions;
 - o Effective Dispute Resolution; and
 - o Directed Targeted Assistance and Professional Development.
- Identification and prioritization of corrective actions based upon the analysis of their system of general supervision, needs and resources;
- Development and implementation of required Corrective Action Plans with required timelines for submission, provision of evidence and data to demonstrate progress and correction as soon as possible but in no case later than one year;
- MSDE prescribing the use of discretionary funds;

- Identification of a local school system as a high risk grantee if a local school system is in the category of Needs Intervention for 2 years;
- Required quarterly reporting on status of correction of noncompliance

Needs Substantial Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Substantial Intervention" indicates a need for significant assistance and support in multiple results and compliance indicators. In addition to the available assistance listed above, to address "Needs Intervention," additional supports and required actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Reduce or eliminate the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Passthrough funds;
- Withhold or reduce Part B Passthrough funds pending completion of the corrective actions;
- Withhold or reduce State funds for special education services pending the completion of corrective actions;
- Assign MSDE staff on-site to assist in the completion of the corrective actions; and
- Assign a monitor to oversee the local school system's special education programs, with the responsibility for the costs of monitoring to be determined by the Department.

Prior to reducing or withholding funds, ail requirements regarding proper notice by MSDE to the local school system shall be met, consistent with 20 U.S.C. §1413(c) and (d).

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 2	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Inc	licators		FFY 2016 ¹			Previous	s Results
Re	sults Indicator Co		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
1	Students with IEPs gradiploma - 4 year cohor 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01	t (ESSA lag data based on	≥ 65.91%	70.02%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	68.50%	64.33%
2	Students with IEPs dro leaver rate based on lag COMAR 13A.08.01.07	≤ 3.51%	4.30%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	4.64%	4.39%	
	State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA	AMO for Reading	NA	NA	NA	Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.	NA	NA
3A	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	AMO for Math	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA
2D	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA	Math	≥ 95.00%	98.40%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	04 000/	NA
3B	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	Reading	≥ 95.00%	97.70%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	96.88%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Baltimore County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

				FFY	2016 (SFY 2	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Inc	dicator	c		FFY 2016 ¹			Previous	Results
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	State Assessment: Proficiency rate for	3	Mathematics	16.50%	16.21%	Not Met	through the 8th grade and high school. Submit an	16.46%	NA
	children with IEPs against grade level, modified and	3	Reading	18.60%	12.02%	Not Met	Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	11.95%	NA
	alternate academic achievement	4	Mathematics	16.15%	8.84%	Not Met	to improve student results.	9.13%	NA
	standards	4	Reading	21.10%	9.15%	Not Met		9.22%	NA
		5	Mathematics	18.85%	7.73%	Not Met		7.42%	NA
		3	Reading	23.60%	6.65%	Not Met		6.41%	NA
3C		6	Mathematics	20.55%	4.15%	Not Met		4.39%	NA
			Reading	26.10%	3.66%	Not Met		3.94%	NA
		7	Mathematics	22.25%	4.91%	Not Met		6.06%	NA
		,	Reading	28.60%	6.84%	Not Met		6.50%	NA
		8	Mathematics	23.95%	7.01%	Not Met		5.41%	NA
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05		Reading	31.10%	4.63%	Not Met		5.10%	NA
	COMAR 13A.03.06.05	HS	Mathematics	56.08%	23.87%	Not Met		38.99%	NA
	13/1.03.00.03	110	Reading	50.52%	8.31%	Not Met		31.27%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Baltimore County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results
Re	sults Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	2.65	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	2.01	2.05*
	Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	1.34	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	2.20*	3.46*
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is \geq 80% of the	≥ 69.90%	67.08%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by	67.62%	66.85%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Baltimore County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	016 (SFY 20	017) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indica	atous.		FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	sults Indicator Com		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	day (MD SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10					May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.		
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose day (MD SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≤ 11.76%	9.68%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	9.27%	10.12%	
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose public/private day and resi home and hospital facilitie COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16	≤ 5.94%	7.30%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	7.10%	6.92%	
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Se childhood setting the majo COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≥ 64.70%	74.20%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	75.08%	73.03%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Se COMAR 13A.05.01.10	•	≤ 18.30%	12.91%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	12.13%	11.06%
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥68.90%	61.21%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	55.79%	60.34%
/A	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥68.00%	48.87%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	53.51%	62.83%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Baltimore County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indic	atore		FFY 2016 ¹			Previous	Results
R	·	pliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥67.60%	54.07%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	54.48%	60.34%
/B	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥57.20%	37.44%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	42.36%	50.55%
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥63.10%	54.33%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	51.44%	56.96%
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥65.70%	51.13%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	51.24%	56.68%
	Parent Survey	Age 3-5	≥83.00%	74%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	52.00%	46.00%
8	Tarem Sarvey	Age 6-21	≥70.00%	64%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	39.00%	40.00%
	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they	A. Higher ED w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥25.00%	23.82%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	23.00%	24.18%
14	left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07	B. Higher ED or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥51.00%	68.28%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	52.78%	49.41%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	C. Higher ED or training program or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥57.00%	77.45%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	62.89%	59.74%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Baltimore County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20)17) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Indicato	rs		FFY 2016 ¹		Action Required	Previous	s Results
Results	Indicator Comp	liance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of Black/African American SWD was identified. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based	0.00	0.00
	expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Asian	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.81	0.00
	Discrepancy exists in the	Black/African American	< 2.00	3.93	Not Met	practices targeted to improve student results. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the	3.46	3.77*
	category N size is ≥ 30	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.00	Met	definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	0.00	0.00
4B	* No suspension	White	< 2.00	0.92	Met	calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its	0.92	1.16
(Ages 6-21)	of disabled or nondisabled students	2 or more races	< 2.00	2.67*	NA	policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity.	2.61*	1.95
		Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	2.03*	NA	Targeted review to be scheduled by MSDE, DSE/EIS.	0.00	0.00
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21					PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.		

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Baltimore County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Indicate			FFY 2016 ¹		Action Required	Previou	s Results
Results	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	1.03	Met	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	1.11	1.02
	ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of	Asian	< 2.00	0.43	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	0.42	0.40
	inappropriate identification	Black/African American	< 2.00	1.28	Met	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	1.31	1.28
9	Discrepancy exists in the category	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.70	Met	calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine	0.69	0.70
(Ages 6-21)	N size is ≥ 30 * N size is ≤ 30	White	< 2.00	1.02	Met	the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to	0.99	0.94
		2 or more races	< 2.00	0.98	Met	COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS	1.00	1.01
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.78	Met	ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.79	0.88

Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Baltimore County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

				F	FY 2016 ¹	(SFY 2017)	Part B In	dicators	
[SPP/APR Ind Compliance India	Intellectual Disability	Specific Learning Disability	Emotional Disability	Speech or language Impairment	Autism	Other Health Impairment	Required Action	
	FFY 2016 results: Disproportionate representation	American Indian/Alaska Native	0.83	1.29	0.31	1.38	0.33	1.25	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race, ethnicity, and disability was not identified. No further action required.
	(≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race	Asian	0.73	0.27	0.02	0.58	0.85	0.25	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018.
	as a result of inappropriate identification	Black/African American	1.76	1.51	1.77	0.61	1.05	1.59	The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS
	Discrepancy exists in the	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	0.00	0.62	0.00	0.41	0.50	1.37	should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity <u>and</u> disability.
	category N size is ≥ 30	White	0.69	0.77	1.16	1.87	1.16	0.98	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students
	* N size is < 30	2 or more races	0.85	0.87	1.00	1.01	1.09	1.13	with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to the identification of
		Hispanic/Latino	0.76	1.06	0.22	0.77	0.69	0.52	SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity and disability. To be decided FFY 2019.
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21								

FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators

Baltimore County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previou	s Results
Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days. COMAR 13A.05.01.06	100%	98.39%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results. Correction of the noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by April 6, 2019. Subsequent data demonstrated that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2015 was not corrected within one year of the written finding.	98.23%	99.29%
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	99.45%	100%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Baltimore County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		Ot	ther Data (Considera	ations		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016 ¹		Action Required	Previous	Results
Results	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Timely correction of noncompliance (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
	State reported data are timely and accurate. (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
	Number of State complaints filed	NA	14	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of State complaints identified with violation(s)	NA	8	NA	Correction of the identified noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by August 2018.	NA	NA
	Number of State findings from State complaints corrected in a timely manner	NA	NA	NA	TBD FFY 2017.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings filed	NA	2	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings identified with violations	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Fiscal Accountability: Number of findings identified	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	National Assessment of Educational Progress.(NAEP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Baltimore County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services



200 West Baltimore Street • Baltimore, MD 21201 • 410-767-0100 • 410-333-6442 TTY/TDD • marylandpublicschools.org

March 8, 2018

Dr. Sonja Santelises Chief Executive Officer Baltimore City Public Schools 200 East North Avenue Baltimore, MD 21202

Dear Dr. Santelises:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]¹. The MSDE, DSE/EIS uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each local school system in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2016 Part B determinations, the Baltimore City Public Schools has achieved the determination status of "Needs Intervention – Year 4."

Attached please find supporting documentation:

- 1. FFY 2016 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a FFY 2017 local determination status on page two please note the addition of fiscal accountability, State complaints/due process hearings, and preschool least restrictive environment data):
- 2. FFY 2016 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a six-year graduated results driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year); and
- 3. FFY 2016 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21).

The MSDE, DSE/EIS is committed to maintaining a balanced focus on results and compliance to narrow the school readiness and achievement gaps. Please note in FFY 2019 the determination status for each local school system will reflect the July 1, 2018 proposed revision to Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) regarding the identification of significant disproportionality. Maryland's proposed revised definition of significant disproportionality, as required by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), includes the three to five years of age population. Beginning in 2016, the DSE/EIS has provided guidance, technical assistance, and professional learning opportunities to support local school systems in the implementation of revised data collection processes and review of local policies, procedures, and practices. Please note that FFY 2016-17 and FFY 2017-18 data capturing suspension/expulsion (in and out of school), identification by race/ethnicity, and identification by race/ethnicity and disability will be used for the FFY 2019 local determination status.

¹ USDE, OSEP uses state National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) participation and performance data for students with disabilities to assign Maryland's special education determination status (see attached "NAEP"). DSE/EIS will be analyzing local testing protocols and decisions for the NAEP assessment.

Dr. Sonja Santelises March 8, 2018 Page Two

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the DSE/EIS five-year strategic plan provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon a comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), the Baltimore City Public Schools has been assigned the "Focused" tier for the delivery of the DSE/EIS technical assistance model for results.

Local school systems are strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DSE/EIS will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to equity and efficiency for excellence. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of the Baltimore City Public Schools to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Marcella E. Franczkowski, M.S.
Assistant State Superintendent
Division of Special Education/

Early Intervention Services

MEF/AM/cc

Attachments

c: Karen B. Salmon Carol A. Williamson Sean Conley

Deborah Brooks

Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 Determination Overview Part C & Part B July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is focused on state accountability for Part C and Part B Indicators defined in the Office of Special Education's (OSEP) State Performance Plan. Annually, the OSEP evaluates each state's performance on these Indicators, and, as a result, assigns each state to one of four levels of determination: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) anticipates receiving its current federal determination status for Part C and Part B in June 2018.

To receive a State determination, the IDEA requires states to evaluate each Local Lead Agency (LLA) for the IDEA Part C early intervention services, and each Local School System (LSS) for the IDEA Part B special education performance on the established Indicators. As a result of that evaluation, the state is to assign each LLA and LSS to one of four levels of determination status: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." In making determinations, the OSEP requires states to consider:

- Performance on Results and Compliance Indicators;
- Whether data submitted by public agencies are valid, reliable (accurate), and timely;
- Uncorrected noncompliance from other sources;
- Any audit findings; and
- Other information.

The MSDE, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS), uses a results-based rubric on identified indicators to rate the performance for each LLA and LSS in meeting the State's targets as defined by the State Performance Plan for Part C and Part B. The status and results for each LLA and LSS will be posted, as required, on the MSDE Public Website of State Performance Plan Results at http://mdideareport.org no later than June 1, 2018. For the FFY 2016 determinations, the MSDE, DSE/EIS has included additional indicators highlighted below in blue.

The following **Part C** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

Results	Compliance
2. Primary Service Setting	1. Timely Services
3A. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Emotional Skills	7. 45 Day Timeline
3B. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Knowledge and Skills	8A. Transition - Transition Outcomes
3C. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Use of appropriate behaviors	8B. Transition - Notification to LSS
4A. Family Survey-Know their rights	8C. Transition - Timely Planning Meeting
4B. Family Survey-Effectively communicate their	
children's needs	
4C. Family Survey-Help their children develop and learn	
5. Child Find 0-1	
6. Child Find 0-3	
Correction of Noncompliance	Data and Submission of Reports
Timely Correction of Noncompliance	Timely and Accurate

Fiscal	Complaints and Due Process Hearing						
Fiscal Accountability	Number of State complaints filed						
	Number of State complaints with violations						
	Number of due process hearings filed						
	Number of due process hearings with violations						

The following **Part B** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

	Results		Compliance				
1.	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular	4B.	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant				
	diploma		Discrepancy Disaggregated by				
2.	Students with IEPs dropping out		Race/Ethnicity				
5A	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	9.	Disproportionate Representation				
	class 80% or more of the day;		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity				
5B	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	10.	Disproportionate Representation				
	class less than 40% of the day; and		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity and				
5C	LRE for Students Ages 6-21- In separate		Disability				
	schools, residential facilities, or housebound/	11.	Initial Evaluation Timeline				
See S. C.	hospital placements.	12.	Part C to B Transition				
4A	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant	13.	Secondary Transition				
	Discrepancy in Single or Multiple						
	Suspensions/Expulsions > than 10 days.						
8A	Preschool Parent Survey; or						
8B	School Age Parent Survey.						
14	Post-School Outcomes						
	Correction of Noncompliance		Data and Submission of Reports				
Timely	y Correction of Noncompliance	Time	ly and Accurate				
	Fiscal		Complaints and Due Process Hearings				
Fiscal	Accountability	Numl	per of State complaints filed				
		Numl	Number of State complaints with violations				
		Numl	per of due process hearings filed				
		Numl	per of due process hearings with violations				

The MSDE supports the efforts and work of each LLA and LSS to improve performance and identify and correct noncompliance with federal and State requirements. Programmatic technical assistance and monitoring may include, but is not limited to teleconference calls, targeted technical assistance, professional learning opportunities, targeted funds for improvement, and onsite visits. In certain circumstances, enforcement options may be necessary for sustained poor performance and/or ongoing noncompliance.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a), if Maryland determines, for two consecutive years, a public agency needs assistance under §300.603(b)(1)(ii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Advise the public agency of available sources of technical assistance that may help to address the identified areas in which the public agency needs assistance;
- (2) Direct the use of federal funds, in accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a)(2), on the area or areas in which the public agency needs assistance; and/or
- (3) Identify the public agency as a high-risk grantee and impose special conditions on the public agency application for federal funds under the IDEA.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(b), if Maryland determines, for three or more consecutive years, that a public agency needs intervention under §300.603(b)(1)(iii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must implement enforcement actions. The MSDE may:

(1) Take any of the actions described in 34 C.F.R. §300.604(a);

- (2) Take one or more of the following actions:
- (i) Requires the public agency to prepare a corrective action plan or improvement plan if the MSDE determines that the public agency should be able to correct the problem within one year.
- (ii) Require the public agency to enter into a compliance agreement under section 457 of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended, 20 U.S.C. §1221 et seq. (GEPA), if the MSDE has reason to believe that the public agency cannot correct the problem within one year.
- (iii) For each year of the determination, withholds not less than 20 percent and not more than 50 percent of the State's funds under Section 611(e) of the IDEA, until the MSDE determines the public agency has sufficiently addressed the areas in which the public agency needs intervention.
- (iv) Seeks to recover funds under Section 452 of the GEPA.
- (v) Withholds, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under Part B of the IDEA.

In addition to the enforcement actions described above, if the MSDE determines a LLA or LSS needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of the IDEA or that there is a substantial failure to comply with any condition of a public agency's eligibility under the IDEA, in accordance with 34 C.F.R. §300.604(c), the MSDE may take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Recover Funds under section 452 of the GEPA; or
- (2) Withhold, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under the IDEA.

Prior to withholding any funds under the IDEA, the MSDE provides reasonable notice and an opportunity for a hearing to the public agency involved, pursuant to the procedures in in 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.180 through 300.183.

Framework for Assistance and Intervention

Needs Assistance

The process for the provision of assistance is dependent upon the degree of need within each LSS or LLA. The types of assistance must be individualized to address the root causes of the problem(s) for each indicator(s) in order to meet the specific identified needs. The MSDE will identify:

- What needs to change;
- How frequently and in what manner the local school system reports on progress; and
- The MSDE contact for support, information, documentation, and follow-up.

In collaboration with the MSDE, LSSs/LLAs will identify the type, amount, and frequency of assistance needed to address the specific needs. A wide variety of assistance is available. The MSDE is available to consult with LSSs/LLAs by telephone, fax, email, mail, or in-person. To enable a LSS to improve performance and meet compliance available assistance may include, but is not limited to the MSDE assisting a LSS/LLA to:

- Clarify/examine/develop/revise policies and procedures;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system administration and system of general supervision;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system of self-monitoring;
- Provide training/professional development;
- Access the advice of experts to address the area(s) of need, including explicit plans for addressing the area for concern within a specified period of time;

- Identify and implement professional development, instructional strategies, and methods of instruction that are based on scientifically based research;
- Designate and use distinguished superintendents, principals, special education administrators, special education teachers, and other teachers to provide advice, assistance, and support;
- Devise additional approaches to providing assistance, such as collaborating with institutions of higher education, educational service agencies, national centers of technical assistance supported under IDEA, and private providers of scientifically based technical assistance;
- Provide access to additional tools and resources;
- Share sample forms, procedures, processes;
- Provide information on where additional information, resources, support, and other organizations for support may be located;
- Examine/revise data collection;
- Improve collaboration/coordination with internal and external colleagues;
- Provide local director networking opportunities;
- Develop specialized programs/services;
- Examine options for increasing/reallocating personnel
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise fiscal management procedures and documentation
- Use evaluative measures to validate progress and correction of previous noncompliance
- Provide access to discretionary funds.

Needs Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Intervention" indicates a need for assistance in either performance and/or compliance areas within the LSS/LLA General Supervision process. Using the National Center for Special Education Accountability Monitoring (NCSEAM) "Developing and Implementing an Effective System of General Supervision: Part B," MSDE will direct the LSSILLA to examine, clarify, and develop an effective system of general supervision to address results and compliance. This may require more frequent MSDE oversight and direct onsite follow-up.

In collaboration with the LSSILLA, the MSDE may determine a local school system needs additional assistance beyond that listed above in the determination category of "Needs Assistance." Additional supports and required actions may include but are not limited to:

- Mandatory review of the local school system's system of general supervision to include:
 - o Local Self-Assessment of Performance and Compliance:
 - o Policies, procedures, and effective practices, including the local school system's required documentation of implementation;
 - o Integrated Monitoring Activities;
 - o Fiscal Management;
 - o Data Processes and Results;
 - o Improvement, Correction, Incentives, and Sanctions;
 - o Effective Dispute Resolution; and
 - o Directed Targeted Assistance and Professional Development.
- Identification and prioritization of corrective actions based upon the analysis of their system of general supervision, needs and resources;
- Development and implementation of required Corrective Action Plans with required timelines for submission, provision of evidence and data to demonstrate progress and correction as soon as possible but in no case later than one year;
- MSDE prescribing the use of discretionary funds;

- Identification of a local school system as a high risk grantee if a local school system is in the category of Needs Intervention for 2 years;
- Required quarterly reporting on status of correction of noncompliance

Needs Substantial Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Substantial Intervention" indicates a need for significant assistance and support in multiple results and compliance indicators. In addition to the available assistance listed above, to address "Needs Intervention," additional supports and required actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Reduce or eliminate the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Passthrough funds;
- Withhold or reduce Part B Passthrough funds pending completion of the corrective actions;
- Withhold or reduce State funds for special education services pending the completion of corrective actions;
- Assign MSDE staff on-site to assist in the completion of the corrective actions; and
- Assign a monitor to oversee the local school system's special education programs, with the responsibility for the costs of monitoring to be determined by the Department.

Prior to reducing or withholding funds, ail requirements regarding proper notice by MSDE to the local school system shall be met, consistent with 20 U.S.C. §1413(c) and (d).

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 2	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Inc	dicators		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
1	Students with IEPs gradiploma - 4 year cohor 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01	≥ 65.91%	47.55%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	50.65%	43.96%	
2	Students with IEPs dropping out (ESSA Option 2 leaver rate based on lag data for 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.08.01.07		≤ 3.51%	6.88%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	8.61%	7.75%
	State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA	AMO for Reading	NA	NA	NA	Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.	NA	NA
3A	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	AMO for Math	NA	NA NA	NA		NA	NA
20	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA	Math	≥ 95.00%	96.50%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	05.000/	NA
3B	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05		≥ 95.00%	95.73%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	95.99%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Baltimore City Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators										
	SPP/APR Inc	dicator			FFY 2016			Previous	Results		
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014		
	State Assessment: Proficiency rate for	3	Mathematics	16.50%	7.93%	Not Met	Target not met in reading and math for the 3 rd grade through the 8 th grade and high school.	7.12%	NA		
	children with IEPs against grade level, modified and	3	Reading	18.60%	4.94%	Not Met	Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	5.26%	NA		
	alternate academic achievement	4	Mathematics	16.15%	5.02%	Not Met	practices targeted to improve student results.	5.42%	NA		
	standards	4	Reading	21.10%	5.41%	Not Met		4.12%	NA		
		5	Mathematics	18.85%	4.77%	Not Met		4.59%	NA		
			Reading	23.60%	3.84%	Not Met		4.98%	NA		
3C		6	Mathematics	20.55%	4.50%	Not Met		4.33%	NA		
			Reading	26.10%	4.55%	Not Met		4.33%	NA		
		7	Mathematics	22.25%	5.18%	Not Met		5.01%	NA		
		,	Reading	28.60%	6.11%	Not Met		5.62%	NA		
		8	Mathematics	23.95%	6.01%	Not Met		5.62%	NA		
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05		Reading	31.10%	5.02%	Not Met		5.84%	NA		
	COMAR 13A.03.06.05	HS	Mathematics	56.08%	14.60%	Not Met		15.10%	NA		
	1511.05.00.00	110	Reading	50.52%	9.93%	Not Met		11.62%	NA		

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Baltimore City Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results
Re	esults Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	3.19	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	3.10	3.29
4A	Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	2.13	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	2.66	2.37

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Baltimore City Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	016 (SFY 20	017) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indica	ators		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose day (MD SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	LRE is $\geq 80\%$ of the	≥ 69.90%	58.77%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	60.51%	61.62%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose day (MD SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≤ 11.76%	22.13%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	21.13%	20.13%	
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose public/private day and resi home and hospital facilitie COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16	≤ 5.94%	7.01%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	7.33%	7.63%	
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Se childhood setting the majo COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≥ 64.70%	72.97%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	72.20%	73.31%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≤ 18.30%	15.40%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	14.57%	12.88%
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥68.90%	63.87%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	47.52%	48.28%
/A	COMAR 13A.13.01.09 2. Exits within age expectations		≥68.00%	54.75%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	47.03%	49.46%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Baltimore City Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indica	ators		FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	sults Indicator Com		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥67.60%	64.63%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	46.20%	51.50%
/B	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥57.20%	50.23%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	38.92%	40.22%
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥63.10%	66.01%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	54.48%	49.32%
7C	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥65.70%	58.37%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	53.51%	49.46%
8	Parent Survey	Age 3-5	≥83.00%	69.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	43.00%	44.00%
8		Age 6-21	≥70.00%	69.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	40.00%	43.00%
	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they	A. Higher ED w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥25.00%	13.69%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	11.67%	12.66%
14	left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07	B. Higher ED or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥51.00%	56.53%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	42.20%	40.88%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	C. Higher ED or training program or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥57.00%	69.10%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	51.39%	52.79%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Baltimore City Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators												
	SPP/APR Indicato	rs		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	Results					
Results	Indicator Comp	liance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014					
	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	8.82*	NA	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of Black/African American SWD was identified. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	12.30*	0.00					
	expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Asian	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.00					
	Discrepancy exists in the	Black/African American	< 2.00	2.95	Not Met		3.15	2.88					
	category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.00					
4B		White	< 2.00	0.73	Met	calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its	1.13	0.89					
(Ages 6-21)		2 or more races	< 2.00	0.00	NA	policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity.	2.51*	0.00					
		Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.39	Met	Targeted review to be scheduled by MSDE DSE/EIS.	0.29	0.00					
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21					PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.							

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Baltimore City Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators											
	SPP/APR Indicate	ors		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous Results				
Result	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014			
	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	1.01	Met	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	0.90	0.73			
	ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of	Asian	< 2.00	0.32	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	0.44	0.36			
	inappropriate identification Discrepancy exists in the category	Black/African American	< 2.00	1.37	Met	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to	1.09	1.20			
9		Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.35	Met		0.19	0.13			
(Ages 6-21)	N size is ≥ 30 * N size is ≤ 30	White	< 2.00	1.15	Met		1.39	1.14			
		2 or more races	< 2.00	0.91	Met	COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS	1.08	1.02			
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.55	Met	should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.50	0.66			

 ¹ Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Baltimore City Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Baltimore City Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

Ī				F	FY 2016 ¹	(SFY 2017)	Part B In	dicators	
	SPP/APR Compliance In	Intellectual Disability	Specific Learning Disability	Emotional Disability	Speech or language Impairment	Autism	Other Health Impairment	Action Required	
	FFY 2016 result Disproportionate representation		1.19	1.40	0.00	0.83	0.91	1.46	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race, ethnicity, and disability was not identified. No further action required.
	(≥ 2.0) in disability categories by rad	Asian	0.14	0.23	0.00	0.39	1.34	0.19	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018.
	as a result of inappropriate identification	Black/African American	1.66	1.75	1.72	0.79	0.84	1.78	The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS
	Discrepancy exists in the	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	0.73	0.00	0.47	0.68	0.00	0.40	should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity and disability.
	category N size is > 3	White	0.93	0.87	1.25	1.48	1.62	1.05	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students
	* N size is < 30	0 2 or more races	0.82	0.63	1.06	0.99	0.71	0.89	with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to the identification of
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	0.68	0.70	0.20	0.92	0.39	0.35	SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity <u>and</u> disability. To be decided FFY 2019.

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Baltimore City Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Baltimore City Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

		FF	Y 2016 (SFY	2017) Part	B Indicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previou	s Results
Results	S Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days.	100%	94.03%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results. Correction of the noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by April 6, 2019.	93.71%	94.10%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.06				Subsequent data demonstrated that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2015 was not corrected within one year of the written finding.		
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	95.74%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	100%	94.03%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results. Correction of the noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by April 6, 2019. Subsequent data demonstrated that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2015 was not corrected within one year of the written finding.	92.60%	98.06%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Baltimore City Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Baltimore City Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

		Ot	ther Data (Considera	tions		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	Results
Results In	dicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Timely correction of noncompliance (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	99.48%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results. Correction of the noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by April 6, 2019.	100%	100%
	State reported data are timely and accurate. (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	98.39%	Not Met	Submit and Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018 to address the failure to report timely and accurate data for Indicator 11.	100%	100%
]	Number of State complaints filed	NA	15	NA	Target met, no further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of State complaints identified with violation(s)	NA	13	NA	Correction of the identified noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by August 2018.	NA	NA
	Number of State findings from State complaints corrected in a timely manner	NA	NA	NA	TBD FFY 2017.	NA	NA
1	Number of due process hearings filed	NA	2	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings identified with violations	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Fiscal Accountability: Number of findings identified	NA	1	NA	Correction of the identified noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by September 2018.	NA	NA
	National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Baltimore City Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services



Karen B. Salmon, Ph.D.

State Superintendent of Schools

MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Daniel D. Curry

Superintendent

FROM:

Marcella E. Franczkowski, M.S. Muralla State Superintendent Division of Santal Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

RE: Amendment to FFY 2016 Part B Local Determination

DATE: April 6, 2018

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS) revisited the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2016 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Report (APR) Part B Indicators issued on March 8, 2018 (see attached) for the Calvert County Public Schools (CCPS). In this communication, you were informed that the CCPS achieved the determination status of "Needs Assistance-Year 1" for the implementation of special education and related services for students ages 3 through 21 with an Individualized Education Program (IEP). Following a comprehensive reexamination of the data, I am proud to share with you that for FFY 2016, CCPS has achieved "Meets Requirements." Please note the due date for any assigned Improvement Plan(s) and/or Corrective Action Plan(s) are now due by Monday, May 7, 2018.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to equity and excellence in providing education to Maryland's students.

Attachments

c: Christina Harris

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017 Calvert County

	Previous Results	FFY	2014	75.34%	≤ 3.00%	NA	NA	;	NA
	Previous	FFY	2015	76.25%	≥ 3.00%	NA	NA		98.79%
Indicators		Action Required		Target met, no further action required.	Target met, no further action required.	Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.		Target met, no further action required.	Target met, no further action required.
117) Part B		Target	Met	Met	Met	NA	NA	Met	Met
FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators	FFY 2016	Local	Results ¹	76.56%	≤ 3.00%	NA	NA	99.20%	99.11%
FFY 2		State	Target	≥ 65.91%	<3.51%	NA	NA	> 95.00%	> 95.00%
	licators	ompliance Indicator		Students with IEPs graduating with a regular diploma - 4 year cohort (ESSA lag data based on 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01	Students with IEPs dropping out (ESSA Option 2 leaver rate based on lag data for 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.08.01.07	AMO for Reading	AMO for Math	Math	Reading
	SPP/APR Indicators	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		Students with IEPs graduating with a regular diploma - 4 year cohort (ESSA lag data base 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01	Students with IEPs dropping out (ESSA Opt leaver rate based on lag data for 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.08.01.07	State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05
		Res		-	2		3A	3B	JB

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Calvert County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Calvert County
Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017

	Previous Results	FFY	2014	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Previou	FFY	2015	18.56%	9.28%	13.01%	8.94%	10.91%	11.01%	3.20%	2.60%	%29.9	4.96%	4.26%	4.12%	60.71%	44.44%
Indicators		Action Required		Target met in math for the 3rd and 4th grade.	Target not met in reading for the 3rd and the 4th grade. Target not met in math and reading for 5th	Innough our grade and fight school. Submit an Improvement Plan by Monday, May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based	practices targeted to improve student results.										
FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators		Target	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Not Met	Not met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met
016 (SFY 20	FFY 2016	Local	Results ¹	23.64%	13.64%	17.71%	9.28%	6.61%	10.74%	7.89%	8.77%	0.93%	5.61%	4.81%	3.81%	44.59%	14.29%
FFY 2		State	Target	16.50%	18.60%	16.15%	21.10%	18.85%	23.60%	20.55%	26.10%	22.25%	28.60%	23.95%	31.10%	56.08%	50.52%
		Compliance Indicator		Mathematics	Reading	Mathematics	Reading	Mathematics	Reading	Mathematics	Reading	Mathematics	Reading	Mathematics	Reading	Mathematics	Reading
	dicatore	ompliar		n)	_	4	V)	9		7		~	o	НС	
	SPD/ADR Indicators	Results Indicator		State Assessment: Proficiency rate for	children with IEPs against grade level,	alternate academic achievement	standards								COMAR 12 A OF 11 OF	13A.03.11.03 COMAR 13A 03 06 05	
		Re								3C							

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Calvert County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Calvert County

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017

nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the	nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for	Met				
The proposed change to COMAF	Met	Met				
The proposed of	Met	Met				
			Met	Met	Met	Met
	1.29	.29				
		-	1.29	1.29	1.29	1.29
	≥ 8.00	8.00	≥ 8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00
17 5125 13 < 50	pension of disabled or nondisabled ts	ension of disabled or nondisabled	ss SA.08.03.03	spension of disabled or nondisabled ats A.08.03.03 ensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs.	-* No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03 Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled Discrepancy exists in the category N size is \(\geq 30 \)	No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students AR 13A.08.03.03 suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. sabled Discrepancy exists in the category N size is > 30 N size is < 30

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Calvert County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

REVISED April 5, 2018

Calvert County

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

%98.09 66.23% 61.86% 23.31% 66.25% 9.55% 5.18% 2014 **Previous Results** FF 51.88% 22.59% 55.56% 80.95% 60.53% 9.52% 5.42% 2015 FFY and evidence-based practices targeted to improve Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by Farget not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by Monday, May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes Target met, no further action required. Target met, no further action required. Target met, no further action required. **Action Required** student results. student results. student results. student results. FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators Not Met Not Met Not Met Not Met **Target** Met Met Met Met **FFY 2016** Results¹ 46.50% 10.60% 62.74% 4.83% 26.17% 76.92% 57.14% Local > 69.90% ≤11.76% \geq 64.70% $\leq 18.30\%$ < 5.94% >68.90% >68.00% **Target** State Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in regular early Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is \geq 80% of the Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is \leq 40% of the public/private day and residential facilities and 2. Exits within age substantial growth Results Indicator Compliance Indicator Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is separate 1. Exits with expectations childhood setting the majority of the day SPP/APR Indicators home and hospital facilities positive social-emotional COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.10 day (MD SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.13.01.09 day (MD SSIS LRE C) demonstrate improved COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16 Students aged 3-5 skills 5A SB 5C 6A 6B **7A**

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Calvert County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017 Calvert County

	Previous Results	FFY	2014	43.75%	64.18%	65.00%	47.00%	47.00%	28.00%	28.00%	72.00%	76.00%
	Previo	FFY	2015	56.52%	44.44%	76.00%	55.56%	44.00%	42.00%	15.38%	65.38%	73.08%
ndicators		Action Required		Target met, no further action required.	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by Monday, May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	Target met, no further action required.	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by Monday, May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	No further action required.	No further action required.	No further action required.
17) Part B I		Target	Met	Met	Not Met	Met	Not Met	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators	FFY 2016	Local	Results ¹	79.31%	52.38%	85.71%	59.32%	86.00%	74.00%	Small cell Size	Small cell Size	Small cell Size
FFY 2		State	Target	>67.60%	>57.20%	>63.10%	>65.70%	>83.00%	>70.00%	>25.00%	>51.00%	>57.00%
	40,00	ndicators Compliance Indicator	pilance indicator	1. Exits with substantial growth	2. Exits within age expectations	1. Exits with substantial growth	2. Exits within age expectations	Age 3-5	Age 6-21	A. Higher ED w/in 1 year of leaving HS	B. Higher ED or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	C. Higher ED or training program or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS
	CDD/ADP Indicators	Secults Indicator	1	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	Parent Survey		Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time that	left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07	COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)
	W. I	Rec		d.P	Q/	Ţ	2/	0	0		14	

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Calvert County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

REVISED April 5, 2018

²

REVISED - APRIL 5, 2018 Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017 Calvert County

	Previous Results	FFY	2014	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Previou	FFY	2015	0.00	0.00	5.12*	00.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	
ndicators	Action Required			Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine	the factors that contribute to suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity.	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities	ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review	its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.
17) Part B I		Target	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	NA	
FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators	FFY 2016	FFY 2016 Local Results ¹		0.00	0.00	00.0	00.00	0.00	0.00	23.97*	
FFY 2		State Target		< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00		
	S	Compliance Indicator		American Indian/Alaska Native	Asian	Black/African American	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	White	2 or more races	Hispanic/Latino	
	SPP/APR Indicators	Results Indicator Compl		Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and	expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Discrepancy exists in the	category N size is ≥ 30	!	of disabled or nondisabled students		COMAR 13A.08.01.21
	t	Results					4B	(Ages 6-21)			

9

REVISED April 5, 2018

REVISED April 5, 2018

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017 Calvert County

	Previous Results	FFY	2014		1.91	0.54	1.60	0.77	0.73	0.95	0.86
	Previou	FFY	2015		2.51	0.46	1.67	0.54	0.73	0.82	0.89
ndicators	Action Required				Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine	identification of SWD by race/ethnicity.	COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities	should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.
FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators		State Local Target Target Results ¹ Met		NA	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	
016 (SFY 20	FFY 2016			2.26*	0.51	1.69	0.63	0.73	0.89	0.80	
FFY 2				< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	
	S	Compliance Indicator			American Indian/Alaska Native	Asian	Black/African American	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	White	2 or more races	Hispanic/Latino
	APR In	Results Indicator Compl			Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students	ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of	inappropriate identification	Exists in the category	* N size is < 30		COMAR 13A.08.01.21
		Results						6	(Ages 6-21)		

Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017 Calvert County

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

	Required Action	Disproportionality for Black/African American students with a Specific Learning Disability was identified. Submit an Improvement Plan within 30 days from the date of this letter.	Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the	current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to	determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity and disability.	Targeted review to be scheduled by MSDE, DSE/EIS. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding	the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to the identification of SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity and disability. To be decided FFY 2019.
ndicators	Other Health Impairment	0.00	0.48	1.67	3.59*	0.85	1.39	0.50
Part B Ir	meituA	2.97*	1.43	1.15	0.00	0.81	0.84	0.94
FFY 2016 ¹ (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators	Speech or language Impairment	3.56*	0.40	1.67	0.00	68.0	1.01	0.52
FY 2016 ¹	Emotional Disability	7.62*	0.00	2.69*	0.00	0.73	0.92	0.21
H	Specific Learning Disability	2.29	0.13	2.05	00.00	0.56	0.64	1.12
	Intellectual Disability	00.00	1.42	0.77	0.00	1.11	0.57	1.16
	icators cator	American Indian/Alaska Native	Asian	Black/African American	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	White	2 or more races	Hispanic/Latino
	SPP/APR Indicators Compliance Indicator	FFY 2016 results: Disproportionate representation	(≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race	as a result of inappropriate identification	☐ Discrepancy exists in the	category N size is ≥ 30	* N size is < 30	COMAR 13A.08.01.21
					9	2		

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Calvert County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Calvert County

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017

		FFY 20:	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators	7) Part B In	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous Results	Results
Results	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator	State	Local	Target		FFY	FFY
		Target	Results	Met		2015	2014
Ξ	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days	%001	99.36%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by Monday, May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices to demonstrate correction of the noncompliance. Correction of the noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by April 6, 2019.	99.72%	100%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.06				Subsequent data demonstrated that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2015 was corrected within one year of the written finding.		
	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday				Target met. No further action required.		
12	COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100%	100%	Met		100%	100%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	,000	%00 ₁
	COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)						

6

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Calvert County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

REVISED April 5, 2018

Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Calvert County

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017

		Ot	Other Data Considerations	Onsidera	tions		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	Previous Results
Results	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator	State	Local	Target		FFY	FFY
		Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
	Timely correction of noncompliance (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
	State reported data are timely and accurate. (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
	Number of State complaints filed	NA	3	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of State complaints identified with violation(s)	NA	2	NA	Correction of the identified noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by August 2018.	NA	NA
	Number of State findings from State complaints corrected in a timely manner	NA	NA	NA	TBD FFY 2017.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings identified with violations	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Fiscal Accountability: Number of findings identified	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA

10

REVISED April 5, 2018

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Calvert County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 Determination Overview Part C & Part B July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is focused on state accountability for Part C and Part B Indicators defined in the Office of Special Education's (OSEP) State Performance Plan. Annually, the OSEP evaluates each state's performance on these Indicators, and, as a result, assigns each state to one of four levels of determination: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) anticipates receiving its current federal determination status for Part C and Part B in June 2018.

To receive a State determination, the IDEA requires states to evaluate each Local Lead Agency (LLA) for the IDEA Part C early intervention services, and each Local School System (LSS) for the IDEA Part B special education performance on the established Indicators. As a result of that evaluation, the state is to assign each LLA and LSS to one of four levels of determination status: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." In making determinations, the OSEP requires states to consider:

- Performance on Results and Compliance Indicators;
- Whether data submitted by public agencies are valid, reliable (accurate), and timely;
- Uncorrected noncompliance from other sources;
- Any audit findings; and
- Other information.

The MSDE, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS), uses a results-based rubric on identified indicators to rate the performance for each LLA and LSS in meeting the State's targets as defined by the State Performance Plan for Part C and Part B. The status and results for each LLA and LSS will be posted, as required, on the MSDE Public Website of State Performance Plan Results at http://mdideareport.org no later than June 1, 2018. For the FFY 2016 determinations, the MSDE, DSE/EIS has included additional indicators highlighted below in blue.

The following **Part C** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

Results	Compliance
2. Primary Service Setting	1. Timely Services
3A. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Emotional Skills	7. 45 Day Timeline
3B. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Knowledge and Skills	8A. Transition - Transition Outcomes
3C. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Use of appropriate behaviors	8B. Transition - Notification to LSS
4A. Family Survey-Know their rights	8C. Transition - Timely Planning Meeting
4B. Family Survey-Effectively communicate their	
children's needs	
4C. Family Survey-Help their children develop and learn	
5. Child Find 0-1	
6. Child Find 0-3	
Correction of Noncompliance	Data and Submission of Reports
Timely Correction of Noncompliance	Timely and Accurate

Fiscal	Complaints and Due Process Hearing
Fiscal Accountability	Number of State complaints filed
	Number of State complaints with violations
	Number of due process hearings filed
	Number of due process hearings with violations

The following **Part B** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

	Results		Compliance
1.	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular	4B.	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant
	diploma		Discrepancy Disaggregated by
2.	Students with IEPs dropping out		Race/Ethnicity
5A	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	9.	Disproportionate Representation
	class 80% or more of the day;		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity
5B	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	10.	Disproportionate Representation
	class less than 40% of the day; and		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity and
5C	LRE for Students Ages 6-21- In separate		Disability
	schools, residential facilities, or housebound/	11.	Initial Evaluation Timeline
See S. C.	hospital placements.	12.	Part C to B Transition
4A	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant	13.	Secondary Transition
	Discrepancy in Single or Multiple		
	Suspensions/Expulsions > than 10 days.		
8A	Preschool Parent Survey; or		
8B	School Age Parent Survey.		
14	Post-School Outcomes		
	Correction of Noncompliance		Data and Submission of Reports
Timely	y Correction of Noncompliance	Time	ly and Accurate
	Fiscal		Complaints and Due Process Hearings
Fiscal	Accountability	Numl	per of State complaints filed
		Numl	per of State complaints with violations
		Numl	per of due process hearings filed
		Numl	per of due process hearings with violations

The MSDE supports the efforts and work of each LLA and LSS to improve performance and identify and correct noncompliance with federal and State requirements. Programmatic technical assistance and monitoring may include, but is not limited to teleconference calls, targeted technical assistance, professional learning opportunities, targeted funds for improvement, and onsite visits. In certain circumstances, enforcement options may be necessary for sustained poor performance and/or ongoing noncompliance.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a), if Maryland determines, for two consecutive years, a public agency needs assistance under §300.603(b)(1)(ii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Advise the public agency of available sources of technical assistance that may help to address the identified areas in which the public agency needs assistance;
- (2) Direct the use of federal funds, in accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a)(2), on the area or areas in which the public agency needs assistance; and/or
- (3) Identify the public agency as a high-risk grantee and impose special conditions on the public agency application for federal funds under the IDEA.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(b), if Maryland determines, for three or more consecutive years, that a public agency needs intervention under §300.603(b)(1)(iii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must implement enforcement actions. The MSDE may:

(1) Take any of the actions described in 34 C.F.R. §300.604(a);

- (2) Take one or more of the following actions:
- (i) Requires the public agency to prepare a corrective action plan or improvement plan if the MSDE determines that the public agency should be able to correct the problem within one year.
- (ii) Require the public agency to enter into a compliance agreement under section 457 of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended, 20 U.S.C. §1221 et seq. (GEPA), if the MSDE has reason to believe that the public agency cannot correct the problem within one year.
- (iii) For each year of the determination, withholds not less than 20 percent and not more than 50 percent of the State's funds under Section 611(e) of the IDEA, until the MSDE determines the public agency has sufficiently addressed the areas in which the public agency needs intervention.
- (iv) Seeks to recover funds under Section 452 of the GEPA.
- (v) Withholds, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under Part B of the IDEA.

In addition to the enforcement actions described above, if the MSDE determines a LLA or LSS needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of the IDEA or that there is a substantial failure to comply with any condition of a public agency's eligibility under the IDEA, in accordance with 34 C.F.R. §300.604(c), the MSDE may take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Recover Funds under section 452 of the GEPA; or
- (2) Withhold, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under the IDEA.

Prior to withholding any funds under the IDEA, the MSDE provides reasonable notice and an opportunity for a hearing to the public agency involved, pursuant to the procedures in in 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.180 through 300.183.

Framework for Assistance and Intervention

Needs Assistance

The process for the provision of assistance is dependent upon the degree of need within each LSS or LLA. The types of assistance must be individualized to address the root causes of the problem(s) for each indicator(s) in order to meet the specific identified needs. The MSDE will identify:

- What needs to change;
- How frequently and in what manner the local school system reports on progress; and
- The MSDE contact for support, information, documentation, and follow-up.

In collaboration with the MSDE, LSSs/LLAs will identify the type, amount, and frequency of assistance needed to address the specific needs. A wide variety of assistance is available. The MSDE is available to consult with LSSs/LLAs by telephone, fax, email, mail, or in-person. To enable a LSS to improve performance and meet compliance available assistance may include, but is not limited to the MSDE assisting a LSS/LLA to:

- Clarify/examine/develop/revise policies and procedures;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system administration and system of general supervision;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system of self-monitoring;
- Provide training/professional development;
- Access the advice of experts to address the area(s) of need, including explicit plans for addressing the area for concern within a specified period of time;

- Identify and implement professional development, instructional strategies, and methods of instruction that are based on scientifically based research;
- Designate and use distinguished superintendents, principals, special education administrators, special education teachers, and other teachers to provide advice, assistance, and support;
- Devise additional approaches to providing assistance, such as collaborating with institutions of higher education, educational service agencies, national centers of technical assistance supported under IDEA, and private providers of scientifically based technical assistance;
- Provide access to additional tools and resources;
- Share sample forms, procedures, processes;
- Provide information on where additional information, resources, support, and other organizations for support may be located;
- Examine/revise data collection;
- Improve collaboration/coordination with internal and external colleagues;
- Provide local director networking opportunities;
- Develop specialized programs/services;
- Examine options for increasing/reallocating personnel
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise fiscal management procedures and documentation
- Use evaluative measures to validate progress and correction of previous noncompliance
- Provide access to discretionary funds.

Needs Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Intervention" indicates a need for assistance in either performance and/or compliance areas within the LSS/LLA General Supervision process. Using the National Center for Special Education Accountability Monitoring (NCSEAM) "Developing and Implementing an Effective System of General Supervision: Part B," MSDE will direct the LSSILLA to examine, clarify, and develop an effective system of general supervision to address results and compliance. This may require more frequent MSDE oversight and direct onsite follow-up.

In collaboration with the LSSILLA, the MSDE may determine a local school system needs additional assistance beyond that listed above in the determination category of "Needs Assistance." Additional supports and required actions may include but are not limited to:

- Mandatory review of the local school system's system of general supervision to include:
 - o Local Self-Assessment of Performance and Compliance:
 - o Policies, procedures, and effective practices, including the local school system's required documentation of implementation;
 - o Integrated Monitoring Activities;
 - o Fiscal Management;
 - o Data Processes and Results;
 - o Improvement, Correction, Incentives, and Sanctions;
 - o Effective Dispute Resolution; and
 - o Directed Targeted Assistance and Professional Development.
- Identification and prioritization of corrective actions based upon the analysis of their system of general supervision, needs and resources;
- Development and implementation of required Corrective Action Plans with required timelines for submission, provision of evidence and data to demonstrate progress and correction as soon as possible but in no case later than one year;
- MSDE prescribing the use of discretionary funds;

- Identification of a local school system as a high risk grantee if a local school system is in the category of Needs Intervention for 2 years;
- Required quarterly reporting on status of correction of noncompliance

Needs Substantial Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Substantial Intervention" indicates a need for significant assistance and support in multiple results and compliance indicators. In addition to the available assistance listed above, to address "Needs Intervention," additional supports and required actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Reduce or eliminate the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Passthrough funds;
- Withhold or reduce Part B Passthrough funds pending completion of the corrective actions;
- Withhold or reduce State funds for special education services pending the completion of corrective actions;
- Assign MSDE staff on-site to assist in the completion of the corrective actions; and
- Assign a monitor to oversee the local school system's special education programs, with the responsibility for the costs of monitoring to be determined by the Department.

Prior to reducing or withholding funds, ail requirements regarding proper notice by MSDE to the local school system shall be met, consistent with 20 U.S.C. §1413(c) and (d).

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Inc	licators		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results
Re	sults Indicator C		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
1	Students with IEPs gra diploma - 4 year cohor 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01	t (ESSA lag data based on	≥ 65.91%	76.56%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	76.25%	75.34%
2	Students with IEPs dro leaver rate based on lag COMAR 13A.08.01.07	,	≤ 3.51%	≤ 3.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	≤ 3.00%	≤ 3.00%
	State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA AMO for Reading		NA	NA	NA	Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.	NA	NA
3A	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	AMO for Math	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA
3B	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA	Math	≥ 95.00%	99.20%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	98.79%	NA
3B	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	Reading	≥ 95.00%	99.11%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	98.79%	INA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Calvert County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

				FFY	2016 (SFY 2	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Inc	dicator	c		FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	sults Indicator C			State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	State Assessment: Proficiency rate for	3	Mathematics	16.50%	23.64%	Met	Target met in math for the 3rd and 4th grade.	18.56%	NA
	children with IEPs against grade level, modified and	3	Reading	18.60%	13.64%	Not Met	Target not met in reading for the 3rd and the 4th grade. Target not met in math and reading for 5th through 8th grade and high school. Submit an	9.28%	NA
	alternate academic achievement	4	Mathematics	16.15%	17.71%	Met	Improvement Plan by Monday, May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based	13.01%	NA
	standards	4	Reading	21.10%	9.28%	Not Met	practices targeted to improve student results.	8.94%	NA
	3C	5	Mathematics	18.85%	6.61%	Not met		10.91%	NA
		3	Reading	23.60%	10.74%	Not Met		11.01%	NA
3C		6	Mathematics	20.55%	7.89%	Not Met		3.20%	NA
		7	Reading	26.10%	8.77%	Not Met		5.60%	NA
			Mathematics	22.25%	0.93%	Not Met		6.67%	NA
		,	Reading	28.60%	5.61%	Not Met		4.96%	NA
		8	Mathematics	23.95%	4.81%	Not Met		4.26%	NA
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	0	Reading	31.10%	3.81%	Not Met		4.12%	NA
	COMAR 13A.03.06.05	HS	Mathematics	56.08%	44.59%	Not Met		60.71%	NA
	1571.05.00.05	110	Reading	50.52%	14.29%	Not Met		44.44%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Calvert County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		FFY	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results
Re	esults Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	1.29	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	1.10	0.00
4A	Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	*_	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	0.00	0.00

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Calvert County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Indica	ators		FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re		pliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose day (MD SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	LRE is $\geq 80\%$ of the	≥ 69.90%	62.74%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by Monday, May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	60.53%	60.36%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is \leq 40% of the day (MD SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10			10.60%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	9.52%	9.55%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose public/private day and resi home and hospital facilitie COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16	≤ 5.94%	4.83%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	5.42%	5.18%	
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: So childhood setting the major COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≥ 64.70%	46.50%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by Monday, May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	51.88%	61.86%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≤ 18.30%	26.17%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by Monday, May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	22.59%	23.31%
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional 1. Exits with substantial growth		≥68.90%	76.92%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	80.95%	66.25%
7A	skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥68.00%	57.14%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by Monday, May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	55.56%	66.23%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Calvert County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indica	ators		FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	·	pliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥67.60%	79.31%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	56.52%	43.75%
7B	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥57.20%	52.38%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by Monday, May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	44.44%	64.18%
Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥63.10%	85.71%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	76.00%	65.00%	
7C	meet their needs. COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥65.70%	59.32%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by Monday, May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results	55.56%	47.00%
_	Parent Survey	Age 3-5	≥83.00%	86.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	44.00%	47.00%
8	Tarent survey	Age 6-21	≥70.00%	74.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	42.00%	28.00%
	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in	A. Higher ED w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥25.00%	Small cell Size	NA	No further action required.	15.38%	28.00%
14	effect at the time they left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07	B. Higher ED or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥51.00%	Small cell Size	NA	No further action required.	65.38%	72.00%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	C. Higher ED or training program or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥57.00%	Small cell Size	NA	No further action required.	73.08%	76.00%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Calvert County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance Indicator State Local Target Results Met Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs Asian < 2.00 0.00 Met Met Met The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of similificant dispreparations of similificant dispreparations ality goes 0.00 0.00 0.00 The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of similificant dispreparationality goes 0.00 0.00 0.00 The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of similificant dispreparationality goes 0.00 0.00 The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of similificant dispreparationality goes 0.00 0.00 The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of similificant dispreparationality goes 0.00 0.00 The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of similificant dispreparationality goes 0.00 0.00 The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of similificant dispreparationality goes 0.00 The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of similificant dispreparationality goes 0.00 The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of similificant dispreparationality goes 0.00 The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of similificant dispreparationality goes 0.00 The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of similificant dispreparations 0.00 The proposed change to COMAR regarding the 0.0												
				FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous Results					
Results	Indicator Comp	liance Indicator			_							
	(≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and	Indian/Alaska	< 2.00	0.00	Met	expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity was not	0.00	0.00				
	expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity Discrepancy exists in the Black/African American	Asian	< 2.00	0.00	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities	0.00	0.00				
			< 2.00	0.00	Met		5.12*	0.00				
4B	category N size is ≥ 30	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.00				
(Ages 6-21)	* N size is < 30* No suspension	White	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.00				
	of disabled or nondisabled students	2 or more races	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.00				
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	23.97*	NA	ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.00	0.00				

Calvert County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators the Period July 1, 2016 June 30, 2017 DE

			FFY	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Indicato			FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous Results	
Results	Indicator Comp	lliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	2.26*	NA	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	2.51	1.91
	ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of	Asian	< 2.00	0.51	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS	0.46	0.54
	inappropriate identification	Black/African American	< 2.00	1.69	Met		1.67	1.60
9	Discrepancy exists in the category	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.63	Met		0.54	0.77
(Ages 6-21)	N size is ≥ 30 * N size is ≤ 30	White	< 2.00	0.73	Met		0.73	0.73
		2 or more races	< 2.00	0.89	Met		0.82	0.95
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.80	Met	should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.89	0.86

				F	FY 2016 ¹	(SFY 2017)	Part B In	dicators	
_	SPP/APR Indicators Compliance Indicator		Intellectual Disability	Specific Learning Disability	Emotional Disability	Speech or Ianguage Impairment	Autism	Other Health Impairment	Required Action
	FFY 2016 results: Disproportionate representation	American Indian/Alaska Native	0.00	2.29	7.62*	3.56*	2.97*	0.00	Disproportionality for Black/African American students with a Specific Learning Disability was identified. Submit an Improvement Plan within 30 days from the date of this letter.
	(≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race	Asian	1.42	0.13	0.00	0.40	1.43	0.48	Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.
	as a result of inappropriate identification	Black/African American	0.77	2.05	2.69*	1.67	1.15	1.67	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the
10	Discrepancy exists in the	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.59*	current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to
10	category N size is ≥ 30	White	1.11	0.56	0.73	0.89	0.81	0.85	determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity <u>and</u> disability.
	* N size is < 30	2 or more races	0.57	0.64	0.92	1.01	0.84	1.39	Targeted review to be scheduled by MSDE, DSE/EIS. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	1.16	1.12	0.21	0.52	0.94	0.50	the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to the identification of SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity and disability. To be decided FFY 2019.

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Calvert County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		FFY 20	16 (SFY 201	L7) Part B Ir	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	s Results
Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days COMAR 13A.05.01.06	100%	99.36%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by Monday, May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices to demonstrate correction of the noncompliance. Correction of the noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by April 6, 2019. Subsequent data demonstrated that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2015 was corrected within one year of the written finding.	99.72%	100%
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Calvert County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		Ot	ther Data (Considera	ations		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	s Results
Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Timely correction of noncompliance (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
	State reported data are timely and accurate. (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
	Number of State complaints filed	NA	3	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of State complaints identified with violation(s)	NA	2	NA	Correction of the identified noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by August 2018.	NA	NA
	Number of State findings from State complaints corrected in a timely manner	NA	NA	NA	TBD FFY 2017.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings identified with violations	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Fiscal Accountability: Number of findings identified	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Calvert County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services



200 West Baltimore Street • Baltimore, MD 21201 • 410-767-0100 • 410-333-6442 TTY/TDD • marylandpublicschools.org

See Revised Report Card Attached

March 12, 2018

Dr. Patricia W. Saelens Superintendent Caroline County Public Schools 204 Franklin Street Denton, MD 21629

Dear Dr. Saelens:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]¹. The MSDE, DSE/EIS uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each local school system in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2016 Part B determinations, the Caroline County Public Schools has achieved the determination status of "Meets Requirements."

Attached please find supporting documentation:

- 1. FFY 2016 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a FFY 2017 local determination status on page two please note the addition of fiscal accountability, State complaints/due process hearings, and preschool least restrictive environment data);
- 2. FFY 2016 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a six-year graduated results driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year); and
- 3. FFY 2016 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21).

The MSDE, DSE/EIS is committed to maintaining a balanced focus on results and compliance to narrow the school readiness and achievement gaps. Please note in FFY 2019 the determination status for each local school system will reflect the July 1, 2018 proposed revision to Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) regarding the identification of significant disproportionality. Maryland's proposed revised definition of significant disproportionality, as required by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), includes the three to five years of age population. Beginning in 2016, the DSE/EIS has provided guidance, technical assistance, and professional learning opportunities to support local school systems in the implementation of revised data collection processes and review of local policies, procedures, and practices. Please note that FFY 2016-17 and FFY 2017-18 data capturing suspension/expulsion (in and out of school), identification by race/ethnicity, and identification by race/ethnicity and disability will be used for the FFY 2019 local determination status.

¹ USDE, OSEP uses state National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) participation and performance data for students with disabilities to assign Maryland's special education determination status (see attached "NAEP"). DSE/EIS will be analyzing local testing protocols and decisions for the NAEP assessment.

Dr. Patricia W. Saelens March 12, 2018 Page Two

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the DSE/EIS five-year strategic plan provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon a comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), the Caroline County Public Schools has been assigned the "Universal" tier for the delivery of the DSE/EIS technical assistance model for results.

Local school systems are strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DSE/EIS will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to equity and efficiency for excellence. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of the Caroline County Public Schools to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Marcella E. Franczkowski, M.S. Assistant State Superintendent Division of Special Education/ Early Intervention Services

MEF/AM/cc

Attachments

c: Karen B. Salmon
Carol A. Williamson
Elizabeth Anthony

Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 Determination Overview Part C & Part B July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is focused on state accountability for Part C and Part B Indicators defined in the Office of Special Education's (OSEP) State Performance Plan. Annually, the OSEP evaluates each state's performance on these Indicators, and, as a result, assigns each state to one of four levels of determination: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) anticipates receiving its current federal determination status for Part C and Part B in June 2018.

To receive a State determination, the IDEA requires states to evaluate each Local Lead Agency (LLA) for the IDEA Part C early intervention services, and each Local School System (LSS) for the IDEA Part B special education performance on the established Indicators. As a result of that evaluation, the state is to assign each LLA and LSS to one of four levels of determination status: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." In making determinations, the OSEP requires states to consider:

- Performance on Results and Compliance Indicators;
- Whether data submitted by public agencies are valid, reliable (accurate), and timely;
- Uncorrected noncompliance from other sources;
- Any audit findings; and
- Other information.

The MSDE, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS), uses a results-based rubric on identified indicators to rate the performance for each LLA and LSS in meeting the State's targets as defined by the State Performance Plan for Part C and Part B. The status and results for each LLA and LSS will be posted, as required, on the MSDE Public Website of State Performance Plan Results at http://mdideareport.org no later than June 1, 2018. For the FFY 2016 determinations, the MSDE, DSE/EIS has included additional indicators highlighted below in blue.

The following **Part C** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

Results	Compliance
2. Primary Service Setting	1. Timely Services
3A. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Emotional Skills	7. 45 Day Timeline
3B. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Knowledge and Skills	8A. Transition - Transition Outcomes
3C. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Use of appropriate behaviors	8B. Transition - Notification to LSS
4A. Family Survey-Know their rights	8C. Transition - Timely Planning Meeting
4B. Family Survey-Effectively communicate their	
children's needs	
4C. Family Survey-Help their children develop and learn	
5. Child Find 0-1	
6. Child Find 0-3	
Correction of Noncompliance	Data and Submission of Reports
Timely Correction of Noncompliance	Timely and Accurate

Fiscal	Complaints and Due Process Hearing
iscal Accountability	Number of State complaints filed
	Number of State complaints with violations
	Number of due process hearings filed
	Number of due process hearings with violations

The following **Part B** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

	Results		Compliance
1.	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular	4B.	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant
	diploma		Discrepancy Disaggregated by
2.	Students with IEPs dropping out		Race/Ethnicity
5A	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	9.	Disproportionate Representation
	class 80% or more of the day;		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity
5B	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	10.	Disproportionate Representation
	class less than 40% of the day; and		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity and
5C	LRE for Students Ages 6-21- In separate		Disability
	schools, residential facilities, or housebound/	11.	Initial Evaluation Timeline
See S. C.	hospital placements.	12.	Part C to B Transition
4A	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant	13.	Secondary Transition
	Discrepancy in Single or Multiple		
	Suspensions/Expulsions > than 10 days.		
8A	Preschool Parent Survey; or		
8B	School Age Parent Survey.		
14	Post-School Outcomes		
	Correction of Noncompliance		Data and Submission of Reports
Timely	y Correction of Noncompliance	Time	ly and Accurate
	Fiscal		Complaints and Due Process Hearings
Fiscal	Accountability	Numl	per of State complaints filed
		Numl	per of State complaints with violations
		Numl	per of due process hearings filed
		Numl	per of due process hearings with violations

The MSDE supports the efforts and work of each LLA and LSS to improve performance and identify and correct noncompliance with federal and State requirements. Programmatic technical assistance and monitoring may include, but is not limited to teleconference calls, targeted technical assistance, professional learning opportunities, targeted funds for improvement, and onsite visits. In certain circumstances, enforcement options may be necessary for sustained poor performance and/or ongoing noncompliance.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a), if Maryland determines, for two consecutive years, a public agency needs assistance under §300.603(b)(1)(ii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Advise the public agency of available sources of technical assistance that may help to address the identified areas in which the public agency needs assistance;
- (2) Direct the use of federal funds, in accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a)(2), on the area or areas in which the public agency needs assistance; and/or
- (3) Identify the public agency as a high-risk grantee and impose special conditions on the public agency application for federal funds under the IDEA.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(b), if Maryland determines, for three or more consecutive years, that a public agency needs intervention under §300.603(b)(1)(iii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must implement enforcement actions. The MSDE may:

(1) Take any of the actions described in 34 C.F.R. §300.604(a);

- (2) Take one or more of the following actions:
- (i) Requires the public agency to prepare a corrective action plan or improvement plan if the MSDE determines that the public agency should be able to correct the problem within one year.
- (ii) Require the public agency to enter into a compliance agreement under section 457 of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended, 20 U.S.C. §1221 et seq. (GEPA), if the MSDE has reason to believe that the public agency cannot correct the problem within one year.
- (iii) For each year of the determination, withholds not less than 20 percent and not more than 50 percent of the State's funds under Section 611(e) of the IDEA, until the MSDE determines the public agency has sufficiently addressed the areas in which the public agency needs intervention.
- (iv) Seeks to recover funds under Section 452 of the GEPA.
- (v) Withholds, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under Part B of the IDEA.

In addition to the enforcement actions described above, if the MSDE determines a LLA or LSS needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of the IDEA or that there is a substantial failure to comply with any condition of a public agency's eligibility under the IDEA, in accordance with 34 C.F.R. §300.604(c), the MSDE may take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Recover Funds under section 452 of the GEPA; or
- (2) Withhold, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under the IDEA.

Prior to withholding any funds under the IDEA, the MSDE provides reasonable notice and an opportunity for a hearing to the public agency involved, pursuant to the procedures in in 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.180 through 300.183.

Framework for Assistance and Intervention

Needs Assistance

The process for the provision of assistance is dependent upon the degree of need within each LSS or LLA. The types of assistance must be individualized to address the root causes of the problem(s) for each indicator(s) in order to meet the specific identified needs. The MSDE will identify:

- What needs to change;
- How frequently and in what manner the local school system reports on progress; and
- The MSDE contact for support, information, documentation, and follow-up.

In collaboration with the MSDE, LSSs/LLAs will identify the type, amount, and frequency of assistance needed to address the specific needs. A wide variety of assistance is available. The MSDE is available to consult with LSSs/LLAs by telephone, fax, email, mail, or in-person. To enable a LSS to improve performance and meet compliance available assistance may include, but is not limited to the MSDE assisting a LSS/LLA to:

- Clarify/examine/develop/revise policies and procedures;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system administration and system of general supervision;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system of self-monitoring;
- Provide training/professional development;
- Access the advice of experts to address the area(s) of need, including explicit plans for addressing the area for concern within a specified period of time;

- Identify and implement professional development, instructional strategies, and methods of instruction that are based on scientifically based research;
- Designate and use distinguished superintendents, principals, special education administrators, special education teachers, and other teachers to provide advice, assistance, and support;
- Devise additional approaches to providing assistance, such as collaborating with institutions of higher education, educational service agencies, national centers of technical assistance supported under IDEA, and private providers of scientifically based technical assistance;
- Provide access to additional tools and resources;
- Share sample forms, procedures, processes;
- Provide information on where additional information, resources, support, and other organizations for support may be located;
- Examine/revise data collection;
- Improve collaboration/coordination with internal and external colleagues;
- Provide local director networking opportunities;
- Develop specialized programs/services;
- Examine options for increasing/reallocating personnel
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise fiscal management procedures and documentation
- Use evaluative measures to validate progress and correction of previous noncompliance
- Provide access to discretionary funds.

Needs Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Intervention" indicates a need for assistance in either performance and/or compliance areas within the LSS/LLA General Supervision process. Using the National Center for Special Education Accountability Monitoring (NCSEAM) "Developing and Implementing an Effective System of General Supervision: Part B," MSDE will direct the LSSILLA to examine, clarify, and develop an effective system of general supervision to address results and compliance. This may require more frequent MSDE oversight and direct onsite follow-up.

In collaboration with the LSSILLA, the MSDE may determine a local school system needs additional assistance beyond that listed above in the determination category of "Needs Assistance." Additional supports and required actions may include but are not limited to:

- Mandatory review of the local school system's system of general supervision to include:
 - o Local Self-Assessment of Performance and Compliance:
 - o Policies, procedures, and effective practices, including the local school system's required documentation of implementation;
 - o Integrated Monitoring Activities;
 - o Fiscal Management;
 - o Data Processes and Results;
 - o Improvement, Correction, Incentives, and Sanctions;
 - o Effective Dispute Resolution; and
 - o Directed Targeted Assistance and Professional Development.
- Identification and prioritization of corrective actions based upon the analysis of their system of general supervision, needs and resources;
- Development and implementation of required Corrective Action Plans with required timelines for submission, provision of evidence and data to demonstrate progress and correction as soon as possible but in no case later than one year;
- MSDE prescribing the use of discretionary funds;

- Identification of a local school system as a high risk grantee if a local school system is in the category of Needs Intervention for 2 years;
- Required quarterly reporting on status of correction of noncompliance

Needs Substantial Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Substantial Intervention" indicates a need for significant assistance and support in multiple results and compliance indicators. In addition to the available assistance listed above, to address "Needs Intervention," additional supports and required actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Reduce or eliminate the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Passthrough funds;
- Withhold or reduce Part B Passthrough funds pending completion of the corrective actions;
- Withhold or reduce State funds for special education services pending the completion of corrective actions;
- Assign MSDE staff on-site to assist in the completion of the corrective actions; and
- Assign a monitor to oversee the local school system's special education programs, with the responsibility for the costs of monitoring to be determined by the Department.

Prior to reducing or withholding funds, ail requirements regarding proper notice by MSDE to the local school system shall be met, consistent with 20 U.S.C. §1413(c) and (d).

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Inc	licators		FFY 2016 ¹			Previous	s Results
Re	sults Indicator Co		State	Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY
NC			Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
1	Students with IEPs gradiploma - 4 year cohor 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01	≥ 65.91%	66.67%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	69.23%	58.62%	
2	Students with IEPs dropping out (ESSA Option 2 leaver rate based on lag data for 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.08.01.07		≤ 3.51%	3.29%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	4.11%	7.43%
	State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA	AMO for Reading	NA	NA	NA	Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.	NA	NA
3A	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	AMO for Math	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA
3B	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA	Math	≥ 95.00%	99.30%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	00.210/	NA
38	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	13A.05.11.05 COMAR Reading		99.55%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	98.31%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Caroline County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

				FFY	2016 (SFY 2	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Inc	dicator	e		FFY 2016 ¹			Previous	Results
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014	
	State Assessment: Proficiency rate for	3	Mathematics	16.50%	21.43%	Met	Target met in math for 3 rd grade.	15.69%	NA
	children with IEPs against grade level, modified and	3	Reading	18.60%	14.29%	Not Met	Target not met for 3rd grade reading and 4th through 8th grade and high school math and reading. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7,	24.39%	NA
	alternate academic achievement	4	Mathematics	16.15%	15.09%	Not Met	2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	17.07%	NA
	standards	4	Reading	21.10%	9.62%	Not Met	r C P	12.00%	NA
	Mathematics 18.85% 14.71% Not Met Reading 23.60% 8.82% Not Met	12.00%	NA						
			Reading	23.60%	8.82%	Not Met		11.54%	NA
3C		6	Mathematics	20.55%	6.82%	Not Met		13.46%	NA
		0	Reading	26.10%	2.33%	Not Met		2.94%	NA
		7	Mathematics	22.25%	6.25%	Not Met		2.94%	NA
		,	Reading	28.60%	6.25%	Not Met		6.82%	NA
		8	Mathematics	23.95%	3.23%	Not Met		2.27%	NA
	COMAR	0	Reading	31.10%	6.45%	Not Met		50.00%	NA
	13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	HS	Mathematics	56.08%	41.18%	Not Met		30.00%	NA
	15/1,05.00.05	113	Reading	50.52%	0.00%	Not Met		15.69%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Caroline County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20)1/) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		FFY 2016 ¹			Previous Results	
Re			Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY
	•	Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	0.00*	0.94
+/4	Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	*	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	*_	*

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Caroline County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators											
SPP/APR Indicators			FFY 2016 ¹				Previous Results				
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014			
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose day (MD SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≥ 69.90%	78.78%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	83.24%	82.10%				
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose day (MD SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≤ 11.76%	10.41%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	9.25%	10.89%				
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose public/private day and resid home and hospital facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16	≤ 5.94%	2.36%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	1.54%	1.56%				
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in regular early childhood setting the majority of the day COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≥ 64.70%	90.66%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	89.47%	90.91%			
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≤ 18.30%	1.33%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	0.00%	0.00%			
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥68.90%	36.36%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement by Plan May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	73.73%	79.17%			
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥68.00%	70.83%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	88.57%	93.75%			

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Caroline County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators											
SPP/APR Indicators			FFY 2016 ¹				Previous Results					
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014				
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥67.60%	64.71%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement by Plan May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	88.57%	93.75%				
,,,	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥57.20%	66.67%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	68.89%	87.50%				
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥63.10%	66.67%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	85.00%	92.31%				
7C	meet their needs. COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥65.70%	75.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	77.78%	91.67%				
8	Parent Survey	Age 3-5	≥83.00%	77.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	57.00%	NA				
8	·	Age 6-21	≥70.00%	77.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	58.00%	70.00%				
	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they	A. Higher ED w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥25.00%	Small cell size	NA	No further action required.	11.76%	10.81%				
14	left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07	B. Higher ED or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥51.00%	Small cell size	NA	No further action required.	58.82%	45.95%				
	COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	C. Higher ED or training program or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥57.00%	Small cell size	NA	No further action required.	64.71%	51.35%				

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Caroline County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators										
	SPP/APR Indicators			FFY 2016 ¹		Action Required	Previous Results			
Results	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014		
	Discrepancy (≥2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity.	0.00	0.00		
		Asian	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.00		
	Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Black/African American	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.00		
4B		Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	*	Met		*	*		
(Ages 6-21)		White	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.00		
		2 or more races	< 2.00	0.00	Met	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities	0.00	13.78*		
		Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.00	Met	ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.00	0.00		

 ¹ Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Caroline County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY :	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B	Indicators		''
	SPP/APR Indicators			FFY 2016 ¹		Action Required	Previous Results	
Results	Indicator Comp	lliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	1.65	Met	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	3.60*	4.94*
	ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Asian	< 2.00	0.51	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	0.93	1.34
		ntification American	< 2.00	1.41	Met		1.35	1.15
9		Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.00	Met	calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the	0.99	0.00
(Ages 6-21)		White	< 2.00	1.06	Met	identification of SWD by race/ethnicity. - PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to	0.99	0.94
		2 or more races	< 2.00	1.08	Met	COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS	0.99	0.95
		Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.49	Met	should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.49	0.58

 ¹ Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Caroline County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

				F	FY 2016 ¹	(SFY 2017) I	Part B In	dicators	
	SPP/APR Ind	Intellectual Disability	Specific Learning Disability	Emotional Disability	Speech or language Impairment	Autism	Other Health Impairment	Required Action	
	FFY 2016 results: Disproportionate representation	American Indian/Alaska Native	0.00	3.70*	0.00	2.97*	0.00	0.00	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race, ethnicity, and disability was not identified. No further action required.
	(≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race	Asian	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.02*	0.00	0.00	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018.
	as a result of inappropriate identification	Black/African American	3.39*	1.39	1.26	1.14	1.88	1.26	The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS
1	Discrepancy exists in the	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity and disability.
	category N size is ≥ 30	White	0.41	1.06	1.37	1.15	1.10	1.16	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students
	* N size is < 30	2 or more races	0.00	1.08	1.54	0.86	0.27	2.07*	with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to the identification of
		Hispanic/Latino	0.97	0.67	0.40	0.20	0.46	0.54	SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity and disability. To be decided FFY 2019.
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21								

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Caroline County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		FFY 20	16 (SFY 201	17) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	s Results
Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State	Local	Target		FFY	FFY
		Target	Results	Met		2015	2014
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days. COMAR 13A.05.01.06	100%	98.94%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement by Plan May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results. Correction of the noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by April 6, 2019. Subsequent data demonstrated that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2015 was corrected within one year of the written finding.	99.24%	98.91%
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Caroline County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		Ot	ther Data (Considera	ntions		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016 ¹		Action Required	Previous	Results
Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Timely correction of noncompliance (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
	State reported data are timely and accurate. (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
	Number of State complaints filed	NA	1	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of State complaints identified with violation(s)	NA	1	NA	Correction of the identified noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by August 2018.	NA	NA
	Number of State findings from State complaints corrected in a timely manner	NA	NA	NA	TBD FFY 2017	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings identified with violations	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Fiscal Accountability: Number of findings identified	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	National Assessment of Educational Progress.(NAEP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Caroline County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services



200 West Baltimore Street • Baltimore, MD 21201 • 410-767-0100 • 410-333-6442 TTY/TDD • marylandpublicschools.org

March 8, 2018

Mr. Stephen H. Guthrie Superintendent Carroll County Public Schools 125 North Court Street Westminster, MD 21157

Dear Mr. Guthrie:

Constatulations The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]¹. The MSDE, DSE/EIS uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each local school system in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2016 Part B determinations, the Carroll County Public Schools has achieved the determination status of "Meets Requirements."

Attached please find supporting documentation:

- 1. FFY 2016 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a FFY 2017 local determination status on page two – please note the addition of fiscal accountability. State complaints/due process hearings, and preschool least restrictive environment data);
- 2. FFY 2016 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a six-year graduated results driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year); and
- 3. FFY 2016 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21).

The MSDE, DSE/EIS is committed to maintaining a balanced focus on results and compliance to narrow the school readiness and achievement gaps. Please note in FFY 2019 the determination status for each local school system will reflect the July 1, 2018 proposed revision to Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) regarding the identification of significant disproportionality. Maryland's proposed revised definition of significant disproportionality, as required by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), includes the three to five years of age population. Beginning in 2016, the DSE/EIS has provided guidance, technical assistance, and professional learning opportunities to support local school systems in the implementation of revised data collection processes and review of local policies, procedures, and practices. Please note that FFY 2016-17 and FFY 2017-18 data capturing suspension/expulsion (in and out of school), identification by race/ethnicity, and identification by race/ethnicity and disability will be used for the FFY 2019 local determination status.

¹ USDE, OSEP uses state National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) participation and performance data for students with disabilities to assign Maryland's special education determination status (see attached "NAEP"). DSE/EIS will be analyzing local testing protocols and decisions for the NAEP assessment.

Dr. Patricia W. Saelens March 8, 2018 Page Two

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the DSE/EIS five-year strategic plan provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon a comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), the Carroll County Public Schools has been assigned the "Universal" tier for the delivery of the DSE/EIS technical assistance model for results.

Local school systems are strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DSE/EIS will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to equity and efficiency for excellence. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of the Carroll County Public Schools to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Marcella E. Franczkowski, M.S.
Assistant State Superintendent
Division of Special Education/
Early Intervention Services

MEF/AM/cc

Attachments

c: Karen B. Salmon Carol A. Williamson Nicholas Shockney

Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 Determination Overview Part C & Part B July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is focused on state accountability for Part C and Part B Indicators defined in the Office of Special Education's (OSEP) State Performance Plan. Annually, the OSEP evaluates each state's performance on these Indicators, and, as a result, assigns each state to one of four levels of determination: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) anticipates receiving its current federal determination status for Part C and Part B in June 2018.

To receive a State determination, the IDEA requires states to evaluate each Local Lead Agency (LLA) for the IDEA Part C early intervention services, and each Local School System (LSS) for the IDEA Part B special education performance on the established Indicators. As a result of that evaluation, the state is to assign each LLA and LSS to one of four levels of determination status: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." In making determinations, the OSEP requires states to consider:

- Performance on Results and Compliance Indicators;
- Whether data submitted by public agencies are valid, reliable (accurate), and timely;
- Uncorrected noncompliance from other sources;
- Any audit findings; and
- Other information.

The MSDE, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS), uses a results-based rubric on identified indicators to rate the performance for each LLA and LSS in meeting the State's targets as defined by the State Performance Plan for Part C and Part B. The status and results for each LLA and LSS will be posted, as required, on the MSDE Public Website of State Performance Plan Results at http://mdideareport.org no later than June 1, 2018. For the FFY 2016 determinations, the MSDE, DSE/EIS has included additional indicators highlighted below in blue.

The following **Part C** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

Results	Compliance
2. Primary Service Setting	1. Timely Services
3A. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Emotional Skills	7. 45 Day Timeline
3B. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Knowledge and Skills	8A. Transition - Transition Outcomes
3C. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Use of appropriate behaviors	8B. Transition - Notification to LSS
4A. Family Survey-Know their rights	8C. Transition - Timely Planning Meeting
4B. Family Survey-Effectively communicate their	
children's needs	
4C. Family Survey-Help their children develop and learn	
5. Child Find 0-1	
6. Child Find 0-3	
Correction of Noncompliance	Data and Submission of Reports
Timely Correction of Noncompliance	Timely and Accurate

Fiscal	Complaints and Due Process Hearing					
Fiscal Accountability	Number of State complaints filed					
	Number of State complaints with violations					
	Number of due process hearings filed					
	Number of due process hearings with violations					

The following **Part B** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

	Results		Compliance			
1.	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular	4B.	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant			
	diploma		Discrepancy Disaggregated by			
2.	Students with IEPs dropping out		Race/Ethnicity			
5A	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	9.	Disproportionate Representation			
	class 80% or more of the day;		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity			
5B	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	10.	Disproportionate Representation			
	class less than 40% of the day; and		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity and			
5C	LRE for Students Ages 6-21- In separate		Disability			
	schools, residential facilities, or housebound/	11.	Initial Evaluation Timeline			
See S. C.	hospital placements.	12.	Part C to B Transition			
4A	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant	13.	Secondary Transition			
	Discrepancy in Single or Multiple					
	Suspensions/Expulsions > than 10 days.					
8A	Preschool Parent Survey; or					
8B	School Age Parent Survey.					
14	Post-School Outcomes					
	Correction of Noncompliance		Data and Submission of Reports			
Timely	y Correction of Noncompliance	Time	ly and Accurate			
	Fiscal		Complaints and Due Process Hearings			
Fiscal	Accountability	Numl	per of State complaints filed			
		Numl	Number of State complaints with violations Number of due process hearings filed			
		Numl				
		Numl	per of due process hearings with violations			

The MSDE supports the efforts and work of each LLA and LSS to improve performance and identify and correct noncompliance with federal and State requirements. Programmatic technical assistance and monitoring may include, but is not limited to teleconference calls, targeted technical assistance, professional learning opportunities, targeted funds for improvement, and onsite visits. In certain circumstances, enforcement options may be necessary for sustained poor performance and/or ongoing noncompliance.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a), if Maryland determines, for two consecutive years, a public agency needs assistance under §300.603(b)(1)(ii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Advise the public agency of available sources of technical assistance that may help to address the identified areas in which the public agency needs assistance;
- (2) Direct the use of federal funds, in accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a)(2), on the area or areas in which the public agency needs assistance; and/or
- (3) Identify the public agency as a high-risk grantee and impose special conditions on the public agency application for federal funds under the IDEA.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(b), if Maryland determines, for three or more consecutive years, that a public agency needs intervention under §300.603(b)(1)(iii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must implement enforcement actions. The MSDE may:

(1) Take any of the actions described in 34 C.F.R. §300.604(a);

- (2) Take one or more of the following actions:
- (i) Requires the public agency to prepare a corrective action plan or improvement plan if the MSDE determines that the public agency should be able to correct the problem within one year.
- (ii) Require the public agency to enter into a compliance agreement under section 457 of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended, 20 U.S.C. §1221 et seq. (GEPA), if the MSDE has reason to believe that the public agency cannot correct the problem within one year.
- (iii) For each year of the determination, withholds not less than 20 percent and not more than 50 percent of the State's funds under Section 611(e) of the IDEA, until the MSDE determines the public agency has sufficiently addressed the areas in which the public agency needs intervention.
- (iv) Seeks to recover funds under Section 452 of the GEPA.
- (v) Withholds, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under Part B of the IDEA.

In addition to the enforcement actions described above, if the MSDE determines a LLA or LSS needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of the IDEA or that there is a substantial failure to comply with any condition of a public agency's eligibility under the IDEA, in accordance with 34 C.F.R. §300.604(c), the MSDE may take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Recover Funds under section 452 of the GEPA; or
- (2) Withhold, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under the IDEA.

Prior to withholding any funds under the IDEA, the MSDE provides reasonable notice and an opportunity for a hearing to the public agency involved, pursuant to the procedures in in 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.180 through 300.183.

Framework for Assistance and Intervention

Needs Assistance

The process for the provision of assistance is dependent upon the degree of need within each LSS or LLA. The types of assistance must be individualized to address the root causes of the problem(s) for each indicator(s) in order to meet the specific identified needs. The MSDE will identify:

- What needs to change;
- How frequently and in what manner the local school system reports on progress; and
- The MSDE contact for support, information, documentation, and follow-up.

In collaboration with the MSDE, LSSs/LLAs will identify the type, amount, and frequency of assistance needed to address the specific needs. A wide variety of assistance is available. The MSDE is available to consult with LSSs/LLAs by telephone, fax, email, mail, or in-person. To enable a LSS to improve performance and meet compliance available assistance may include, but is not limited to the MSDE assisting a LSS/LLA to:

- Clarify/examine/develop/revise policies and procedures;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system administration and system of general supervision;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system of self-monitoring;
- Provide training/professional development;
- Access the advice of experts to address the area(s) of need, including explicit plans for addressing the area for concern within a specified period of time;

- Identify and implement professional development, instructional strategies, and methods of instruction that are based on scientifically based research;
- Designate and use distinguished superintendents, principals, special education administrators, special education teachers, and other teachers to provide advice, assistance, and support;
- Devise additional approaches to providing assistance, such as collaborating with institutions of higher education, educational service agencies, national centers of technical assistance supported under IDEA, and private providers of scientifically based technical assistance;
- Provide access to additional tools and resources;
- Share sample forms, procedures, processes;
- Provide information on where additional information, resources, support, and other organizations for support may be located;
- Examine/revise data collection;
- Improve collaboration/coordination with internal and external colleagues;
- Provide local director networking opportunities;
- Develop specialized programs/services;
- Examine options for increasing/reallocating personnel
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise fiscal management procedures and documentation
- Use evaluative measures to validate progress and correction of previous noncompliance
- Provide access to discretionary funds.

Needs Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Intervention" indicates a need for assistance in either performance and/or compliance areas within the LSS/LLA General Supervision process. Using the National Center for Special Education Accountability Monitoring (NCSEAM) "Developing and Implementing an Effective System of General Supervision: Part B," MSDE will direct the LSSILLA to examine, clarify, and develop an effective system of general supervision to address results and compliance. This may require more frequent MSDE oversight and direct onsite follow-up.

In collaboration with the LSSILLA, the MSDE may determine a local school system needs additional assistance beyond that listed above in the determination category of "Needs Assistance." Additional supports and required actions may include but are not limited to:

- Mandatory review of the local school system's system of general supervision to include:
 - o Local Self-Assessment of Performance and Compliance:
 - o Policies, procedures, and effective practices, including the local school system's required documentation of implementation;
 - o Integrated Monitoring Activities;
 - o Fiscal Management;
 - o Data Processes and Results;
 - o Improvement, Correction, Incentives, and Sanctions;
 - o Effective Dispute Resolution; and
 - o Directed Targeted Assistance and Professional Development.
- Identification and prioritization of corrective actions based upon the analysis of their system of general supervision, needs and resources;
- Development and implementation of required Corrective Action Plans with required timelines for submission, provision of evidence and data to demonstrate progress and correction as soon as possible but in no case later than one year;
- MSDE prescribing the use of discretionary funds;

- Identification of a local school system as a high risk grantee if a local school system is in the category of Needs Intervention for 2 years;
- Required quarterly reporting on status of correction of noncompliance

Needs Substantial Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Substantial Intervention" indicates a need for significant assistance and support in multiple results and compliance indicators. In addition to the available assistance listed above, to address "Needs Intervention," additional supports and required actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Reduce or eliminate the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Passthrough funds;
- Withhold or reduce Part B Passthrough funds pending completion of the corrective actions;
- Withhold or reduce State funds for special education services pending the completion of corrective actions;
- Assign MSDE staff on-site to assist in the completion of the corrective actions; and
- Assign a monitor to oversee the local school system's special education programs, with the responsibility for the costs of monitoring to be determined by the Department.

Prior to reducing or withholding funds, ail requirements regarding proper notice by MSDE to the local school system shall be met, consistent with 20 U.S.C. §1413(c) and (d).

Carroll County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Inc	licators		FFY 2016			Previou	s Results
Re	sults Indicator Co		State	Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY
			Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
1	Students with IEPs gradiploma - 4 year cohor 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01	t (ESSA lag data based on	≥ 65.91%	79.56%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	80.82%	75.17%
2	Students with IEPs drop leaver rate based on lag COMAR 13A.08.01.07	≤ 3.51%	≤ 3.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	≤ 3.00%	≤ 3.00%	
	State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA	AMO for Reading	NA	NA	NA	Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.	NA	NA
3A	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	AMO for Math		NA	NA		NA	NA
2D.	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA	Math	≥ 95.00%	99.20%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	= 99.23%	NA
3В	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	1.05 Reading		98.78%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	7 99.23%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Carroll County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Carroll County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

				FFY	2016 (SFY 2	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Inc	dicator	-		FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	·		nce Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	State Assessment: Proficiency rate for	3	Mathematics	16.50%	25.00%	Met	Target met in math for the 3 rd and 4 th grade and high school.	26.17%	NA
	children with IEPs against grade level, modified and	3	Reading	18.60%	14.63%	Not Met	Target not met for reading for the 3 rd grade through the 8 th grade and high school. Target not	13.15%	NA
	alternate academic achievement	4	Mathematics	16.15%	19.41%	Met	met in math for 5 th grade through the 8 th grade and high school. Submit an Improvement Plan by May	17.21%	NA
	standards	4	Reading	21.10%	12.66%	Not Met	7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	13.55%	NA
		5	Mathematics	18.85%	18.60%	Not Met		18.10%	NA
		<i></i>	Reading	23.60%	11.63%	Not Met		7.83%	NA
3C		6	Mathematics	20.55%	9.40%	Not Met		9.75%	NA
			Reading	26.10%	8.09%	Not Met		6.36%	NA
		7	Mathematics	22.25%	9.91%	Not Met		11.22%	NA
		,	Reading	28.60%	10.85%	Not Met		10.73%	NA
		8	Mathematics	23.95%	14.59%	Not Met		12.43%	NA
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	0	Reading	31.10%	10.27%	Not Met		7.03%	NA
	COMAR 13A.03.06.05	HS	Mathematics	56.08%	46.98%	Not Met		57.24%	NA
	1371.03.00.03	110	Reading	50.52%	19.73%	Not Met		43.92%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Carroll County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Carroll County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators the Pariod July 1, 2016 June 30, 2017 Pl

		FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	CDD/ADD In diseases		FFY 2016			Previous Results	
Re	SPP/APR Indicators sults Indicator Compliance Indicator	State	Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY
nc		Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled ☐ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	4.03*	NA	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	3.05*	3.44*
7/1	Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	4.02*	NA	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	3.93*	3.93*

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Carroll County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Carroll County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B I	ndicators		
	CDD/ADD Indian	Anna		FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	SPP/APR Indica sults Indicator Com		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose day (MD SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	LRE is $\geq 80\%$ of the	≥ 69.90%	76.79%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	78.08%	80.32%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≤ 40% of the day (MD SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≤ 11.76%	7.45%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	7.22%	6.90%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose public/private day and residence and hospital facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16	≤ 5.94%	3.96%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	4.14%	3.45%	
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Se childhood setting the major COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≥ 64.70%	46.60%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	47.30%	53.48%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≤ 18.30%	28.85%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	25.95%	23.12%
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥68.90%	66.00%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	88.11%	66.67%
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥68.00%	67.71%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	78.69%	80.00%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Carroll County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Carroll County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indica	ators		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results
Re	sults Indicator Com		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥67.60%	72.50%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	62.75%	20.00%
7B	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥57.20%	51.04%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	44.26%	20.00%
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥63.10%	75.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	82.05%	100%
7C	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥65.70%	55.21%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results	72.31%	100%
8	Parent Survey	Age 3-5	≥83.00%	92.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	49.00%	40.00
8		Age 6-21	≥70.00%	80.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	49.00%	46.00%
	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they	A. Higher ED w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥25.00%	30.19%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	25.00%	25.64%
14	left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07	B. Higher ED or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥51.00%	81.13%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	69.77%	32.05%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	C. Higher ED or training program or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥57.00%	91.19%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	77.91%	33.97%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Carroll County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indicato			FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous Results	
Results	Indicator Comp	liance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.00	0.00
	expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Asian	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.00
	Discrepancy exists in the	Black/African American	< 2.00	0.00	Met		6.49*	23.25*
4B	category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.00
(Ages 6-21)	* No suspension	White	< 2.00	3.55*	NA		3.41*	2.06*
	of disabled or nondisabled students	2 or more races	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.00
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	16.91*	Met		0.00	9.50*

 ¹ Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Carroll County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Carroll County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators												
	SPP/APR Indicato			FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous Results						
Results	Indicator Comp	lliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014					
	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	1.18	Met	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	1.58	1.44					
ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of	Asian	< 2.00	0.55	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	0.55	0.55						
	inappropriate identification Discrepancy exists in the category	Black/African American	< 2.00	1.49	Met	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to	1.56	1.61					
9		Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	1.08	Met		0.88	0.40					
(Ages 6-21)	N size is ≥ 30 * N size is ≤ 30	White	< 2.00	0.76	Met		0.76	0.69					
		2 or more races	< 2.00	1.00	Met	COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS	0.91	1.11					
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.93	Met	should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.89	0.90					

 ¹ Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Carroll County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

				F	FY 2016 ¹	(SFY 2017)	Part B In	dicators	
	SPP/APR Indicators Compliance Indicator		Intellectual Disability	Specific Learning Disability	Emotional Disability	Speech or Ianguage Impairment	Autism	Other Health Impairment	Action Required
	FFY 2016 results: Disproportionate representation	American Indian/Alaska Native	0.00	0.99	2.72*	1.00	4.57*	0.00	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race, ethnicity, and disability was not identified. No further action required.
	(≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race	Asian	0.92	0.29	0.60	0.91	0.33	0.43	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018.
	as a result of inappropriate identification	Black/African American	2.91*	1.61	1.19	0.76	1.08	2.04	The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS
10	Discrepancy exists in the	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	0.00	0.63	3.47*	2.56*	2.90*	0.00	should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity and disability.
	category N size is ≥ 30	White	0.37	0.76	1.01	1.12	1.21	0.60	Targeted review to be scheduled by MSDE DSE/EIS.
	* N size is < 30	2 or more races	0.33	0.98	0.95	1.29	1.29	1.12	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	0.79	0.94	0.79	1.57	0.64	0.78	LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to the identification of SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity and disability. To be decided FFY 2019.

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Carroll County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators											
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	s Results				
Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State	Local	Target		FFY	FFY				
		Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014				
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days	100%	99.20%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results. Correction of the noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by April 6, 2019.	99.02%	98.39%				
	COMAR 13A.05.01.06				Subsequent data demonstrated that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2015 was corrected within one year of the written finding.						
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%				
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09				Tangat and made Culturate on Languagement						
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	100%	99.8%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results. Correction of the noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by April 6, 2019. Subsequent data demonstrated that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2015 was corrected within one year of the written finding.	100%	99.39%				

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Carroll County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Other Data Considerations											
SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016 ¹		Action Required	Previous	s Results					
Results Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014					
Timely correction of noncompliance (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%					
State reported data are timely and accurate. (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%					
Number of State complaints filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA					
Number of State complaints identified with violation(s)	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA					
Number of State findings from State complaints corrected in a timely manner	NA	NA	NA	TBD FFY 2017.	NA	NA					
Number of due process hearings filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA					
Number of due process hearings identified with violations	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA					
Fiscal Accountability: Number of findings identified	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA					
National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA					

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Carroll County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services



200 West Baltimore Street • Baltimore, MD 21201 • 410-767-0100 • 410-333-6442 TTY/TDD • marylandpublicschools.org Constatulations

March 8, 2018

Dr. D'Ette W. Devine Superintendent Cecil County Public Schools 201 Booth Street Elkton, MD 21921

Dear Dr. D'Ette W. Devine:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]¹. The MSDE, DSE/EIS uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each local school system in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2016 Part B determinations, the Cecil County Public Schools has achieved the determination status of "Meets Requirements."

Attached please find supporting documentation:

- 1. FFY 2016 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a FFY 2017 local determination status on page 2 - please note the addition of fiscal accountability, State complaints/due process hearings, and preschool least restrictive environment data);
- 2. FFY 2016 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a six-year graduated results driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year); and
- 3. FFY 2016 Annual Report Card on SPP/APR Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21).

The MSDE, DSE/EIS is committed to maintaining a balanced focus on results and compliance to narrow the school readiness and achievement gaps. Please note in FFY 2019 the determination status for each local school system will reflect the July 1, 2018 proposed revision to COMAR regarding the identification of significant disproportionality. The proposed revised definition of significant disproportionality, as required by the IDEA, will include the three to five years of age population. This year the DSE/EIS provided guidance, technical assistance, and professional learning opportunities to support local school systems in the implementation of revised data collection processes and review of local policies, procedures, and practices. Please note that FFY 2016-17 and FFY 2017-18 data capturing suspension/expulsion (in and out of school), identification by race/ethnicity, and identification by race/ethnicity and disability will be used for the FFY 2019 local determination status.

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the DSE/EIS five-year strategic plan provides a statewide

¹ USDE, OSEP uses state National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) participation and performance data for students with disabilities to assign Maryland's determination status (see attached "NAEP"). DSE/EIS will be analyzing local testing protocols and decisions for the NAEP assessment.

Dr. D'Ette W. Devine March 8, 2018 Page Two

differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon a comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), the Cecil County Public Schools has been assigned the "Universal" tier for the delivery of the DSE/EIS technical assistance model for results.

Local school systems are strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DSE/EIS will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to equity and efficiency for excellence. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of the Cecil County Public Schools to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Marcella E. Franczkowski, M.S.
Assistant State Superintendent
Division of Special Education/
Early Intervention Services

MEF/AM/cc

Attachments

c: Karen B. Salmon Carol A. Williamson Sarah J. Farr

Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 Determination Overview Part C & Part B July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is focused on state accountability for Part C and Part B Indicators defined in the Office of Special Education's (OSEP) State Performance Plan. Annually, the OSEP evaluates each state's performance on these Indicators, and, as a result, assigns each state to one of four levels of determination: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) anticipates receiving its current federal determination status for Part C and Part B in June 2018.

To receive a State determination, the IDEA requires states to evaluate each Local Lead Agency (LLA) for the IDEA Part C early intervention services, and each Local School System (LSS) for the IDEA Part B special education performance on the established Indicators. As a result of that evaluation, the state is to assign each LLA and LSS to one of four levels of determination status: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." In making determinations, the OSEP requires states to consider:

- Performance on Results and Compliance Indicators;
- Whether data submitted by public agencies are valid, reliable (accurate), and timely;
- Uncorrected noncompliance from other sources;
- Any audit findings; and
- Other information.

The MSDE, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS), uses a results-based rubric on identified indicators to rate the performance for each LLA and LSS in meeting the State's targets as defined by the State Performance Plan for Part C and Part B. The status and results for each LLA and LSS will be posted, as required, on the MSDE Public Website of State Performance Plan Results at http://mdideareport.org no later than June 1, 2018. For the FFY 2016 determinations, the MSDE, DSE/EIS has included additional indicators highlighted below in blue.

The following **Part C** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

Results	Compliance
2. Primary Service Setting	1. Timely Services
3A. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Emotional Skills	7. 45 Day Timeline
3B. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Knowledge and Skills	8A. Transition - Transition Outcomes
3C. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Use of appropriate behaviors	8B. Transition - Notification to LSS
4A. Family Survey-Know their rights	8C. Transition - Timely Planning Meeting
4B. Family Survey-Effectively communicate their	
children's needs	
4C. Family Survey-Help their children develop and learn	
5. Child Find 0-1	
6. Child Find 0-3	
Correction of Noncompliance	Data and Submission of Reports
Timely Correction of Noncompliance	Timely and Accurate

Fiscal	Complaints and Due Process Hearing
Fiscal Accountability	Number of State complaints filed
	Number of State complaints with violations
	Number of due process hearings filed
	Number of due process hearings with violations

The following **Part B** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

	Results		Compliance			
1.	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular	4B.	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant			
	diploma		Discrepancy Disaggregated by			
2.	Students with IEPs dropping out		Race/Ethnicity			
5A	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	9.	Disproportionate Representation			
	class 80% or more of the day;		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity			
5B	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	10.	Disproportionate Representation			
	class less than 40% of the day; and		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity and			
5C	LRE for Students Ages 6-21- In separate		Disability			
	schools, residential facilities, or housebound/	11.	Initial Evaluation Timeline			
See S. C.	hospital placements.	12.	Part C to B Transition			
4A	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant	13.	Secondary Transition			
	Discrepancy in Single or Multiple					
	Suspensions/Expulsions > than 10 days.					
8A	Preschool Parent Survey; or					
8B	School Age Parent Survey.					
14	Post-School Outcomes					
	Correction of Noncompliance		Data and Submission of Reports			
Timely	y Correction of Noncompliance	Time	ly and Accurate			
	Fiscal		Complaints and Due Process Hearings			
Fiscal	Accountability	Numl	per of State complaints filed			
		Numl	per of State complaints with violations			
		Numl	Number of due process hearings filed			
		Numl	per of due process hearings with violations			

The MSDE supports the efforts and work of each LLA and LSS to improve performance and identify and correct noncompliance with federal and State requirements. Programmatic technical assistance and monitoring may include, but is not limited to teleconference calls, targeted technical assistance, professional learning opportunities, targeted funds for improvement, and onsite visits. In certain circumstances, enforcement options may be necessary for sustained poor performance and/or ongoing noncompliance.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a), if Maryland determines, for two consecutive years, a public agency needs assistance under §300.603(b)(1)(ii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Advise the public agency of available sources of technical assistance that may help to address the identified areas in which the public agency needs assistance;
- (2) Direct the use of federal funds, in accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a)(2), on the area or areas in which the public agency needs assistance; and/or
- (3) Identify the public agency as a high-risk grantee and impose special conditions on the public agency application for federal funds under the IDEA.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(b), if Maryland determines, for three or more consecutive years, that a public agency needs intervention under §300.603(b)(1)(iii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must implement enforcement actions. The MSDE may:

(1) Take any of the actions described in 34 C.F.R. §300.604(a);

- (2) Take one or more of the following actions:
- (i) Requires the public agency to prepare a corrective action plan or improvement plan if the MSDE determines that the public agency should be able to correct the problem within one year.
- (ii) Require the public agency to enter into a compliance agreement under section 457 of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended, 20 U.S.C. §1221 et seq. (GEPA), if the MSDE has reason to believe that the public agency cannot correct the problem within one year.
- (iii) For each year of the determination, withholds not less than 20 percent and not more than 50 percent of the State's funds under Section 611(e) of the IDEA, until the MSDE determines the public agency has sufficiently addressed the areas in which the public agency needs intervention.
- (iv) Seeks to recover funds under Section 452 of the GEPA.
- (v) Withholds, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under Part B of the IDEA.

In addition to the enforcement actions described above, if the MSDE determines a LLA or LSS needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of the IDEA or that there is a substantial failure to comply with any condition of a public agency's eligibility under the IDEA, in accordance with 34 C.F.R. §300.604(c), the MSDE may take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Recover Funds under section 452 of the GEPA; or
- (2) Withhold, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under the IDEA.

Prior to withholding any funds under the IDEA, the MSDE provides reasonable notice and an opportunity for a hearing to the public agency involved, pursuant to the procedures in in 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.180 through 300.183.

Framework for Assistance and Intervention

Needs Assistance

The process for the provision of assistance is dependent upon the degree of need within each LSS or LLA. The types of assistance must be individualized to address the root causes of the problem(s) for each indicator(s) in order to meet the specific identified needs. The MSDE will identify:

- What needs to change;
- How frequently and in what manner the local school system reports on progress; and
- The MSDE contact for support, information, documentation, and follow-up.

In collaboration with the MSDE, LSSs/LLAs will identify the type, amount, and frequency of assistance needed to address the specific needs. A wide variety of assistance is available. The MSDE is available to consult with LSSs/LLAs by telephone, fax, email, mail, or in-person. To enable a LSS to improve performance and meet compliance available assistance may include, but is not limited to the MSDE assisting a LSS/LLA to:

- Clarify/examine/develop/revise policies and procedures;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system administration and system of general supervision;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system of self-monitoring;
- Provide training/professional development;
- Access the advice of experts to address the area(s) of need, including explicit plans for addressing the area for concern within a specified period of time;

- Identify and implement professional development, instructional strategies, and methods of instruction that are based on scientifically based research;
- Designate and use distinguished superintendents, principals, special education administrators, special education teachers, and other teachers to provide advice, assistance, and support;
- Devise additional approaches to providing assistance, such as collaborating with institutions of higher education, educational service agencies, national centers of technical assistance supported under IDEA, and private providers of scientifically based technical assistance;
- Provide access to additional tools and resources;
- Share sample forms, procedures, processes;
- Provide information on where additional information, resources, support, and other organizations for support may be located;
- Examine/revise data collection;
- Improve collaboration/coordination with internal and external colleagues;
- Provide local director networking opportunities;
- Develop specialized programs/services;
- Examine options for increasing/reallocating personnel
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise fiscal management procedures and documentation
- Use evaluative measures to validate progress and correction of previous noncompliance
- Provide access to discretionary funds.

Needs Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Intervention" indicates a need for assistance in either performance and/or compliance areas within the LSS/LLA General Supervision process. Using the National Center for Special Education Accountability Monitoring (NCSEAM) "Developing and Implementing an Effective System of General Supervision: Part B," MSDE will direct the LSSILLA to examine, clarify, and develop an effective system of general supervision to address results and compliance. This may require more frequent MSDE oversight and direct onsite follow-up.

In collaboration with the LSSILLA, the MSDE may determine a local school system needs additional assistance beyond that listed above in the determination category of "Needs Assistance." Additional supports and required actions may include but are not limited to:

- Mandatory review of the local school system's system of general supervision to include:
 - o Local Self-Assessment of Performance and Compliance:
 - o Policies, procedures, and effective practices, including the local school system's required documentation of implementation;
 - o Integrated Monitoring Activities;
 - o Fiscal Management;
 - o Data Processes and Results;
 - o Improvement, Correction, Incentives, and Sanctions;
 - o Effective Dispute Resolution; and
 - o Directed Targeted Assistance and Professional Development.
- Identification and prioritization of corrective actions based upon the analysis of their system of general supervision, needs and resources;
- Development and implementation of required Corrective Action Plans with required timelines for submission, provision of evidence and data to demonstrate progress and correction as soon as possible but in no case later than one year;
- MSDE prescribing the use of discretionary funds;

- Identification of a local school system as a high risk grantee if a local school system is in the category of Needs Intervention for 2 years;
- Required quarterly reporting on status of correction of noncompliance

Needs Substantial Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Substantial Intervention" indicates a need for significant assistance and support in multiple results and compliance indicators. In addition to the available assistance listed above, to address "Needs Intervention," additional supports and required actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Reduce or eliminate the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Passthrough funds;
- Withhold or reduce Part B Passthrough funds pending completion of the corrective actions;
- Withhold or reduce State funds for special education services pending the completion of corrective actions;
- Assign MSDE staff on-site to assist in the completion of the corrective actions; and
- Assign a monitor to oversee the local school system's special education programs, with the responsibility for the costs of monitoring to be determined by the Department.

Prior to reducing or withholding funds, ail requirements regarding proper notice by MSDE to the local school system shall be met, consistent with 20 U.S.C. §1413(c) and (d).

Cecil County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators												
	SPP/APR Inc	dicators		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results					
Re	sults Indicator Co		State	Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY					
1.c	— Results maleator — compilance maleator		Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014					
1	Students with IEPs gradiploma - 4 year cohor 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01	≥ 65.91%	68.70%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	61.98%	65.74%						
2	Students with IEPs dropping out (ESSA Option 2 leaver rate based on lag data for 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.08.01.07		≤ 3.51%	3.04%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	5.02%	4.33%					
	State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA	AMO for Reading	NA	NA	NA	Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.	NA	NA					
3A	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	AMO for Math	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA					
2D	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA	Math	≥ 95.00%	98.80%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	07.069/	N/A					
3В	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	Reading		98.60%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	97.96%	NA					

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Cecil County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Cecil County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

				FFY	2016 (SFY 2	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR In	dicator	e		FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014	
	State Assessment: Proficiency rate for	iency rate for	Mathematics	16.50%	10.53%	Not Met	Target not met in reading and math for the 3rd grade through the 8th grade and high school.	5.62%	NA
	children with IEPs against grade level, modified and alternate academic achievement	3	Reading	18.60%	5.79%	Not Met	Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	2.81%	NA
		4	Mathematics	16.15%	5.08%	Not Met	practices targeted to improve student results.	6.57%	NA
	standards	4	Reading	21.10%	4.02%	Not Met		6.57%	NA
		5	Mathematics	18.85%	6.52%	Not Met		4.76%	NA
			Reading	23.60%	5.43%	Not Met		6.13%	NA
3C		6	Mathematics	20.55%	8.10%	Not Met		6.32%	NA
		0	Reading	26.10%	5.66%	Not Met		2.08%	NA
		7	Mathematics	22.25%	5.85%	Not Met		4.76%	NA
		,	Reading	28.60%	8.56%	Not Met		2.92%	NA
		8	Mathematics	23.95%	6.96%	Not Met		5.78%	NA
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	0	Reading	31.10%	1.92%	Not Met		2.33%	NA
		HS =	Mathematics	56.08%	16.67%	Not Met		33.33%	NA
		110	Reading	50.52%	9.26%	Not Met		19.57%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Cecil County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Cecil County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators the Period July 1, 2016 June 30, 2017 PI

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators											
	CDD/ADD Indicators		FFY 2016			Previous Results						
Re	SPP/APR Indicators sults Indicator Compliance Indicator	State	Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY					
\	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014					
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	0.56	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	0.61*	0.00					
4/4	Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	1.19	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	0.00	0.43					

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Cecil County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Cecil County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

		FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B I	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results
Re	sults Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≥ 80% of the day (MD SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≥ 69.90%	90.81%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	90.74%	89.74%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≤ 40% of the day (MD SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≤ 11.76%	3.27%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	2.82%	3.35%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is separate public/private day and residential facilities and home and hospital facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16	≤ 5.94%	2.70%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	2.72%	3.10%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in regular early childhood setting the majority of the day COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≥ 64.70%	66.01%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	60.15%	68.86%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≤ 18.30%	0.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	0.00%	0.00%
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills 1. Exits with substantial growth	≥68.90%	59.32%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	51.52%	0.00%
/A	COMAR 13A.13.01.09 2. Exits within age expectations	≥68.00%	51.32%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	58.49%	53.85%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Cecil County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Cecil County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indica	ators.		FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	sults Indicator Com		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥67.60%	62.30%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	58.14%	62.07%
/B	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥57.20%	44.74%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	43.40%	59.22%
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥63.10%	63.33%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	40.54%	49.25%
7C	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥65.70%	60.53%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	43.40%	52.29%
	Parent Survey	Age 3-5	≥83.00%	70.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	41.00%	53.69%
8	1 410110 3 42 1 6 9	Age 6-21	≥70.00%	70.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	43.00%	50.00%
	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they	A. Higher ED w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥25.00%	15.18%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	16.95%	16.10%
14	left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07	B. Higher ED or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥51.00%	68.75%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	61.86%	61.02%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	C. Higher ED or training program or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥57.00%	73.21%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	61.86%	62.71%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Cecil County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators										
SPP/APR Indicators			FFY 2016			Action Required		Previous Results		
Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014		
	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.00	0.00		
		Asian	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.00		
	Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Black/African American	< 2.00	0.65	Met		1.57	0.00		
4B		Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.00		
(Ages 6-21)		White	< 2.00	0.75	Met		0.57	0.45		
		2 or more races	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.00		
		Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.95	Met		0.00	1.18		

 ¹ Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Cecil County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Cecil County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators										
SPP/APR Indicators			FFY 2016			Action Required	Previous Results			
Results	Indicator Comp	lliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014		
	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * COMAR 13A.08.01.21	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	1.37	Met	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	1.44	1.04		
		Asian	< 2.00	0.64	Met		0.54	0.32		
		Black/African American	< 2.00	1.07	Met		1.13	1.16		
9		Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	1.10	Met		0.37	0.39		
(Ages 6-21)		White	< 2.00	0.98	Met		0.98	0.95		
		2 or more races	< 2.00	1.11	Met		1.05	1.16		
		Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	1.01	Met		1.00	1.06		

 ¹ Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Cecil County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 ¹ (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators									
	SPP/APR Indicators Compliance Indicator			Specific Learning Disability	Emotional Disability	Speech or language Impairment	Autism	Other Health Impairment	Action Required	
	FFY 2016 results: Disproportionate representation	American Indian/Alaska Native	0.00	0.63	4.56*	0.00	0.00	2.96*	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race, ethnicity, and disability was not identified. No further action required.	
	(≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race	Asian	0.00	0.35	0.00	2.23*	1.10	0.55	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018.	
	as a result of inappropriate identification	Black/African American	1.37	1.16	2.68*	0.47	0.68	1.26	The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS	
1	Discrepancy exists in the	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.32*	0.00	3.17*	should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity and-disability .	
	category N size is ≥ 30	White	1.13	0.95	0.55	1.18	1.23	0.88	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students	
	* N size is < 30	2 or more races	0.21	0.97	2.43*	0.88	1.25	1.22	with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to the identification of	
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	0.95	1.13	0.25	1.28	1.09	0.88	SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity <u>and</u> disability. To be decided FFY 2019.	

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Cecil County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators									
SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		FFY 2016			Action Required	Previous Results			
		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014		
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days	100%	99.32%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results. Correction of the noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by April 6, 2019.	99.83%	99.08%		
	COMAR 13A.05.01.06				Subsequent data demonstrated that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2015 was corrected within one year of the written finding.				
	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday				Target met, no further action required.				
12	COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100%	100%	Met		100%	100%		
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	100%		
	COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)								

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Cecil County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	Ot	ther Data (Considera	ations		
SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016 ¹		Action Required	Previous	Results
Results Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
Timely correction of noncompliance (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	100%
State reported data are timely and accurate. (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	100%
Number of State complaints filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
Number of State complaints identified with violation(s)	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
Number of State findings from State complaints corrected in a timely manner	NA	NA	NA	TBD FFY 2017.	NA	NA
Number of due process hearings filed	NA	1	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
Number of due process hearings identified with violations	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
Fiscal Accountability: Number of findings identified	100%	1	100%	Target met. No further action required. The one finding of noncompliance identified on September 1, 2017 was corrected and verified within one year from the issuance of the written finding.	NA	NA
National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Cecil County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services





MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Kimberly Hill

Superintendent

FROM:

Marcella E. Franczkowski, M.S. Assistant State Superintendent Division of Special Control of Control of Special Control of Control of Control of Control of Control of Control of Contro Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

RE: Amendment to FFY 2016 Part B Local Determination

DATE: April 6, 2018

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS) revisited the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2016 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Report (APR) Part B Indicators issued on March 8, 2018 (see attached) for the Charles County Public Schools (CCPS). In this communication, you were informed that the CCPS achieved the determination status of "Needs Assistance-Year 1" for the implementation of special education and related services for students ages 3 through 21 with an Individualized Education Program (IEP). Following a comprehensive reexamination of the data, I am proud to share with you that for FFY 2016, CCPS has achieved "Meets Requirements." Please note the due date for any assigned Improvement Plan(s) and/or Corrective Action Plan(s) are now due by Monday, May 7, 2018.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to equity and excellence in providing education to Maryland's students.

Attachments

c: Dr. Arden Sotomayer

Charles County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

REVISED - APRIL 5, 2018 Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017

	Previous Results	FFY	2014	75.16%	3.99%	NA	NA	;	V.
	Previou	FFY	2015	74.03%	< 3.00%	NA	NA	98.36%	
Indicators		Action Required		Target met, no further action required.	Target met, no further action required.	Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.		Target met, no further action required.	Target met, no further action required.
117) Part B		Target	Met	Met	Met	NA	NA	Met	Met
FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators	FFY 2016	Local	Results ¹	70.52%	≤ 3.00%	NA	NA	97.70%	97.79%
FFY 2		State	Target	> 65.91%	<3.51%	NA	NA	> 95.00%	> 95.00%
	licators	Compliance Indicator		Students with IEPs graduating with a regular diploma - 4 year cohort (ESSA lag data based on 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01	Students with IEPs dropping out (ESSA Option 2 leaver rate based on lag data for 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.08.01.07	AMO for Reading	AMO for Math	Math	Reading
	SPP/APR Indicators	Results Indicator Co		Students with IEPs graduating with a regular diploma - 4 year cohort (ESSA lag data base 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01	Students with IEPs dropping out (ESSA Opt leaver rate based on lag data for 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.08.01.07	State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05
		Res		_	2		3A	3.0	3.D

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Charles County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

REVISED April 5, 2018

Charles County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017

				FFY 2	016 (SFY 2	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators	Indicators		
	SPD/APR Indicators	licator	پ		FFY 2016			Previous Results	Results
Re	Results Indicator Co	omplia	Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	State Assessment: Proficiency rate for	,,	Mathematics	16.50%	16.00%	Not Met	Target not met in reading and math for the 3rd grade through the 8th grade and high school.	16.34%	NA
	children with IEPs against grade level,	n	Reading	18.60%	11.50%	Not Met	Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based	6.93%	NA
	alternate academic	-	Mathematics	16.15%	12.61%	Not Met	practices targeted to improve student results.	9.26%	NA
	standards	1	Reading	21.10%	8.73%	Not Met		6.51%	NA
		v	Mathematics	18.85%	10.43%	Not Met		6.85%	NA
		0	Reading	23.60%	10.39%	Not Met		4.11%	NA
3C		9	Mathematics	20.55%	4.60%	Not Met		4.57%	NA
		>	Reading	26.10%	4.58%	Not Met		%90.9	NA
		7	Mathematics	22.25%	3.70%	Not Met		5.31%	NA
		`	Reading	28.60%	4.63%	Not Met		6.25%	NA
		~	Mathematics	23.95%	6.73%	Not Met		10.12%	NA
	COMAR 124 05 11 05	,	Reading	31.10%	5.33%	Not Met		6.45%	NA
	13A.03.11.03 COMAR 13A 03 06 05	SH	Mathematics	26.08%	29.34%	Not Met		51.47%	NA
		2	Reading	50.52%	12.80%	Not Met		44.44%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Charles County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Charles County

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017

		FFY 2	016 (SFY 20	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators	ndicators		
			FFY 2016			Previou	Previous Results
ŧ	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance Indicator	State	Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY
		Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
$\geq \Xi$	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled				Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their		
	Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30				nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required.		
	* N size is < 30				The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes		
i	* No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students	< 8.00	1.94	Met	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE. DSE/EIS to	2.39*	1.42
					calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its		
					policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension		
\circ	COMAR 13A.08.03.03				and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.		
S	Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs.				Disproportionality in the suspension and		
	Discrepancy exists in the category				nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required.		
	N size is ≥ 30						
	* N size is < 30				The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes		
1	* No suspension of disabled or nondisabled	< 8.00	0.00	Met	regulations will have an impact on the current	2.06*	0.00
					calculate and to determine disproportionality for		
					uns indicator. The ESS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine		
C	COMAR 13A.08.03.03				the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their		
Į.					nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.		

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Charles County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Charles County

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017

			FFY 2	016 (SFY 20	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators	ndicators		
	in adv/dag			FFY 2016			Previous Results	Results
, a	SPE/APR INGICATORS	Compliance Indicator	State	Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY
		pilatice marcarol	Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is \geq 80% of the day (MD SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	LRE is \geq 80% of the	> 69.90%	67.07%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	66.63%	69.42%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is \leq 40% of the day (MD SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	LRE is $\leq 40\%$ of the	< 11.76%	16.72%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	17.39%	15.52%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is separate public/private day and residential facilities and home and hospital facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16	LRE is separate dential facilities and	< 5.94%	2.96%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	2.48%	2.40%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in regular early childhood setting the majority of the day COMAR 13A.05.01.10	ervices in regular early rity of the day	≥ 64.70%	%66.99%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	74.87%	74.55%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class COMAR 13A.05.01.10	eparate School or Class	< 18.30%	13.83%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	11.42%	12.72%
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional	1. Exits with substantial growth	>68.90%	75.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	62.14%	64.38%
7A	skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	>68.00%	48.15%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	58.39%	64.20%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Charles County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Charles County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Charles County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

REVISED April 5, 2018

REVISED - APRIL 5, 2018 Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017 Charles County

	Previous Results	FFY	2014	0.00	0.00	1.88	00.0	0.33	0.05	0.00	
	Previou	FFY	2015	2.01*	0.00	3.43*	0.00	0.00	4.74*	0.00	
ndicators	Action Required			Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine	the factors that contribute to suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity.	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019		
17) Part B I		Target	Met	Met	Met	NA	Met	Met	Met	Met	
FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators	FFY 2016	Local	Results ¹	0.00	0.00	2.52*	0.00	0.00	1.97	1.91	
FFY 2		State	Target	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	
	S	Compliance Indicator		American Indian/Alaska Native	Asian	Black/African American	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	White	2 or more races	Hispanic/Latino	
	SPP/APR Indicators	Results Indicator Compl		Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and	expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Discrepancy exists in the	category N size is > 30	!	of disabled or nondisabled students	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	
		Results					4B	(Ages 6-21)			

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Charles County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

REVISED April 5, 2018

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017 Charles County

	- Doculto	Previous Results	FFY	2014	1.11	0.49	1.25	0.36	0.94	0.91	0.91
	Droition	Previou	FFY	2015	0.95	0.47	1.27	0.00	1.04	0.87	0.80
ndicators		Action Required			Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine	identification of SWD by race/ethnicity.	COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 ones into effect Inly 1–2018. The 1–SS	should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.
SEY 2017) Part B Indicator	10101/1-		Target	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met
FFY 2016 (SEY 2017) Part B Indicators	EEY 2016	LLI ZUID	Local	Results ¹	1.05	0.49	1.25	0.34	1.05	0.85	0.79
FFY 2	State Target		< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00		< 2.00			
	dicators Compliance Indicator		American Indian/Alaska Native	Asian	Black/African American	.0			Hispanic/Latino		
	SPP/APR Indicators		Results Indicator Compl		Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students	ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of	inappropriate identification	Uscrepancy exists in the category	* N size is < 30		COMAR 13A.08.01.21
		-	Results					6	(Ages 6-21)		

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Charles County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017 Charles County

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

	Action Required	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race, ethnicity, and disability was not identified. No further action required.	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of simificant disprendentianality and into effect 1,11,71,2019	The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS	should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity and disability.	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students	with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to the identification of	SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity <u>and</u> disability. To be decided FFY 2019.	
ndicators	Other Health Impairment	1.32	0.20	1.35	0.00	1.25	0.82	0.52	
Part B Ir	meituA	1.80	0.72	1.18	0.00	0.83	1.10	1.10	
FFY 2016 ¹ (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators	Speech or language Impairment	0.34	0.83	1.16	1.58	0.92	66.0	0.94	
Y 2016 ¹	Emotional Disability	0.86	0.13	0.97	0.00	1.94	0.98	0.33	
正	Specific Learning Disability	1.48	0.18	1.52	00.0	0.83	0.85	86.0	
	Intellectual Disability	0.00	0.86	1.05	0.00	1.13	0.56	68.0	
	licators cator	American Indian/Alaska Native	Asian	Black/African American	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	White	2 or more races	Hispanic/Latino	
	SPP/APR Indicators Compliance Indicator	FFY 2016 results: Disproportionate representation	(≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race	as a result of inappropriate identification	Discrepancy exists in the	category N size is ≥ 30	* N size is < 30		COMAR 13A.08.01.21
					10				

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Charles County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017 Charles County

		FFY 20	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators	.7) Part B Ir	idicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous Results	Results
Results	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator	State	Local	Target		FFY	FFY
		Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
Ξ	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days	100%	99.51%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve noncompliance. Correction of the noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by April 6, 2019.	98.95%	%88.66
	COMAR 13A.05.01.06				Subsequent data demonstrated that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2015 was corrected within one year of the written finding.		
	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday				Target met, no further action required.		
12	COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100%	100%	Met		%86'L6	98.67%
2	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements	1000)0001	77	Target met, no further action required.) oc) eoc
2	COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(ii)	100 %	100%	Met		100%	100%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Charles County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

REVISED - APRIL 5, 2018 Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017 Charles County

		Ot	Other Data Considerations	Considera	tions		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016 ¹		Action Required	Previous	Previous Results
Results	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator	State	Local	Target		FFY	FFY
		Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
	Timely correction of noncompliance (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	100%
	State reported data are timely and accurate. (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	100%
	Number of State complaints filed	NA	4	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of State complaints identified with violation(s)	NA	3	NA	Correction of the identified noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by August 2018.	NA	NA
	Number of State findings from State complaints corrected in a timely manner	NA	NA	NA	TBD FFY 2017.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings identified with violations	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Fiscal Accountability: Number of findings identified	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Charles County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

10

REVISED April 5, 2018

Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 Determination Overview Part C & Part B July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is focused on state accountability for Part C and Part B Indicators defined in the Office of Special Education's (OSEP) State Performance Plan. Annually, the OSEP evaluates each state's performance on these Indicators, and, as a result, assigns each state to one of four levels of determination: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) anticipates receiving its current federal determination status for Part C and Part B in June 2018.

To receive a State determination, the IDEA requires states to evaluate each Local Lead Agency (LLA) for the IDEA Part C early intervention services, and each Local School System (LSS) for the IDEA Part B special education performance on the established Indicators. As a result of that evaluation, the state is to assign each LLA and LSS to one of four levels of determination status: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." In making determinations, the OSEP requires states to consider:

- Performance on Results and Compliance Indicators;
- Whether data submitted by public agencies are valid, reliable (accurate), and timely;
- Uncorrected noncompliance from other sources;
- Any audit findings; and
- Other information.

The MSDE, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS), uses a results-based rubric on identified indicators to rate the performance for each LLA and LSS in meeting the State's targets as defined by the State Performance Plan for Part C and Part B. The status and results for each LLA and LSS will be posted, as required, on the MSDE Public Website of State Performance Plan Results at http://mdideareport.org no later than June 1, 2018. For the FFY 2016 determinations, the MSDE, DSE/EIS has included additional indicators highlighted below in blue.

The following **Part C** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

Results	Compliance
2. Primary Service Setting	1. Timely Services
3A. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Emotional Skills	7. 45 Day Timeline
3B. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Knowledge and Skills	8A. Transition - Transition Outcomes
3C. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Use of appropriate behaviors	8B. Transition - Notification to LSS
4A. Family Survey-Know their rights	8C. Transition - Timely Planning Meeting
4B. Family Survey-Effectively communicate their	
children's needs	
4C. Family Survey-Help their children develop and learn	
5. Child Find 0-1	
6. Child Find 0-3	
Correction of Noncompliance	Data and Submission of Reports
Timely Correction of Noncompliance	Timely and Accurate

Fiscal	Complaints and Due Process Hearing
Fiscal Accountability	Number of State complaints filed
	Number of State complaints with violations
	Number of due process hearings filed
	Number of due process hearings with violations

The following **Part B** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

	Results		Compliance		
1.	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular	4B.	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant		
	diploma		Discrepancy Disaggregated by		
2.	Students with IEPs dropping out		Race/Ethnicity		
5A	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	9.	Disproportionate Representation		
	class 80% or more of the day;		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity		
5B	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	10.	Disproportionate Representation		
	class less than 40% of the day; and		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity and		
5C	LRE for Students Ages 6-21- In separate		Disability		
	schools, residential facilities, or housebound/	11.	Initial Evaluation Timeline		
See S. C.	hospital placements.	12.	Part C to B Transition		
4A	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant	13.	Secondary Transition		
	Discrepancy in Single or Multiple				
	Suspensions/Expulsions > than 10 days.				
8A	Preschool Parent Survey; or				
8B	School Age Parent Survey.				
14	Post-School Outcomes				
	Correction of Noncompliance		Data and Submission of Reports		
Timely	y Correction of Noncompliance	Time	ly and Accurate		
	Fiscal		Complaints and Due Process Hearings		
Fiscal	Accountability	Number of State complaints filed			
		Numl	per of State complaints with violations		
		Numl	per of due process hearings filed		
		Numl	per of due process hearings with violations		

The MSDE supports the efforts and work of each LLA and LSS to improve performance and identify and correct noncompliance with federal and State requirements. Programmatic technical assistance and monitoring may include, but is not limited to teleconference calls, targeted technical assistance, professional learning opportunities, targeted funds for improvement, and onsite visits. In certain circumstances, enforcement options may be necessary for sustained poor performance and/or ongoing noncompliance.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a), if Maryland determines, for two consecutive years, a public agency needs assistance under §300.603(b)(1)(ii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Advise the public agency of available sources of technical assistance that may help to address the identified areas in which the public agency needs assistance;
- (2) Direct the use of federal funds, in accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a)(2), on the area or areas in which the public agency needs assistance; and/or
- (3) Identify the public agency as a high-risk grantee and impose special conditions on the public agency application for federal funds under the IDEA.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(b), if Maryland determines, for three or more consecutive years, that a public agency needs intervention under §300.603(b)(1)(iii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must implement enforcement actions. The MSDE may:

(1) Take any of the actions described in 34 C.F.R. §300.604(a);

- (2) Take one or more of the following actions:
- (i) Requires the public agency to prepare a corrective action plan or improvement plan if the MSDE determines that the public agency should be able to correct the problem within one year.
- (ii) Require the public agency to enter into a compliance agreement under section 457 of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended, 20 U.S.C. §1221 et seq. (GEPA), if the MSDE has reason to believe that the public agency cannot correct the problem within one year.
- (iii) For each year of the determination, withholds not less than 20 percent and not more than 50 percent of the State's funds under Section 611(e) of the IDEA, until the MSDE determines the public agency has sufficiently addressed the areas in which the public agency needs intervention.
- (iv) Seeks to recover funds under Section 452 of the GEPA.
- (v) Withholds, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under Part B of the IDEA.

In addition to the enforcement actions described above, if the MSDE determines a LLA or LSS needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of the IDEA or that there is a substantial failure to comply with any condition of a public agency's eligibility under the IDEA, in accordance with 34 C.F.R. §300.604(c), the MSDE may take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Recover Funds under section 452 of the GEPA; or
- (2) Withhold, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under the IDEA.

Prior to withholding any funds under the IDEA, the MSDE provides reasonable notice and an opportunity for a hearing to the public agency involved, pursuant to the procedures in in 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.180 through 300.183.

Framework for Assistance and Intervention

Needs Assistance

The process for the provision of assistance is dependent upon the degree of need within each LSS or LLA. The types of assistance must be individualized to address the root causes of the problem(s) for each indicator(s) in order to meet the specific identified needs. The MSDE will identify:

- What needs to change;
- How frequently and in what manner the local school system reports on progress; and
- The MSDE contact for support, information, documentation, and follow-up.

In collaboration with the MSDE, LSSs/LLAs will identify the type, amount, and frequency of assistance needed to address the specific needs. A wide variety of assistance is available. The MSDE is available to consult with LSSs/LLAs by telephone, fax, email, mail, or in-person. To enable a LSS to improve performance and meet compliance available assistance may include, but is not limited to the MSDE assisting a LSS/LLA to:

- Clarify/examine/develop/revise policies and procedures;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system administration and system of general supervision;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system of self-monitoring;
- Provide training/professional development;
- Access the advice of experts to address the area(s) of need, including explicit plans for addressing the area for concern within a specified period of time;

- Identify and implement professional development, instructional strategies, and methods of instruction that are based on scientifically based research;
- Designate and use distinguished superintendents, principals, special education administrators, special education teachers, and other teachers to provide advice, assistance, and support;
- Devise additional approaches to providing assistance, such as collaborating with institutions of higher education, educational service agencies, national centers of technical assistance supported under IDEA, and private providers of scientifically based technical assistance;
- Provide access to additional tools and resources;
- Share sample forms, procedures, processes;
- Provide information on where additional information, resources, support, and other organizations for support may be located;
- Examine/revise data collection;
- Improve collaboration/coordination with internal and external colleagues;
- Provide local director networking opportunities;
- Develop specialized programs/services;
- Examine options for increasing/reallocating personnel
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise fiscal management procedures and documentation
- Use evaluative measures to validate progress and correction of previous noncompliance
- Provide access to discretionary funds.

Needs Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Intervention" indicates a need for assistance in either performance and/or compliance areas within the LSS/LLA General Supervision process. Using the National Center for Special Education Accountability Monitoring (NCSEAM) "Developing and Implementing an Effective System of General Supervision: Part B," MSDE will direct the LSSILLA to examine, clarify, and develop an effective system of general supervision to address results and compliance. This may require more frequent MSDE oversight and direct onsite follow-up.

In collaboration with the LSSILLA, the MSDE may determine a local school system needs additional assistance beyond that listed above in the determination category of "Needs Assistance." Additional supports and required actions may include but are not limited to:

- Mandatory review of the local school system's system of general supervision to include:
 - o Local Self-Assessment of Performance and Compliance:
 - o Policies, procedures, and effective practices, including the local school system's required documentation of implementation;
 - o Integrated Monitoring Activities;
 - o Fiscal Management;
 - o Data Processes and Results;
 - o Improvement, Correction, Incentives, and Sanctions;
 - o Effective Dispute Resolution; and
 - o Directed Targeted Assistance and Professional Development.
- Identification and prioritization of corrective actions based upon the analysis of their system of general supervision, needs and resources;
- Development and implementation of required Corrective Action Plans with required timelines for submission, provision of evidence and data to demonstrate progress and correction as soon as possible but in no case later than one year;
- MSDE prescribing the use of discretionary funds;

- Identification of a local school system as a high risk grantee if a local school system is in the category of Needs Intervention for 2 years;
- Required quarterly reporting on status of correction of noncompliance

Needs Substantial Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Substantial Intervention" indicates a need for significant assistance and support in multiple results and compliance indicators. In addition to the available assistance listed above, to address "Needs Intervention," additional supports and required actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Reduce or eliminate the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Passthrough funds;
- Withhold or reduce Part B Passthrough funds pending completion of the corrective actions;
- Withhold or reduce State funds for special education services pending the completion of corrective actions;
- Assign MSDE staff on-site to assist in the completion of the corrective actions; and
- Assign a monitor to oversee the local school system's special education programs, with the responsibility for the costs of monitoring to be determined by the Department.

Prior to reducing or withholding funds, ail requirements regarding proper notice by MSDE to the local school system shall be met, consistent with 20 U.S.C. §1413(c) and (d).

Charles County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Inc	licators		FFY 2016			Previou	s Results
Re		ompliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
1	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular diploma - 4 year cohort (ESSA lag data based on 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01		≥ 65.91%	70.52%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	74.03%	75.16%
2	Students with IEPs dropping out (ESSA Option 2 leaver rate based on lag data for 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.08.01.07		≤ 3.51%	≤ 3.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	≤ 3.00%	3.99%
	State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA	AMO for Reading	NA	NA	NA	Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.	NA	NA
3A	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	AMO for Math	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA
2D	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA	Math	≥ 95.00%	97.70%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	98.36%	NIA
3B	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	Reading	≥ 95.00%	97.79%	Met	Target met, no further action required.		NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Charles County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Charles County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators												
	SPP/APR Inc	dicator			FFY 2016			Previous	Results				
Re			nce Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014				
	State Assessment: Proficiency rate for children with IEPs	3	Mathematics	16.50%	16.00%	Not Met	grade unrough the our grade and high school.	16.34%	NA				
	against grade level, modified and		Reading	18.60%	11.50%	Not Met	Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	6.93%	NA				
	alternate academic achievement standards	4	Mathematics	16.15%	12.61%	Not Met	practices targeted to improve student results.	9.26%	NA				
		4	Reading	21.10%	8.73%	Not Met		6.51%	NA				
		5	Mathematics	18.85%	10.43%	Not Met		6.85%	NA				
			Reading	23.60%	10.39%	Not Met		4.11%	NA				
3C		6	Mathematics	20.55%	4.60%	Not Met		4.57%	NA				
			Reading	26.10%	4.58%	Not Met		6.06%	NA				
		7	Mathematics	22.25%	3.70%	Not Met		5.31%	NA				
		,	Reading	28.60%	4.63%	Not Met		6.25%	NA				
		8	Mathematics	23.95%	6.73%	Not Met		10.12%	NA				
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05		Reading	31.10%	5.33%	Not Met		6.45%	NA				
	COMAR 13A.03.06.05	HS	Mathematics	56.08%	29.34%	Not Met		51.47%	NA				
	1311.03.00.00	110	Reading	50.52%	12.80%	Not Met		44.44%	NA				

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Charles County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Charles County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators the Paried Luky 1, 2016 June 20, 2017

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators										
	CDD/ADD In diseases		FFY 2016			Previou	s Results				
Re	SPP/APR Indicators sults Indicator Compliance Indicator	State	Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY				
c		Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014				
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled ☐ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	1.94	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	2.39*	1.42				
7/1	Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	2.06*	0.00				

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Charles County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Charles County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

			FFY 2	016 (SFY 20)17) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indica	ators		FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re		pliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≥ 80% of the day (MD SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≥ 69.90%	67.07%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	66.63%	69.42%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose day (MD SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	LRE is $\leq 40\%$ of the	≤ 11.76%	16.72%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	17.39%	15.52%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is separate public/private day and residential facilities and		≤ 5.94%	2.96%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	2.48%	2.40%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Se childhood setting the majo COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≥ 64.70%	66.99%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	74.87%	74.55%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class		≤ 18.30%	13.83%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	11.42%	12.72%
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥68.90%	75.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	62.14%	64.38%
7A	skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥68.00%	48.15%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	58.39%	64.20%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Charles County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Charles County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B I	ndicators		
	CDD /ADD Indica	atous.		FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	SPP/APR Indicator Com	pliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥67.60%	73.08%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	56.90%	52.76%
7B	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥57.20%	44.44%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	46.98%	47.33%
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥63.10%	68.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	53.85%	52.44%
7C	meet their needs. COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥65.70%	44.44%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	59.06%	59.26%
8	Parent Survey	Age 3-5	≥83.00%	88.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	37.00%	77.00%
0		Age 6-21	≥70.00%	63.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	40.00%	56.00%
	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they	A. Higher ED w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥25.00%	23.87%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	15.03%	30.56%
14	left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07	B. Higher ED or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥51.00%	67.10%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	48.55%	52.78%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	C. Higher ED or training program or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥57.00%	72.90%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	50.87%	57.22%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Charles County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators												
	SPP/APR Indicato			FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous Results						
Results	Indicator Comp	liance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014					
	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	2.01*	0.00					
	expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Asian	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.00					
	exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is ≤ 30 * N suspension of disabled or	Black/African American	< 2.00	2.52*	NA	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	3.43*	1.88					
4B		Hawaiian/Pacific	< 2.00	0.00	Met	calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities	0.00	0.00					
(Ages 6-21)		White	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.33					
		2 or more races	< 2.00	1.97	Met		4.74*	0.05					
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	1.91	Met	ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.00	0.00					

 ¹ Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Charles County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Charles County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators			FFY 2016		Action Required	Previou	s Results
Results	Indicator Comp	lliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	1.05	Met	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	0.95	1.11
	ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of	Asian	< 2.00	0.49	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS	0.47	0.49
	inappropriate identification Discrepancy exists in the category	Black/African American	< 2.00	1.25	Met		1.27	1.25
9		Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.34	Met		0.00	0.36
(Ages 6-21)	N size is ≥ 30 * N size is ≤ 30	White	< 2.00	1.05	Met		1.04	0.94
		2 or more races	< 2.00	0.85	Met		0.87	0.91
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.79	Met	should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.80	0.91

 ¹ Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Charles County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

				F	FY 2016 ¹	(SFY 2017)	Part B Ir	ndicators	
	SPP/APR Ind	Intellectual Disability	Specific Learning Disability	Emotional Disability	Speech or Ianguage Impairment	Autism	Other Health Impairment	Action Required	
	FFY 2016 results: Disproportionate representation	American Indian/Alaska Native	0.00	1.48	0.86	0.34	1.80	1.32	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race, ethnicity, and disability was not identified. No further action required.
	(≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race	Asian	0.86	0.18	0.13	0.83	0.72	0.20	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018.
	as a result of inappropriate identification	Black/African American	1.05	1.52	0.97	1.16	1.18	1.35	The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS
1	☐ Discrepancy	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.58	0.00	0.00	should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity and disability.
	category N size is ≥ 30	White	1.13	0.83	1.94	0.92	0.83	1.25	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students
	* N size is < 30	2 or more races	0.56	0.85	0.98	0.99	1.10	0.82	with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to the identification of
		Hispanic/Latino	0.89	0.98	0.33	0.94	1.10	0.52	SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity <u>and</u> disability. To be decided FFY 2019.
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21								

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Charles County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		FFY 20	016 (SFY 20:	L7) Part B lı	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	ed Previous Resu	
Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days COMAR 13A.05.01.06	100%	99.51%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve noncompliance. Correction of the noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by April 6, 2019. Subsequent data demonstrated that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2015 was corrected within one year of the written finding.	98.95%	99.88%
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	97.98%	98.67%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	100%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Charles County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		Ot	ther Data (Considera	ations		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016 ¹		Action Required	Previous	s Results
Results I	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Timely correction of noncompliance (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	100%
	State reported data are timely and accurate. (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	100%
	Number of State complaints filed	NA	4	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of State complaints identified with violation(s)	NA	3	NA	Correction of the identified noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by August 2018.	NA	NA
	Number of State findings from State complaints corrected in a timely manner	NA	NA	NA	TBD FFY 2017.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings identified with violations	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Fiscal Accountability: Number of findings identified	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Charles County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services





MEMORANDUM

TO:

Dr. Diana Mitchell

FROM:

Marcella E. Franczkowski, M.S. Assistant State Superintendent Division of Special T Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

RE:

Amendment to FFY 2016 Part B Local Determination

DATE:

April 6, 2018

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS) revisited the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2016 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Report (APR) Part B Indicators issued on March 8, 2018 (see attached) for the Dorchester County Public Schools (DCPS). In this communication, you were informed that the DCPS achieved the determination status of "Needs Assistance-Year 1" for the implementation of special education and related services for students ages 3 through 21 with an Individualized Education Program (IEP). Following a comprehensive reexamination of the data, I am proud to share with you that for FFY 2016, DCPS has achieved "Meets Requirements." Please note the due date for any assigned Improvement Plan(s) and/or Corrective Action Plan(s) are now due by Monday, May 7, 2018.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to equity and excellence in providing education to Maryland's students.

Attachments

c: Angela Gebert

Dorchester County
Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017

	Previous Results	FFY	2014	68.18%	6.43%	NA	NA	;	V V
	Previo	FFY	2015	61.90%	3.10%	NA	NA		98.37%
Indicators		Action Required		Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	Target met, no further action required.	Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.		Target met, no further action required.	Target met, no further action required.
117) Part B	FFY 2016	Target	Met	Not Met	Met	NA	NA	Met	Met
FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators		Local	Results ¹	52.17%	< 3.00%	NA	NA	99.20%	98.16%
FFY 2		State	Target	≥ 65.91%	≤3.51%	NA	NA	> 95.00%	> 95.00%
	licators	Indicators Compliance Indicator		Students with IEPs graduating with a regular diploma - 4 year cohort (ESSA lag data based on 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01	Students with IEPs dropping out (ESSA Option 2 leaver rate based on lag data for 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.08.01.07	AMO for Reading	AMO for Math	Math	Reading
	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator			Students with IEPs graduating with a regular diploma - 4 year cohort (ESSA lag data base 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01	Students with IEPs dropping out (ESSA Opt leaver rate based on lag data for 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.08.01.07	State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05
		Res		-	2		3A	2D	OD

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Dorchester County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

REVISED April 5, 2018

Dorchester County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

REVISED - APRIL 5, 2018 Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017

	Previous Results	FFY	2014	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Previou	FFY	2015	%60'6	%90.9	7.50%	7.50%	20.00%	16.13%	4.44%	4.44%	0.00%	2.86%	11.76%	0.00%	23.08%	23.08%
Indicators		Action Required		Target not met in reading and math for the 3rd grade through the 8th grade and high school.	Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based	practices targeted to improve student results.											
FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators		Target	Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met	Not Met
016 (SFY 20	FFY 2016	Local	Results ¹	9.38%	0.00%	9.38%	6.25%	2.33%	2.33%	6.67%	3.33%	7.32%	%9Ľ6	0.00%	2.86%	20.00%	5.88%
FFY 2		State	Target	16.50%	18.60%	16.15%	21.10%	18.85%	23.60%	20.55%	26.10%	22.25%	28.60%	23.95%	31.10%	%80.99	50.52%
		Compliance Indicator	יכר ווומוכמונו	Mathematics	Reading	Mathematics	Reading	Mathematics	Reading	Mathematics	Reading	Mathematics	Reading	Mathematics	Reading	Mathematics	Reading
	dicators	omnliai		r	٦	_	+	v	,	9		7				HS	
	SPD/APR Indicators	Results Indicator		State Assessment: Proficiency rate for	children with IEPs against grade level,	alternate academic achievement	standards								COMAR 12 A OF 11 OF	13A.03.11.03 COMAR 13A 03 06 05	
		Re								3C							

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Dorchester County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Dorchester County

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators FFY 2016	016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators FFY 2016	17) Part B Indicators	ndicators		Previous	Previous Results
SPP/APR Indicators State Local Target Compliance Indicator	Local		Target		Action Required	FFY	FFY
Target Results ¹ Met	Results ¹		Met	124		2015	2014
Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category				W	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required.		
* N size is < 30					The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes		
* No suspension of disabled or nondisabled ≤ 8.00 0.20 Met students	0.20		Met		into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	0.13	0.00
					calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its		
COMAR 13A.08.03.03					policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their		
					nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.		
Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled					Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their		
Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30				-	nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required.		
* N size is < 30					The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes		
* No suspension of disabled or nondisabled ≤ 8.00 6.67* NA students	*/9'9		N		into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	3.79*	1.01
					calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its		
					policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension		
CUMAK 15A.08.05.05					and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.		

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Dorchester County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Dorchester County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

REVISED - APRIL 5, 2018 Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017

			FFY 2	016 (SFY 20	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators	ndicators		
				FFY 2016			Previous Results	Results
T	SPP/APR Indicators	ators						Silbert I
Re	Results Indicator Com	Compliance Indicator	State	Local Poculto	larget Mc+	Action Required	FFY	FFY
	Students and 6.71 where	I DE 12 > 000/ 25th.	ומוצבו	nesques	IAIRE		CTOZ	Z014
5A	day (MD SSIS LRE A)	LNE 18 2 00% 01 the	> 69.90%	75.49%	Met	I arget met, no further action required.	73.65%	76.87%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.10							
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is \leq 40% of the day (MD SSIS LRE C)	LRE is < 40% of the	< 11.76%	7.60%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	7.06%	6.31%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.10							
50	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is separate public/private day and residential facilities and home and hospital facilities	LRE is separate dential facilities and ss	70705/	7057 6	Mot	Target met, no further action required.	/000 0	,100
)	COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16		0/1/2	0/64:7	TATA		7.0270	2.10%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in regular early childhood setting the majority of the day	ervices in regular early rity of the day	> 64.70%	94.73%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	86 54%	88 57%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.10							2,75
6R	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class	eparate School or Class	/10 200/	/0000	Mot	Target met, no further action required.	2000	/0000
	COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≥ 10.3U%	0.0070	IMICI		0.00%	0.00%
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved	1. Exits with substantial growth	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and		
V L	positive social-emotional skills)	268.90%	20.00%	Not Met	evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	%29.99	20.00%
ę		2. Exits within age expectations	>68.00%	25.00%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and	0.00%	58.82%
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09					evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.		

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Dorchester County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Dorchester County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017

			EFY 7	EFY 2016 (SEY 2017) Part B Indicators	17) Part R I	diratore		
				FFY 2016			Previous	Previous Results
	SPP/APR Indicators	itors					i levious	Nesdiles
- Re	Results Indicator Com	Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
ar.	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	>67.60%	64.29%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	%29.99	46.67%
a,	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	<u>></u> 57.20%	25.00%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	0.00%	41.18%
JL	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	1. Exits with substantial growth	<u>></u> 63.10%	53.85%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	33.33%	57.14%
2	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥65.70%	37.50%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	%00.0	58.82%
~	Parent Survey	Age 3-5	>83.00%	Small Cell Size	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	NA	NA
0		Age 6-21	>70.00%	%00.02	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	20.00%	45.00%
	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they	A. Higher ED w/in 1 year of leaving HS	>25.00%	Small cell size	NA	No further action required.	8.33%	15.63%
14	left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07	B. Higher ED or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	>51.00%	Small cell size	NA	No further action required.	45.83%	50.00%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	C. Higher ED or training program or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	>57.00%	Small cell size	NA	No further action required.	62.50%	50.00%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Dorchester County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

REVISED April 5, 2018

REVISED - APRIL 5, 2018 Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017 Dorchester County

	Previous Results	FFY	2014	0.00	0.00	0.24	*-	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Previous	FFY	2015	0.00	0.00	0.74	; *:	92.0	0.00	0.00	
ndicators	Action Required			Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine	the factors that contribute to suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity.	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities	ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	
17) Part B II		Target	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	NA	
FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators	FFY 2016	Local	Results ¹	! *!	0.00	0.63	*	9.02	0.00	4.90*	
FFY 2		State	Target	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	
	S	Compliance Indicator		American Indian/Alaska Native	Asian	Black/African American	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	White	2 or more races	Hispanic/Latino	
	SPP/APR Indicators	Results Indicator Compli		Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and	expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Discrepancy exists in the	category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is ≤ 30		ot disabled or nondisabled students	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	
		Results					4B	(Ages 6-21)			

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Dorchester County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

REVISED April 5, 2018

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017 **Dorchester County**

		Previous Results	FFY	2014		1.00	0.45	1.33	0.00	06.0	1.39	0.75
		Previous	FFY	2015		1.74	0.45	1.37	0.00	1.01	1.13	0.64
ndicators		Action Required				Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine	identification of SWD by race/ethnicity.	COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 ones into effect Inly 1–2018—The LSS	should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.
(SEY 2017) Part B In			Local Target Results ¹ Met		Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	
FEY 2016 (SEY 2017) Part B Indicators	EEV 2016	FFY ZUID			0.00	0.42	1.29	0.00	1.01	1.24	0.75	
FFY 2			State	Target		< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00
· 医二甲基甲基甲基	,	dicators Compliance Indicator				American Indian/Alaska Native	Asian	Black/African American	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	White	2 or more races	Hispanic/Latino
	SPP/APR Indicators		Results Indicator Compl			Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students	ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of	inappropriate identification	Exists in the category	* N size is < 30		COMAR 13A.08.01.21
		[Kesuits						6	(Ages 6-21)		

¹ Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Dorchester County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017 **Dorchester County**

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

	Action Required	Disproportionality for Black/African American students with an Intellectual Disability was identified. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes	and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the	current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to	determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity and disability.	Targeted review to be scheduled by MSDE DSE/EIS. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding	the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to the identification of SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity <u>and</u> disability. To be decided FFY 2019.
dicators	Other Health Insmisqml	0.00	0.00	1.72	0.00	0.85	3.16*	0.27
Part B In	meituA	0.00	1.37	0.79	0.00	1.14	0.72	1.06
FY 2016 ¹ (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators	Speech or language Impairment	0.00	0.00	1.36	0.00	0.80	0.46	1.53
-Y 2016 ¹	Emotional Disability	0.00	0.00	0.70	0.00	2.07	2.20	0.40
Ŧ	Specific Learning Disability	0.00	0.76	1.16	0.00	66.0	1.13	0.82
	Intellectual Disability	0.00	0.00	2.29	0.00	0.81	1.03	0.40
	icators ator	American Indian/Alaska Native	Asian	Black/African American	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	White	2 or more races	Hispanic/Latino
	SPP/APR Indicators Compliance Indicator	FFY 2016 results: Disproportionate representation	(≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race	as a result of inappropriate identification	Discrepancy exists in the	category N size is ≥ 30	* N size is < 30	COMAR 13A.08.01.21
					01	2		

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Dorchester County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

REVISED April 5, 2018

REVISED April 5, 2018

REVISED - APRIL 5, 2018 Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017 **Dorchester County**

		FFY 20	16 (SFY 201	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous Results	Results
Results	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator	State	Local	Target		FFY	FFY
		Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
=	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required	100%	100%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.06						
	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday				No further action required.		
12	COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100%	NA	NA		100%	100%
-	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements			;	Target met, no further action required.		
Cl	COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(ii)	100%	100%	Met		%001 ———————————————————————————————————	100%

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017 **Dorchester County**

		Ot	Other Data Considerations	Considera	tions		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	Previous Results
Results	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator	State	Local	Target		FFY	FFY
		Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
	Timely correction of noncompliance (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	100%
	State reported data are timely and accurate. (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	100%
	Number of State complaints filed	NA	1	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of State complaints identified with violation(s)	NA	1	NA	Correction of the identified noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by August 2018.	NA	NA
	Number of State findings from State complaints corrected in a timely manner	NA	NA	NA	TBD FFY 2017.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings identified with violations	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Fiscal Accountability: Number of findings identified	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Dorchester County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

10

REVISED April 5, 2018

Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 Determination Overview Part C & Part B July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is focused on state accountability for Part C and Part B Indicators defined in the Office of Special Education's (OSEP) State Performance Plan. Annually, the OSEP evaluates each state's performance on these Indicators, and, as a result, assigns each state to one of four levels of determination: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) anticipates receiving its current federal determination status for Part C and Part B in June 2018.

To receive a State determination, the IDEA requires states to evaluate each Local Lead Agency (LLA) for the IDEA Part C early intervention services, and each Local School System (LSS) for the IDEA Part B special education performance on the established Indicators. As a result of that evaluation, the state is to assign each LLA and LSS to one of four levels of determination status: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." In making determinations, the OSEP requires states to consider:

- Performance on Results and Compliance Indicators;
- Whether data submitted by public agencies are valid, reliable (accurate), and timely;
- Uncorrected noncompliance from other sources;
- Any audit findings; and
- Other information.

The MSDE, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS), uses a results-based rubric on identified indicators to rate the performance for each LLA and LSS in meeting the State's targets as defined by the State Performance Plan for Part C and Part B. The status and results for each LLA and LSS will be posted, as required, on the MSDE Public Website of State Performance Plan Results at http://mdideareport.org no later than June 1, 2018. For the FFY 2016 determinations, the MSDE, DSE/EIS has included additional indicators highlighted below in blue.

The following **Part C** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

Results	Compliance
2. Primary Service Setting	1. Timely Services
3A. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Emotional Skills	7. 45 Day Timeline
3B. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Knowledge and Skills	8A. Transition - Transition Outcomes
3C. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Use of appropriate behaviors	8B. Transition - Notification to LSS
4A. Family Survey-Know their rights	8C. Transition - Timely Planning Meeting
4B. Family Survey-Effectively communicate their	
children's needs	
4C. Family Survey-Help their children develop and learn	
5. Child Find 0-1	
6. Child Find 0-3	
Correction of Noncompliance	Data and Submission of Reports
Timely Correction of Noncompliance	Timely and Accurate

Fiscal	Complaints and Due Process Hearing
Fiscal Accountability	Number of State complaints filed
	Number of State complaints with violations
	Number of due process hearings filed
	Number of due process hearings with violations

The following **Part B** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

	Results		Compliance
1.	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular	4B.	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant
	diploma		Discrepancy Disaggregated by
2.	Students with IEPs dropping out		Race/Ethnicity
5A	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	9.	Disproportionate Representation
	class 80% or more of the day;		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity
5B	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	10.	Disproportionate Representation
	class less than 40% of the day; and		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity and
5C	LRE for Students Ages 6-21- In separate		Disability
	schools, residential facilities, or housebound/	11.	Initial Evaluation Timeline
See S. C.	hospital placements.	12.	Part C to B Transition
4A	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant	13.	Secondary Transition
	Discrepancy in Single or Multiple		
	Suspensions/Expulsions > than 10 days.		
8A	Preschool Parent Survey; or		
8B	School Age Parent Survey.		
14	Post-School Outcomes		
	Correction of Noncompliance		Data and Submission of Reports
Timely	y Correction of Noncompliance	Time	ly and Accurate
	Fiscal		Complaints and Due Process Hearings
Fiscal	Accountability	Numl	per of State complaints filed
		Numl	per of State complaints with violations
		Numl	per of due process hearings filed
		Numl	per of due process hearings with violations

The MSDE supports the efforts and work of each LLA and LSS to improve performance and identify and correct noncompliance with federal and State requirements. Programmatic technical assistance and monitoring may include, but is not limited to teleconference calls, targeted technical assistance, professional learning opportunities, targeted funds for improvement, and onsite visits. In certain circumstances, enforcement options may be necessary for sustained poor performance and/or ongoing noncompliance.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a), if Maryland determines, for two consecutive years, a public agency needs assistance under §300.603(b)(1)(ii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Advise the public agency of available sources of technical assistance that may help to address the identified areas in which the public agency needs assistance;
- (2) Direct the use of federal funds, in accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a)(2), on the area or areas in which the public agency needs assistance; and/or
- (3) Identify the public agency as a high-risk grantee and impose special conditions on the public agency application for federal funds under the IDEA.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(b), if Maryland determines, for three or more consecutive years, that a public agency needs intervention under §300.603(b)(1)(iii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must implement enforcement actions. The MSDE may:

(1) Take any of the actions described in 34 C.F.R. §300.604(a);

- (2) Take one or more of the following actions:
- (i) Requires the public agency to prepare a corrective action plan or improvement plan if the MSDE determines that the public agency should be able to correct the problem within one year.
- (ii) Require the public agency to enter into a compliance agreement under section 457 of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended, 20 U.S.C. §1221 et seq. (GEPA), if the MSDE has reason to believe that the public agency cannot correct the problem within one year.
- (iii) For each year of the determination, withholds not less than 20 percent and not more than 50 percent of the State's funds under Section 611(e) of the IDEA, until the MSDE determines the public agency has sufficiently addressed the areas in which the public agency needs intervention.
- (iv) Seeks to recover funds under Section 452 of the GEPA.
- (v) Withholds, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under Part B of the IDEA.

In addition to the enforcement actions described above, if the MSDE determines a LLA or LSS needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of the IDEA or that there is a substantial failure to comply with any condition of a public agency's eligibility under the IDEA, in accordance with 34 C.F.R. §300.604(c), the MSDE may take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Recover Funds under section 452 of the GEPA; or
- (2) Withhold, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under the IDEA.

Prior to withholding any funds under the IDEA, the MSDE provides reasonable notice and an opportunity for a hearing to the public agency involved, pursuant to the procedures in in 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.180 through 300.183.

Framework for Assistance and Intervention

Needs Assistance

The process for the provision of assistance is dependent upon the degree of need within each LSS or LLA. The types of assistance must be individualized to address the root causes of the problem(s) for each indicator(s) in order to meet the specific identified needs. The MSDE will identify:

- What needs to change;
- How frequently and in what manner the local school system reports on progress; and
- The MSDE contact for support, information, documentation, and follow-up.

In collaboration with the MSDE, LSSs/LLAs will identify the type, amount, and frequency of assistance needed to address the specific needs. A wide variety of assistance is available. The MSDE is available to consult with LSSs/LLAs by telephone, fax, email, mail, or in-person. To enable a LSS to improve performance and meet compliance available assistance may include, but is not limited to the MSDE assisting a LSS/LLA to:

- Clarify/examine/develop/revise policies and procedures;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system administration and system of general supervision;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system of self-monitoring;
- Provide training/professional development;
- Access the advice of experts to address the area(s) of need, including explicit plans for addressing the area for concern within a specified period of time;

- Identify and implement professional development, instructional strategies, and methods of instruction that are based on scientifically based research;
- Designate and use distinguished superintendents, principals, special education administrators, special education teachers, and other teachers to provide advice, assistance, and support;
- Devise additional approaches to providing assistance, such as collaborating with institutions of higher education, educational service agencies, national centers of technical assistance supported under IDEA, and private providers of scientifically based technical assistance;
- Provide access to additional tools and resources;
- Share sample forms, procedures, processes;
- Provide information on where additional information, resources, support, and other organizations for support may be located;
- Examine/revise data collection;
- Improve collaboration/coordination with internal and external colleagues;
- Provide local director networking opportunities;
- Develop specialized programs/services;
- Examine options for increasing/reallocating personnel
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise fiscal management procedures and documentation
- Use evaluative measures to validate progress and correction of previous noncompliance
- Provide access to discretionary funds.

Needs Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Intervention" indicates a need for assistance in either performance and/or compliance areas within the LSS/LLA General Supervision process. Using the National Center for Special Education Accountability Monitoring (NCSEAM) "Developing and Implementing an Effective System of General Supervision: Part B," MSDE will direct the LSSILLA to examine, clarify, and develop an effective system of general supervision to address results and compliance. This may require more frequent MSDE oversight and direct onsite follow-up.

In collaboration with the LSSILLA, the MSDE may determine a local school system needs additional assistance beyond that listed above in the determination category of "Needs Assistance." Additional supports and required actions may include but are not limited to:

- Mandatory review of the local school system's system of general supervision to include:
 - o Local Self-Assessment of Performance and Compliance:
 - o Policies, procedures, and effective practices, including the local school system's required documentation of implementation;
 - o Integrated Monitoring Activities;
 - o Fiscal Management;
 - o Data Processes and Results;
 - o Improvement, Correction, Incentives, and Sanctions;
 - o Effective Dispute Resolution; and
 - o Directed Targeted Assistance and Professional Development.
- Identification and prioritization of corrective actions based upon the analysis of their system of general supervision, needs and resources;
- Development and implementation of required Corrective Action Plans with required timelines for submission, provision of evidence and data to demonstrate progress and correction as soon as possible but in no case later than one year;
- MSDE prescribing the use of discretionary funds;

- Identification of a local school system as a high risk grantee if a local school system is in the category of Needs Intervention for 2 years;
- Required quarterly reporting on status of correction of noncompliance

Needs Substantial Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Substantial Intervention" indicates a need for significant assistance and support in multiple results and compliance indicators. In addition to the available assistance listed above, to address "Needs Intervention," additional supports and required actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Reduce or eliminate the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Passthrough funds;
- Withhold or reduce Part B Passthrough funds pending completion of the corrective actions;
- Withhold or reduce State funds for special education services pending the completion of corrective actions;
- Assign MSDE staff on-site to assist in the completion of the corrective actions; and
- Assign a monitor to oversee the local school system's special education programs, with the responsibility for the costs of monitoring to be determined by the Department.

Prior to reducing or withholding funds, ail requirements regarding proper notice by MSDE to the local school system shall be met, consistent with 20 U.S.C. §1413(c) and (d).

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 2	017) Part B	Indicators		
	CDD /ADD Inc	dicators		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results
Re	SPP/APR Inc sults Indicator Co		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
1	Students with IEPs gradiploma - 4 year cohor 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01	t (ESSA lag data based on	≥ 65.91%	52.17%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	61.90%	68.18%
2	Students with IEPs dro leaver rate based on lag COMAR 13A.08.01.07	,	≤ 3.51%	≤ 3.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	3.10%	6.43%
	State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA	AMO for Reading	NA	NA	NA	Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.	NA	NA
3A	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	AMO for Math	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA
2D	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA	Math	≥ 95.00%	99.20%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	09.270/	NA
3B	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	Reading	≥ 95.00%	98.16%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	98.37%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Dorchester County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

				FFY	2016 (SFY 2	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Inc	dicator	e		FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	· —		nce Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	State Assessment: Proficiency rate for	3	Mathematics	16.50%	9.38%	Not Met	Target not met in reading and math for the 3rd grade through the 8th grade and high school.	9.09%	NA
	children with IEPs against grade level, modified and	3	Reading	18.60%	0.00%	Not Met	Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	6.06%	NA
	alternate academic achievement	4	Mathematics	16.15%	9.38%	Not Met	practices targeted to improve student results.	7.50%	NA
	standards	4	Reading	21.10%	6.25%	Not Met		7.50%	NA
		5	Mathematics	18.85%	2.33%	Not Met		20.00%	NA
	-	3	Reading	23.60%	2.33%	Not Met		16.13%	NA
3C		6	Mathematics	20.55%	6.67%	Not Met		4.44%	NA
		6	Reading	26.10%	3.33%	Not Met		4.44%	NA
		7	Mathematics	22.25%	7.32%	Not Met		0.00%	NA
		,	Reading	28.60%	9.76%	Not Met		2.86%	NA
		8	Mathematics	23.95%	0.00%	Not Met		11.76%	NA
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	0	Reading	31.10%	2.86%	Not Met		0.00%	NA
	COMAR 13A.03.06.05	HS	Mathematics	56.08%	20.00%	Not Met		23.08%	NA
	1371.03.00.03	110	Reading	50.52%	5.88%	Not Met		23.08%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Dorchester County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016			Previou	s Results
Re	sults Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	0.20	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	0.13	0.00
41.	Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	6.67*	NA	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	3.79*	1.01

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Dorchester County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	016 (SFY 20)17) Part B I	ndicators		
	CDD /ADD Indica	store		FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	SPP/APR Indicator Com		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose day (MD SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	LRE is $\geq 80\%$ of the	≥ 69.90%	75.49%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	73.65%	76.87%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose day (MD SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	LRE is $\leq 40\%$ of the	≤ 11.76%	7.60%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	7.06%	6.31%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose public/private day and resi home and hospital facilitie COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16	dential facilities and	≤ 5.94%	2.45%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	2.82%	2.10%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in regular early		≥ 64.70%	94.73%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	86.54%	88.52%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Se COMAR 13A.05.01.10	eparate School or Class	≤ 18.30%	0.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	0.00%	0.00%
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥68.90%	50.00%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	66.67%	50.00%
/A	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥68.00%	25.00%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	0.00%	58.82%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Dorchester County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indica	atous.		FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	sults Indicator Com		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥67.60%	64.29%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	66.67%	46.67%
/B	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥57.20%	25.00%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	0.00%	41.18%
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥63.10%	53.85%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	33.33%	57.14%
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥65.70%	37.50%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	0.00%	58.82%
0	Parent Survey	Age 3-5	≥83.00%	Small Cell Size	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	NA	NA
8	,	Age 6-21	≥70.00%	70.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	50.00%	45.00%
	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they	A. Higher ED w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥25.00%	Small cell size	NA	No further action required.	8.33%	15.63%
14	left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07	B. Higher ED or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥51.00%	Small cell size	NA	No further action required.	45.83%	50.00%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	C. Higher ED or training program or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥57.00%	Small cell size	NA	No further action required.	62.50%	50.00%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Dorchester County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indicato			FFY 2016		Action Required	Previou	s Results
Results	Indicator Comp	liance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	*	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Asian	< 2.00	0.00	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	0.00	0.00
	Discrepancy exists in the	Black/African American	< 2.00	0.63	Met	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	0.74	0.24
4B	category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	*	Met	calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine	*	*
(Ages 6-21)	* No suspension	White	< 2.00	0.65	Met	the factors that contribute to suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity.	0.76	0.00
	of disabled or nondisabled students	2 or more races	< 2.00	0.00	Met	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities	0.00	0.00
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	4.90*	NA	ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.00	0.00

 ¹ Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Dorchester County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B	Indicators		,,
	SPP/APR Indicato			FFY 2016		Action Required	Previou	s Results
Results	Indicator Comp	lliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	1.74	1.00
	ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of	Asian	< 2.00	0.42	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	0.45	0.45
	inappropriate identification	Black/African American	< 2.00	1.29	Met	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	1.37	1.33
9	Discrepancy exists in the category	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.00	Met	calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine	0.00	0.00
(Ages 6-21)	N size is ≥ 30 * N size is ≤ 30	White	< 2.00	1.01	Met	the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity.	1.01	0.90
		2 or more races	< 2.00	1.24	Met	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS	1.13	1.39
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.75	Met	should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.64	0.75

 ¹ Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Dorchester County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Dorchester County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

				F	FY 2016 ¹	(SFY 2017)	Part B Indicators					
SPP/APR Indicators Compliance Indicator			Intellectual Disability	Specific Learning Disability	Emotional Disability	Speech or language Impairment	Autism	Other Health Impairment	Action Required			
	FFY 2016 results: Disproportionate representation	American Indian/Alaska Native	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Disproportionality for Black/African American students with an Intellectual Disability was identified. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes			
	(≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race	Asian	0.00	0.76	0.00	0.00	1.37	0.00	and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.			
	as a result of inappropriate identification Discrepancy exists in the	Black/African American	2.29	1.16	0.70	1.36	0.79	1.72	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the			
10		Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to			
10	category N size is ≥ 30	White	0.81	0.99	2.07	0.80	1.14	0.85	determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity <u>and</u> disability.			
	* N size is < 30	2 or more races	1.03	1.13	2.20	0.46	0.72	3.16*	Targeted review to be scheduled by MSDE DSE/EIS. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding			
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	0.40	0.82	0.40	1.53	1.06	0.27	the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to the identification of SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity and disability. To be decided FFY 2019.			

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Dorchester County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Dorchester County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

I			FFY 20	016 (SFY 201	L7) Part B li	ndicators		
		SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	s Results
	Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met			FFY 2014
	11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days COMAR 13A.05.01.06	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required	100%	100%
	12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100%	NA	NA	No further action required.	100%	100%
	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)		100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	100%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Dorchester County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Dorchester County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

	Other Data Considerations										
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	s Results				
Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014				
	Timely correction of noncompliance (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	100%				
	State reported data are timely and accurate. (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	100%				
	Number of State complaints filed	NA	1	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA				
	Number of State complaints identified with violation(s)	NA	1	NA	Correction of the identified noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by August 2018.	NA	NA				
	Number of State findings from State complaints corrected in a timely manner	NA	NA	NA	TBD FFY 2017.	NA	NA				
	Number of due process hearings filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA				
	Number of due process hearings identified with violations	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA				
	Fiscal Accountability: Number of findings identified	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA				
	National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA				

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
Dorchester County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services



200 West Baltimore Street • Baltimore, MD 21201 • 410-767-0100 • 410-333-6442 TTY/TDD • marylandpublicschools.org

March 8, 2018

Dr. Theresa R. Alban Superintendent Frederick County Public Schools 191 South East Street Frederick, MD 21701

Dear Dr. Alban: Jewy

ent of Education (MSDE), Division of Special Education/Early ed by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]¹. The MSDE, DSE/EIS uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each local school system in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2016 Part B determinations, the Frederick County Public Schools has achieved the determination status of "Meets Requirements."

Attached please find supporting documentation:

- 1. FFY 2016 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a FFY 2017 local determination status on page two please note the addition of fiscal accountability, State complaints/due process hearings, and preschool least restrictive environment data);
- 2. FFY 2016 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a six-year graduated results driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year); and
- 3. FFY 2016 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21).

The MSDE, DSE/EIS is committed to maintaining a balanced focus on results and compliance to narrow the school readiness and achievement gaps. Please note in FFY 2019 the determination status for each local school system will reflect the July 1, 2018 proposed revision to Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) regarding the identification of significant disproportionality. Maryland's proposed revised definition of significant disproportionality, as required by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), includes the three to five years of age population. Beginning in 2016, the DSE/EIS has provided guidance, technical assistance, and professional learning opportunities to support local school systems in the implementation of revised data collection processes and review of local policies, procedures, and practices. Please note that FFY 2016-17 and FFY 2017-18 data capturing suspension/expulsion (in and out of school), identification by race/ethnicity, and identification by race/ethnicity and disability will be used for the FFY 2019 local determination status.

¹ USDE, OSEP uses state National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) participation and performance data for students with disabilities to assign Maryland's special education determination status (see attached "NAEP"). DSE/EIS will be analyzing local testing protocols and decisions for the NAEP assessment.

Dr. Theresa R. Alban March 8, 2018 Page Two

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the DSE/EIS five-year strategic plan provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon a comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), the Frederick County Public Schools has been assigned the "Universal" tier for the delivery of the DSE/EIS technical assistance model for results.

Local school systems are strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DSE/EIS will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to equity and efficiency for excellence. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of the Frederick County Public Schools to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Marcella E. Franczkowski, M.S. Assistant State Superintendent Division of Special Education/ Early Intervention Services

MEF/AM/cc

Attachments

c: Karen B. Salmon
Carol A. Williamson
Michelle Concepcion
Carol Breeze

Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 Determination Overview Part C & Part B July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is focused on state accountability for Part C and Part B Indicators defined in the Office of Special Education's (OSEP) State Performance Plan. Annually, the OSEP evaluates each state's performance on these Indicators, and, as a result, assigns each state to one of four levels of determination: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) anticipates receiving its current federal determination status for Part C and Part B in June 2018.

To receive a State determination, the IDEA requires states to evaluate each Local Lead Agency (LLA) for the IDEA Part C early intervention services, and each Local School System (LSS) for the IDEA Part B special education performance on the established Indicators. As a result of that evaluation, the state is to assign each LLA and LSS to one of four levels of determination status: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." In making determinations, the OSEP requires states to consider:

- Performance on Results and Compliance Indicators;
- Whether data submitted by public agencies are valid, reliable (accurate), and timely;
- Uncorrected noncompliance from other sources;
- Any audit findings; and
- Other information.

The MSDE, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS), uses a results-based rubric on identified indicators to rate the performance for each LLA and LSS in meeting the State's targets as defined by the State Performance Plan for Part C and Part B. The status and results for each LLA and LSS will be posted, as required, on the MSDE Public Website of State Performance Plan Results at http://mdideareport.org no later than June 1, 2018. For the FFY 2016 determinations, the MSDE, DSE/EIS has included additional indicators highlighted below in blue.

The following **Part C** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

Results	Compliance
2. Primary Service Setting	1. Timely Services
3A. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Emotional Skills	7. 45 Day Timeline
3B. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Knowledge and Skills	8A. Transition - Transition Outcomes
3C. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Use of appropriate behaviors	8B. Transition - Notification to LSS
4A. Family Survey-Know their rights	8C. Transition - Timely Planning Meeting
4B. Family Survey-Effectively communicate their	
children's needs	
4C. Family Survey-Help their children develop and learn	
5. Child Find 0-1	
6. Child Find 0-3	
Correction of Noncompliance	Data and Submission of Reports
Timely Correction of Noncompliance	Timely and Accurate

Fiscal	Complaints and Due Process Hearing					
Fiscal Accountability	Number of State complaints filed					
	Number of State complaints with violations					
	Number of due process hearings filed					
	Number of due process hearings with violations					

The following **Part B** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

	Results		Compliance
1.	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular	4B.	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant
	diploma		Discrepancy Disaggregated by
2.	Students with IEPs dropping out		Race/Ethnicity
5A	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	9.	Disproportionate Representation
	class 80% or more of the day;		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity
5B	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	10.	Disproportionate Representation
	class less than 40% of the day; and		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity and
5C	LRE for Students Ages 6-21- In separate		Disability
	schools, residential facilities, or housebound/	11.	Initial Evaluation Timeline
See S. C.	hospital placements.	12.	Part C to B Transition
4A	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant	13.	Secondary Transition
	Discrepancy in Single or Multiple		
	Suspensions/Expulsions > than 10 days.		
8A	Preschool Parent Survey; or		
8B	School Age Parent Survey.		
14	Post-School Outcomes		
	Correction of Noncompliance		Data and Submission of Reports
Timely	y Correction of Noncompliance	Time	ly and Accurate
	Fiscal		Complaints and Due Process Hearings
Fiscal	Accountability	Numl	per of State complaints filed
		Numl	per of State complaints with violations
		Numl	per of due process hearings filed
		Numl	per of due process hearings with violations

The MSDE supports the efforts and work of each LLA and LSS to improve performance and identify and correct noncompliance with federal and State requirements. Programmatic technical assistance and monitoring may include, but is not limited to teleconference calls, targeted technical assistance, professional learning opportunities, targeted funds for improvement, and onsite visits. In certain circumstances, enforcement options may be necessary for sustained poor performance and/or ongoing noncompliance.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a), if Maryland determines, for two consecutive years, a public agency needs assistance under §300.603(b)(1)(ii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Advise the public agency of available sources of technical assistance that may help to address the identified areas in which the public agency needs assistance;
- (2) Direct the use of federal funds, in accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a)(2), on the area or areas in which the public agency needs assistance; and/or
- (3) Identify the public agency as a high-risk grantee and impose special conditions on the public agency application for federal funds under the IDEA.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(b), if Maryland determines, for three or more consecutive years, that a public agency needs intervention under §300.603(b)(1)(iii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must implement enforcement actions. The MSDE may:

(1) Take any of the actions described in 34 C.F.R. §300.604(a);

- (2) Take one or more of the following actions:
- (i) Requires the public agency to prepare a corrective action plan or improvement plan if the MSDE determines that the public agency should be able to correct the problem within one year.
- (ii) Require the public agency to enter into a compliance agreement under section 457 of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended, 20 U.S.C. §1221 et seq. (GEPA), if the MSDE has reason to believe that the public agency cannot correct the problem within one year.
- (iii) For each year of the determination, withholds not less than 20 percent and not more than 50 percent of the State's funds under Section 611(e) of the IDEA, until the MSDE determines the public agency has sufficiently addressed the areas in which the public agency needs intervention.
- (iv) Seeks to recover funds under Section 452 of the GEPA.
- (v) Withholds, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under Part B of the IDEA.

In addition to the enforcement actions described above, if the MSDE determines a LLA or LSS needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of the IDEA or that there is a substantial failure to comply with any condition of a public agency's eligibility under the IDEA, in accordance with 34 C.F.R. §300.604(c), the MSDE may take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Recover Funds under section 452 of the GEPA; or
- (2) Withhold, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under the IDEA.

Prior to withholding any funds under the IDEA, the MSDE provides reasonable notice and an opportunity for a hearing to the public agency involved, pursuant to the procedures in in 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.180 through 300.183.

Framework for Assistance and Intervention

Needs Assistance

The process for the provision of assistance is dependent upon the degree of need within each LSS or LLA. The types of assistance must be individualized to address the root causes of the problem(s) for each indicator(s) in order to meet the specific identified needs. The MSDE will identify:

- What needs to change;
- How frequently and in what manner the local school system reports on progress; and
- The MSDE contact for support, information, documentation, and follow-up.

In collaboration with the MSDE, LSSs/LLAs will identify the type, amount, and frequency of assistance needed to address the specific needs. A wide variety of assistance is available. The MSDE is available to consult with LSSs/LLAs by telephone, fax, email, mail, or in-person. To enable a LSS to improve performance and meet compliance available assistance may include, but is not limited to the MSDE assisting a LSS/LLA to:

- Clarify/examine/develop/revise policies and procedures;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system administration and system of general supervision;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system of self-monitoring;
- Provide training/professional development;
- Access the advice of experts to address the area(s) of need, including explicit plans for addressing the area for concern within a specified period of time;

- Identify and implement professional development, instructional strategies, and methods of instruction that are based on scientifically based research;
- Designate and use distinguished superintendents, principals, special education administrators, special education teachers, and other teachers to provide advice, assistance, and support;
- Devise additional approaches to providing assistance, such as collaborating with institutions of higher education, educational service agencies, national centers of technical assistance supported under IDEA, and private providers of scientifically based technical assistance;
- Provide access to additional tools and resources;
- Share sample forms, procedures, processes;
- Provide information on where additional information, resources, support, and other organizations for support may be located;
- Examine/revise data collection;
- Improve collaboration/coordination with internal and external colleagues;
- Provide local director networking opportunities;
- Develop specialized programs/services;
- Examine options for increasing/reallocating personnel
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise fiscal management procedures and documentation
- Use evaluative measures to validate progress and correction of previous noncompliance
- Provide access to discretionary funds.

Needs Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Intervention" indicates a need for assistance in either performance and/or compliance areas within the LSS/LLA General Supervision process. Using the National Center for Special Education Accountability Monitoring (NCSEAM) "Developing and Implementing an Effective System of General Supervision: Part B," MSDE will direct the LSSILLA to examine, clarify, and develop an effective system of general supervision to address results and compliance. This may require more frequent MSDE oversight and direct onsite follow-up.

In collaboration with the LSSILLA, the MSDE may determine a local school system needs additional assistance beyond that listed above in the determination category of "Needs Assistance." Additional supports and required actions may include but are not limited to:

- Mandatory review of the local school system's system of general supervision to include:
 - o Local Self-Assessment of Performance and Compliance:
 - o Policies, procedures, and effective practices, including the local school system's required documentation of implementation;
 - o Integrated Monitoring Activities;
 - o Fiscal Management;
 - o Data Processes and Results;
 - o Improvement, Correction, Incentives, and Sanctions;
 - o Effective Dispute Resolution; and
 - o Directed Targeted Assistance and Professional Development.
- Identification and prioritization of corrective actions based upon the analysis of their system of general supervision, needs and resources;
- Development and implementation of required Corrective Action Plans with required timelines for submission, provision of evidence and data to demonstrate progress and correction as soon as possible but in no case later than one year;
- MSDE prescribing the use of discretionary funds;

- Identification of a local school system as a high risk grantee if a local school system is in the category of Needs Intervention for 2 years;
- Required quarterly reporting on status of correction of noncompliance

Needs Substantial Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Substantial Intervention" indicates a need for significant assistance and support in multiple results and compliance indicators. In addition to the available assistance listed above, to address "Needs Intervention," additional supports and required actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Reduce or eliminate the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Passthrough funds;
- Withhold or reduce Part B Passthrough funds pending completion of the corrective actions;
- Withhold or reduce State funds for special education services pending the completion of corrective actions;
- Assign MSDE staff on-site to assist in the completion of the corrective actions; and
- Assign a monitor to oversee the local school system's special education programs, with the responsibility for the costs of monitoring to be determined by the Department.

Prior to reducing or withholding funds, ail requirements regarding proper notice by MSDE to the local school system shall be met, consistent with 20 U.S.C. §1413(c) and (d).

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Inc	licators		FFY 2016 ¹			Previous	s Results
Re	sults Indicator Co		State	Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY
1.c			Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
1	Students with IEPs gradiploma - 4 year cohor 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01	≥ 65.91%	72.94%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	70.61%	69.50%	
2	Students with IEPs dropping out (ESSA Option 2 leaver rate based on lag data for 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.08.01.07		≤ 3.51%	≤3.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	≤3.00%	≤3.00%
	State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA	AMO for Reading	NA	NA	NA	Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.	NA	NA
3A	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	AMO for Math		NA	NA		NA	NA
2D	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA	Math	≥ 95.00%	99.60%	Met	Target met, no further action required. Please share promising practices.	00.1707	NA
3B	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	Reading		99.59%	Met	Target met, no further action required. Please share promising practices.	99.16%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Frederick County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

				FFY	2016 (SFY 2	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Inc	dicator	e		FFY 2016 ¹			Previous	Results
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	State Assessment: Proficiency rate for	3	Mathematics	16.50%	24.10%	Met	Target met for math in 3 rd grade.	18.34%	NA
	children with IEPs against grade level, modified and	3	Reading	18.60%	16.31%	Not Met	Target not met in reading for 3 rd grade and reading and math for the 4th grade through the 8th grade and high school. Submit an Improvement Plan	16.26%	NA
	alternate academic achievement	4	Mathematics	16.15%	14.68%	Not Met	within 30 days from the date of this letter. Identify the root causes and evidence based practices	14.42%	NA
	standards	4	Reading	21.10%	14.02%	Not Met	targeted to improve student results.	12.23%	NA
		5	Mathematics	18.85%	13.18%	Not Met		14.59%	NA
			Reading	23.60%	11.17%	Not Met		9.73%	NA
3C		6	Mathematics	20.55%	9.38%	Not Met		9.54%	NA
			Reading	26.10%	5.88%	Not Met		7.24%	NA
		7	Mathematics	22.25%	12.10%	Not Met		11.18%	NA
		,	Reading	28.60%	13.46%	Not Met		9.03%	NA
		8	Mathematics	23.95%	11.29%	Not Met		12.20%	NA
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	0	Reading	31.10%	8.81%	Not Met		9.45%	NA
	COMAR 13A.03.06.05	HS	Mathematics	56.08%	50.52%	Not Met		61.54%	NA
	1371.03.00.03	110	Reading	50.52%	22.06%	Not Met		53.96%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Frederick County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016 ¹			Previou	s Results
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY
		Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	2.27	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	3.50*	1.89
	Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	0.59	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	2.91*	1.96

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Frederick County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	016 (SFY 20)17) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indica	ators.		FFY 2016 ¹			Previous	Results
Re	sults Indicator Com		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose day (MD SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	LRE is $\geq 80\%$ of the	≥ 69.90%	80.34%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	80.08%	80.33%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is \leq 40% of the			7.15%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	6.97%	6.19%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is separate public/private day and residential facilities and			4.84%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	4.75%	4.84%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Se childhood setting the majo COMAR 13A.05.01.10	٥	≥ 64.70%	46.57%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	39.70%	39.23%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≤ 18.30%	9.21%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	14.02%	17.52%
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥68.90%	73.83%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	74.84%	79.81%
7A	skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥68.00%	63.43%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	68.33%	79.13%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Frederick County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Indica	atous.		FFY 2016 ¹			Previous	s Results
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			State Local Target Target Results ¹ Met		Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥67.60%	75.24%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	68.18%	74.30%
/B	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥57.20%	62.69%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	58.82%	68.85%
- 0	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥63.10%	71.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	69.08%	75.36%
7C	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥65.70%	68.66%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	71.49%	77.88%
8	Parent Survey	Age 3-5	≥83.00%	86.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	55.00%	53.00%
8	·	Age 6-21	≥70.00%	74.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	49.00%	56.00%
	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they	A. Higher ED w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥25.00%	36.22%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	34.19%	28.21%
14	left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07	B. Higher ED or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥51.00%	82.65%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	63.24%	64.29%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	C. Higher ED or training program or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥57.00%	100.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	72.43%	68.57%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Frederick County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indicato			FFY 2016 ¹		Action Required	Previous Results	
Results	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Asian	< 2.00	0.00	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	0.00	0.00
	Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension	Black/African American	< 2.00	0.80	Met	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities	9.98*	7.04*
4B		Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.00
(Ages 6-21)		White	< 2.00	1.87	Met		1.61	0.86
	of disabled or nondisabled students	2 or more races	< 2.00	2.49*	NA		0.00	0.00
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.93	Met	ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	5.34*	2.18

Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Frederick County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators										
SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			FFY 2016 ¹			Action Required	Previous Results			
			State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014		
9	representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	1.27	Met	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	1.20	1.21		
		Asian	< 2.00	0.41	Met		0.37	0.38		
		Black/African American	< 2.00	1.47	Met		1.55	1.54		
		Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.64	Met		0.90	0.74		
(Ages 6-21)		White	< 2.00	0.86	Met		0.82	0.79		
		2 or more races	< 2.00	0.97	Met		0.97	0.90		
		Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.83	Met		0.85	0.90		

Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Frederick County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Frederick County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

	FFY 2016 ¹ (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators											
SPP/APR Indicators Compliance Indicator			Intellectual Disability	Specific Learning Disability	Emotional Disability	Speech or language Impairment	Autism	Other Health Impairment	Required Action			
	FFY 2016 results: Disproportionate representation	American Indian/Alaska Native	1.60	1.10	1.14	2.59*	1.55	0.72	Disproportionality for Black/African Americans with ED was identified. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted			
	(≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Asian	0.88	0.22	0.15	0.89	0.67	0.16	to improve student results. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of			
		Black/African American	1.80	1.56	2.05	0.99	1.63	1.63	significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and			
10		Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	0.00	0.44	0.00	2.60*	0.00	0.73	to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification			
		White	0.65	0.72	0.83	1.01	0.79	0.97	of SWD by race/ethnicity <u>and</u> disability. Targeted review to be scheduled by MSDE DSE/EIS.			
		2 or more races	0.47	1.22	1.22	0.61	1.19	1.13	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students			
		Hispanic/Latino	0.85	1.09	0.41	1.09	0.63	0.55	with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to the identification of SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity and disability. To be decided FFY 2019.			

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Frederick County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Frederick County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators								
SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		FFY 2016			Action Required	Previous Results		
		State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014	
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days. COMAR 13A.05.01.06	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%	
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%	
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%	

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Frederick County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Frederick County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

Other Data Considerations									
SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016 ¹			Action Required	Previous Results			
Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014		
	Timely correction of noncompliance (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%		
	State reported data are timely and accurate. (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%		
	Number of State complaints filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA		
	Number of State complaints identified with violation(s)	NA	0	NA	Correction of the identified noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by August 2018.	NA	NA		
	Number of State findings from State complaints corrected in a timely manner	NA	NA	NA	TBD FFY 2017.	NA	NA		
	Number of due process hearings filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA		
	Number of due process hearings identified with violations	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA		
	Fiscal Accountability: Number of findings identified	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA		
	National Assessment of Educational Progress.(NAEP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA		

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Frederick County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services



200 West Baltimore Street • Baltimore, MD 21201 • 410-767-0100 • 410-333-6442, TTY/TDD • marylandpublicschools.org 'ongratulations

March 8, 2018

Mrs. Barbara L. Baker Superintendent Garrett County Board of Education 40 South Second Street Oakland, MD 21550

Dear Mrs. Baker:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]¹. The MSDE, DSE/EIS uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each local school system in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2016 Part B determinations, the Garrett County Board of Education has achieved the determination status of "Meets Requirements."

Attached please find supporting documentation:

- 1. FFY 2016 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a FFY 2017 local determination status on page two – please note the addition of fiscal accountability, State complaints/due process hearings, and preschool least restrictive environment data);
- 2. FFY 2016 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a six-year graduated results driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year); and
- 3. FFY 2016 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21).

The MSDE, DSE/EIS is committed to maintaining a balanced focus on results and compliance to narrow the school readiness and achievement gaps. Please note in FFY 2019 the determination status for each local school system will reflect the July 1, 2018 proposed revision to Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) regarding the identification of significant disproportionality. Maryland's proposed revised definition of significant disproportionality, as required by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), includes the three to five years of age population. Beginning in 2016, the DSE/EIS has provided guidance, technical assistance, and professional learning opportunities to support local school systems in the implementation of revised data collection processes and review of local policies, procedures, and practices. Please note that FFY 2016-17 and FFY 2017-18 data capturing suspension/expulsion (in and out of school), identification by race/ethnicity, and identification by race/ethnicity and disability will be used for the FFY 2019 local determination status.

¹ USDE, OSEP uses state National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) participation and performance data for students with disabilities to assign Maryland's special education determination status (see attached "NAEP"). DSE/EIS will be analyzing local testing protocols and decisions for the NAEP assessment.

Mrs. Barbara L. Baker March 8, 2018 Page Two

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the DSE/EIS five-year strategic plan provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon a comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), the Garrett County Board of Education has been assigned the "Universal" tier for the delivery of the DSE/EIS technical assistance model for results.

Local school systems are strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decisionmaking, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DSE/EIS will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to equity and efficiency for excellence. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of the Garrett County Board of Education to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely.

Jarcella E. Francykowski Marcella E. Franczkowski, M.S. Assistant State Superintendent Division of Special Education/ Early Intervention Services

MEF/AM/cc

Attachments

c: Karen B. Salmon Carol A. Williamson Heather Raybold

Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 Determination Overview Part C & Part B July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is focused on state accountability for Part C and Part B Indicators defined in the Office of Special Education's (OSEP) State Performance Plan. Annually, the OSEP evaluates each state's performance on these Indicators, and, as a result, assigns each state to one of four levels of determination: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) anticipates receiving its current federal determination status for Part C and Part B in June 2018.

To receive a State determination, the IDEA requires states to evaluate each Local Lead Agency (LLA) for the IDEA Part C early intervention services, and each Local School System (LSS) for the IDEA Part B special education performance on the established Indicators. As a result of that evaluation, the state is to assign each LLA and LSS to one of four levels of determination status: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." In making determinations, the OSEP requires states to consider:

- Performance on Results and Compliance Indicators;
- Whether data submitted by public agencies are valid, reliable (accurate), and timely;
- Uncorrected noncompliance from other sources;
- Any audit findings; and
- Other information.

The MSDE, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS), uses a results-based rubric on identified indicators to rate the performance for each LLA and LSS in meeting the State's targets as defined by the State Performance Plan for Part C and Part B. The status and results for each LLA and LSS will be posted, as required, on the MSDE Public Website of State Performance Plan Results at http://mdideareport.org no later than June 1, 2018. For the FFY 2016 determinations, the MSDE, DSE/EIS has included additional indicators highlighted below in blue.

The following **Part C** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

Results	Compliance
2. Primary Service Setting	1. Timely Services
3A. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Emotional Skills	7. 45 Day Timeline
3B. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Knowledge and Skills	8A. Transition - Transition Outcomes
3C. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Use of appropriate behaviors	8B. Transition - Notification to LSS
4A. Family Survey-Know their rights	8C. Transition - Timely Planning Meeting
4B. Family Survey-Effectively communicate their	
children's needs	
4C. Family Survey-Help their children develop and learn	
5. Child Find 0-1	
6. Child Find 0-3	
Correction of Noncompliance	Data and Submission of Reports
Timely Correction of Noncompliance	Timely and Accurate

Fiscal	Complaints and Due Process Hearing
Fiscal Accountability	Number of State complaints filed
	Number of State complaints with violations
	Number of due process hearings filed
	Number of due process hearings with violations

The following **Part B** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

	Results		Compliance			
1.	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular	4B.	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant			
	diploma		Discrepancy Disaggregated by			
2.	Students with IEPs dropping out		Race/Ethnicity			
5A	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	9.	Disproportionate Representation			
	class 80% or more of the day;		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity			
5B	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	10.	Disproportionate Representation			
	class less than 40% of the day; and		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity and			
5C	LRE for Students Ages 6-21- In separate		Disability			
	schools, residential facilities, or housebound/	11.	Initial Evaluation Timeline			
See S. C.	hospital placements.	12.	Part C to B Transition			
4A	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant	13.	Secondary Transition			
	Discrepancy in Single or Multiple					
	Suspensions/Expulsions > than 10 days.					
8A	Preschool Parent Survey; or					
8B	School Age Parent Survey.					
14	Post-School Outcomes					
	Correction of Noncompliance		Data and Submission of Reports			
Timely	y Correction of Noncompliance	Time	ly and Accurate			
	Fiscal		Complaints and Due Process Hearings			
Fiscal	Accountability	Numl	per of State complaints filed			
		Number of State complaints with violations				
		Numl	per of due process hearings filed			
		Numl	per of due process hearings with violations			

The MSDE supports the efforts and work of each LLA and LSS to improve performance and identify and correct noncompliance with federal and State requirements. Programmatic technical assistance and monitoring may include, but is not limited to teleconference calls, targeted technical assistance, professional learning opportunities, targeted funds for improvement, and onsite visits. In certain circumstances, enforcement options may be necessary for sustained poor performance and/or ongoing noncompliance.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a), if Maryland determines, for two consecutive years, a public agency needs assistance under §300.603(b)(1)(ii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Advise the public agency of available sources of technical assistance that may help to address the identified areas in which the public agency needs assistance;
- (2) Direct the use of federal funds, in accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a)(2), on the area or areas in which the public agency needs assistance; and/or
- (3) Identify the public agency as a high-risk grantee and impose special conditions on the public agency application for federal funds under the IDEA.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(b), if Maryland determines, for three or more consecutive years, that a public agency needs intervention under §300.603(b)(1)(iii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must implement enforcement actions. The MSDE may:

(1) Take any of the actions described in 34 C.F.R. §300.604(a);

- (2) Take one or more of the following actions:
- (i) Requires the public agency to prepare a corrective action plan or improvement plan if the MSDE determines that the public agency should be able to correct the problem within one year.
- (ii) Require the public agency to enter into a compliance agreement under section 457 of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended, 20 U.S.C. §1221 et seq. (GEPA), if the MSDE has reason to believe that the public agency cannot correct the problem within one year.
- (iii) For each year of the determination, withholds not less than 20 percent and not more than 50 percent of the State's funds under Section 611(e) of the IDEA, until the MSDE determines the public agency has sufficiently addressed the areas in which the public agency needs intervention.
- (iv) Seeks to recover funds under Section 452 of the GEPA.
- (v) Withholds, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under Part B of the IDEA.

In addition to the enforcement actions described above, if the MSDE determines a LLA or LSS needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of the IDEA or that there is a substantial failure to comply with any condition of a public agency's eligibility under the IDEA, in accordance with 34 C.F.R. §300.604(c), the MSDE may take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Recover Funds under section 452 of the GEPA; or
- (2) Withhold, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under the IDEA.

Prior to withholding any funds under the IDEA, the MSDE provides reasonable notice and an opportunity for a hearing to the public agency involved, pursuant to the procedures in in 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.180 through 300.183.

Framework for Assistance and Intervention

Needs Assistance

The process for the provision of assistance is dependent upon the degree of need within each LSS or LLA. The types of assistance must be individualized to address the root causes of the problem(s) for each indicator(s) in order to meet the specific identified needs. The MSDE will identify:

- What needs to change;
- How frequently and in what manner the local school system reports on progress; and
- The MSDE contact for support, information, documentation, and follow-up.

In collaboration with the MSDE, LSSs/LLAs will identify the type, amount, and frequency of assistance needed to address the specific needs. A wide variety of assistance is available. The MSDE is available to consult with LSSs/LLAs by telephone, fax, email, mail, or in-person. To enable a LSS to improve performance and meet compliance available assistance may include, but is not limited to the MSDE assisting a LSS/LLA to:

- Clarify/examine/develop/revise policies and procedures;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system administration and system of general supervision;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system of self-monitoring;
- Provide training/professional development;
- Access the advice of experts to address the area(s) of need, including explicit plans for addressing the area for concern within a specified period of time;

- Identify and implement professional development, instructional strategies, and methods of instruction that are based on scientifically based research;
- Designate and use distinguished superintendents, principals, special education administrators, special education teachers, and other teachers to provide advice, assistance, and support;
- Devise additional approaches to providing assistance, such as collaborating with institutions of higher education, educational service agencies, national centers of technical assistance supported under IDEA, and private providers of scientifically based technical assistance;
- Provide access to additional tools and resources;
- Share sample forms, procedures, processes;
- Provide information on where additional information, resources, support, and other organizations for support may be located;
- Examine/revise data collection;
- Improve collaboration/coordination with internal and external colleagues;
- Provide local director networking opportunities;
- Develop specialized programs/services;
- Examine options for increasing/reallocating personnel
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise fiscal management procedures and documentation
- Use evaluative measures to validate progress and correction of previous noncompliance
- Provide access to discretionary funds.

Needs Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Intervention" indicates a need for assistance in either performance and/or compliance areas within the LSS/LLA General Supervision process. Using the National Center for Special Education Accountability Monitoring (NCSEAM) "Developing and Implementing an Effective System of General Supervision: Part B," MSDE will direct the LSSILLA to examine, clarify, and develop an effective system of general supervision to address results and compliance. This may require more frequent MSDE oversight and direct onsite follow-up.

In collaboration with the LSSILLA, the MSDE may determine a local school system needs additional assistance beyond that listed above in the determination category of "Needs Assistance." Additional supports and required actions may include but are not limited to:

- Mandatory review of the local school system's system of general supervision to include:
 - o Local Self-Assessment of Performance and Compliance:
 - o Policies, procedures, and effective practices, including the local school system's required documentation of implementation;
 - o Integrated Monitoring Activities;
 - o Fiscal Management;
 - o Data Processes and Results;
 - o Improvement, Correction, Incentives, and Sanctions;
 - o Effective Dispute Resolution; and
 - o Directed Targeted Assistance and Professional Development.
- Identification and prioritization of corrective actions based upon the analysis of their system of general supervision, needs and resources;
- Development and implementation of required Corrective Action Plans with required timelines for submission, provision of evidence and data to demonstrate progress and correction as soon as possible but in no case later than one year;
- MSDE prescribing the use of discretionary funds;

- Identification of a local school system as a high risk grantee if a local school system is in the category of Needs Intervention for 2 years;
- Required quarterly reporting on status of correction of noncompliance

Needs Substantial Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Substantial Intervention" indicates a need for significant assistance and support in multiple results and compliance indicators. In addition to the available assistance listed above, to address "Needs Intervention," additional supports and required actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Reduce or eliminate the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Passthrough funds;
- Withhold or reduce Part B Passthrough funds pending completion of the corrective actions;
- Withhold or reduce State funds for special education services pending the completion of corrective actions;
- Assign MSDE staff on-site to assist in the completion of the corrective actions; and
- Assign a monitor to oversee the local school system's special education programs, with the responsibility for the costs of monitoring to be determined by the Department.

Prior to reducing or withholding funds, ail requirements regarding proper notice by MSDE to the local school system shall be met, consistent with 20 U.S.C. §1413(c) and (d).

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Inc	licators		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results
Re	sults Indicator Co		State	Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY
			Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
1	Students with IEPs gradiploma - 4 year cohor 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01	t (ESSA lag data based on	≥ 65.91%	81.82%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	82.61%	50.00%
2	Students with IEPs dropping out (ESSA Option 2 leaver rate based on lag data for 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.08.01.07		≤ 3.51%	≤3.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	≤3.00%	6.36%
	State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA	AMO for Reading	NA	NA	NA	Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.	NA	NA
3A	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	A.05.11.05 MAR AMO for Math		NA	NA		NA	NA
2D	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA	Math	≥ 95.00%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	00 (20/	NA
3B	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	A.05.11.05 MAR Reading		100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	99.62%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Garrett County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

				FFY	2016 (SFY 2	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Inc	dicator	-		FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	· <u> </u>		nce Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	State Assessment: Proficiency rate for	3	Mathematics	16.50%	13.95%	Not Met	grade unrough the 8th grade and high school.	14.81%	NA
	children with IEPs against grade level, modified and	3	Reading	18.60%	11.36%	Not Met	Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence based practices targeted to improve student results.	11.11%	NA
	alternate academic achievement	4	Mathematics	16.15%	5.41%	Not Met	practices targeted to improve student results.	12.00%	NA
	standards	4	Reading	21.10%	8.11%	Not Met		10.00%	NA
		5	Mathematics	18.85%	6.67%	Not Met		9.68%	NA
			Reading	23.60%	8.89%	Not Met		9.68%	NA
3C		6	Mathematics	20.55%	3.85%	Not Met		7.41%	NA
			Reading	26.10%	15.38%	Not Met		7.41%	NA
		7	Mathematics	22.25%	4.35%	Not Met		10.34%	NA
		,	Reading	28.60%	4.35%	Not Met		6.90%	NA
		8	Mathematics	23.95%	3.45%	Not Met		14.71%	NA
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	0	Reading	31.10%	6.90%	Not Met		11.76%	NA
	COMAR 13A.03.06.05	HS	Mathematics	56.08%	37.50%	Not Met		50.00%	NA
	1511.05.00.05	115	Reading	50.52%	29.41%	Not Met		38.89%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Garrett County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Garrett County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators the Period July 1, 2016 June 20, 2017 Ph

		FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	CDD /ADD In diseases		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results
Re	SPP/APR Indicators Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	0.00%	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	*	*
771	Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	_*_	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	*	*

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Garrett County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		FFY 2	016 (SFY 20)17) Part B I	Indicators		
	CDD/ADD Indicators		FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	SPP/APR Indicators compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≥ 80% of the day (MD SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≥ 69.90%	80.17%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	79.44%	79.40
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≤ 40% of the day (MD SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≤ 11.76%	5.59%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	4.72%	5.96%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is separate public/private day and residential facilities and home and hospital facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16	≤ 5.94%	2.51%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	3.33%	2.44%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in regular early childhood setting the majority of the day COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≥ 64.70%	73.81%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	74.55%	76.47%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≤ 18.30%	0.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	0.00%	0.00%
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills 1. Exits with substantial growth	≥68.90%	57.14%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	75.00%	72.22%
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09 2. Exits within age expectations	≥68.00%	75.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	60.00%	70.83%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Garrett County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indica	ato vo		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results
Re		pliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥67.60%	57.14%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	88.24%	75.00%
, 2	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥57.20%	75.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	52.00%	66.67%
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥63.10%	72.73%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	87.50%	70.59%
7C	meet their needs. COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥65.70%	75.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	64.00%	70.83%
8	Parent Survey	Age 3-5	≥83.00%	100%	NA	Target met, no further action required.	75.00%	NA
0	·	Age 6-21	≥70.00%	75.00%	NA	Target met, no further action required.	51.00%	36.00%
	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in	A. Higher ED w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥25.00%	Small cell size	NA	No further action required	14.29%	11.11%
14	effect at the time they left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07	B. Higher ED or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥51.00%	Small cell size	NA	No further action required	61.90%	38.89%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	C. Higher ED or training program or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥57.00%	Small cell size	NA	No further action required	61.90%	44.44%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Garrett County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indicato			FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	Results
Results	Indicator Comp	liance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	*	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	*	*_
	expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Asian	< 2.00	*	Met		*	*
	Discrepancy exists in the	Black/African American	< 2.00	*	Met		0.00%	*
4B	category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	*	Met		*	*
(Ages 6-21)	* No suspension	White	< 2.00	0.00%	Met		0.00%	*_
	of disabled or nondisabled students	2 or more races	< 2.00	0.00%	Met		0.00%	*
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.00%	Met		0.00%	*

Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Garrett County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY :	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indicate	rs		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	s Results
Results	Indicator Comp	liance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the identification of White SWD was identified. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and	0.00	0.00
	ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of	Asian	< 2.00	0.00	Met	evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	0.39	0.39
	inappropriate identification Black/African American Discrepancy exists in the category Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.00	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR	3.81*	3.53*	
		exists in the category Noize is > 30	< 2.00	0.00	Met	regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for	0.00	0.00
9 (Ages	* N size is ≤ 30	White	< 2.00	3.14	Not Met	this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity. Targeted review to be scheduled by MSDE DSE/EIS. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.50	0.56
6-21)		2 or more races	< 2.00	1.87	Met		0.63	0.78
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	1.76	Met		0.36	0.00

Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Garrett County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

				F	FY 2016 ¹	(SFY 2017) I	Part B Ir	ndicators	
	SPP/APR Ind Compliance India		Intellectual Disability	Specific Learning Disability	Emotional Disability	Speech or Ianguage Impairment	Autism	Other Health Impairment	Action Required
	FFY 2016 results: Disproportionate representation	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Disproportionality for White students with specific learning disabilities was identified. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based	
	(≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race	Asian	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	practices targeted to improve student results. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of
	as a result of inappropriate identification	Black/African American	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and
10	Discrepancy exists in the	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification
	category N size is ≥ 30	White	3.79*	3.76	8.49*	1.15			of SWD by race/ethnicity <u>and</u> disability. Targeted review to be scheduled by MSDE, DSE/EIS.
	* N size is < 30	2 or more races	8.95	0.66	4.00*	0.72	0.00	0.00	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	0.00	1.75	0.00	6.23*	0.00	0.00	with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to the identification of SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity and disability. To be decided FFY 2019.

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Garrett County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		FFY 20	016 (SFY 201	L7) Part B lı	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	s Results
Res	ults Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days. COMAR 13A.05.01.06	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Garrett County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		Ot	ther Data (Considera	ntions		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous Results	
Results In	ndicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Timely correction of noncompliance (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
	State reported data are timely and accurate. (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
	Number of State complaints filed	NA	1	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of State complaints identified with violation(s)	NA	1	NA	Correction of the identified noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by August 2018.	NA	NA
	Number of State findings from State complaints corrected in a timely manner	NA	NA	NA	TBD FFY 2017.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings identified with violations	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Fiscal Accountability: Number of findings identified	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	National Assessment of Educational Progress.(NAEP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Garrett County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services



200 West Baltimore Street • Baltimore, MD 21201 • 410-767-0100 • 410-333-6442 TTY/TDD • marylandpublicschools.org "orafatulationa"

March 8, 2018

Mrs. Barbara P. Canavan Superintendent Harford County Public Schools 102 South Hickory Avenue Bel Air, MD 21014-3731

Dear Mrs. Canavan:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]¹. The MSDE, DSE/EIS uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each local school system in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2016 Part B determinations, the Harford County Public Schools has achieved the determination status of "Meets Requirements."

Attached please find supporting documentation:

- 1. FFY 2016 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a FFY 2017 local determination status on page two – please note the addition of fiscal accountability. State complaints/due process hearings, and preschool least restrictive environment data);
- 2. FFY 2016 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a six-year graduated results driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year); and
- 3. FFY 2016 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21).

The MSDE, DSE/EIS is committed to maintaining a balanced focus on results and compliance to narrow the school readiness and achievement gaps. Please note in FFY 2019 the determination status for each local school system will reflect the July 1, 2018 proposed revision to Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) regarding the identification of significant disproportionality. Maryland's proposed revised definition of significant disproportionality, as required by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), includes the three to five years of age population. Beginning in 2016, the DSE/EIS has provided guidance, technical assistance, and professional learning opportunities to support local school systems in the implementation of revised data collection processes and review of local policies, procedures, and practices. Please note that FFY 2016-17 and FFY 2017-18 data capturing suspension/expulsion (in and out of school), identification by race/ethnicity, and identification by race/ethnicity and disability will be used for the FFY 2019 local determination status.

¹ USDE, OSEP uses state National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) participation and performance data for students with disabilities to assign Maryland's special education determination status (see attached "NAEP"). DSE/EIS will be analyzing local testing protocols and decisions for the NAEP assessment.

Mrs. Barbara P. Canavan March 8, 2018 Page Two

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the DSE/EIS five-year strategic plan provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon a comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), the Harford County Public Schools has been assigned the "Universal" tier for the delivery of the DSE/EIS technical assistance model for results.

Local school systems are strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DSE/EIS will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to equity and efficiency for excellence. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of the Harford County Public Schools to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Marcella E. Franczkowski, M.S.
Assistant State Superintendent
Division of Special Education/
Early Intervention Services

MEF/AM/cc

Attachments

c: Karen B. Salmon Carol A. Williamson Susan Austin

Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 Determination Overview Part C & Part B July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is focused on state accountability for Part C and Part B Indicators defined in the Office of Special Education's (OSEP) State Performance Plan. Annually, the OSEP evaluates each state's performance on these Indicators, and, as a result, assigns each state to one of four levels of determination: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) anticipates receiving its current federal determination status for Part C and Part B in June 2018.

To receive a State determination, the IDEA requires states to evaluate each Local Lead Agency (LLA) for the IDEA Part C early intervention services, and each Local School System (LSS) for the IDEA Part B special education performance on the established Indicators. As a result of that evaluation, the state is to assign each LLA and LSS to one of four levels of determination status: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." In making determinations, the OSEP requires states to consider:

- Performance on Results and Compliance Indicators;
- Whether data submitted by public agencies are valid, reliable (accurate), and timely;
- Uncorrected noncompliance from other sources;
- Any audit findings; and
- Other information.

The MSDE, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS), uses a results-based rubric on identified indicators to rate the performance for each LLA and LSS in meeting the State's targets as defined by the State Performance Plan for Part C and Part B. The status and results for each LLA and LSS will be posted, as required, on the MSDE Public Website of State Performance Plan Results at http://mdideareport.org no later than June 1, 2018. For the FFY 2016 determinations, the MSDE, DSE/EIS has included additional indicators highlighted below in blue.

The following **Part C** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

Results	Compliance
2. Primary Service Setting	1. Timely Services
3A. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Emotional Skills	7. 45 Day Timeline
3B. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Knowledge and Skills	8A. Transition - Transition Outcomes
3C. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Use of appropriate behaviors	8B. Transition - Notification to LSS
4A. Family Survey-Know their rights	8C. Transition - Timely Planning Meeting
4B. Family Survey-Effectively communicate their	
children's needs	
4C. Family Survey-Help their children develop and learn	
5. Child Find 0-1	
6. Child Find 0-3	
Correction of Noncompliance	Data and Submission of Reports
Timely Correction of Noncompliance	Timely and Accurate

Fiscal	Complaints and Due Process Hearing						
Fiscal Accountability	Number of State complaints filed						
	Number of State complaints with violations						
	Number of due process hearings filed						
	Number of due process hearings with violations						

The following **Part B** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

	Results		Compliance				
1.	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular	4B.	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant				
	diploma		Discrepancy Disaggregated by				
2.	Students with IEPs dropping out		Race/Ethnicity				
5A	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	9.	Disproportionate Representation				
	class 80% or more of the day;		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity				
5B	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	10.	Disproportionate Representation				
	class less than 40% of the day; and		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity and				
5C	LRE for Students Ages 6-21- In separate		Disability				
	schools, residential facilities, or housebound/	11.	Initial Evaluation Timeline				
See S. C.	hospital placements.	12.	Part C to B Transition				
4A	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant	13.	Secondary Transition				
	Discrepancy in Single or Multiple						
	Suspensions/Expulsions > than 10 days.						
8A	Preschool Parent Survey; or						
8B	School Age Parent Survey.						
14	Post-School Outcomes						
	Correction of Noncompliance		Data and Submission of Reports				
Timely	y Correction of Noncompliance	Time	ly and Accurate				
	Fiscal		Complaints and Due Process Hearings				
Fiscal	Accountability	Numl	per of State complaints filed				
		Numl	Number of State complaints with violations				
		Numl	Number of due process hearings filed				
		Numl	per of due process hearings with violations				

The MSDE supports the efforts and work of each LLA and LSS to improve performance and identify and correct noncompliance with federal and State requirements. Programmatic technical assistance and monitoring may include, but is not limited to teleconference calls, targeted technical assistance, professional learning opportunities, targeted funds for improvement, and onsite visits. In certain circumstances, enforcement options may be necessary for sustained poor performance and/or ongoing noncompliance.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a), if Maryland determines, for two consecutive years, a public agency needs assistance under §300.603(b)(1)(ii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Advise the public agency of available sources of technical assistance that may help to address the identified areas in which the public agency needs assistance;
- (2) Direct the use of federal funds, in accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a)(2), on the area or areas in which the public agency needs assistance; and/or
- (3) Identify the public agency as a high-risk grantee and impose special conditions on the public agency application for federal funds under the IDEA.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(b), if Maryland determines, for three or more consecutive years, that a public agency needs intervention under §300.603(b)(1)(iii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must implement enforcement actions. The MSDE may:

(1) Take any of the actions described in 34 C.F.R. §300.604(a);

- (2) Take one or more of the following actions:
- (i) Requires the public agency to prepare a corrective action plan or improvement plan if the MSDE determines that the public agency should be able to correct the problem within one year.
- (ii) Require the public agency to enter into a compliance agreement under section 457 of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended, 20 U.S.C. §1221 et seq. (GEPA), if the MSDE has reason to believe that the public agency cannot correct the problem within one year.
- (iii) For each year of the determination, withholds not less than 20 percent and not more than 50 percent of the State's funds under Section 611(e) of the IDEA, until the MSDE determines the public agency has sufficiently addressed the areas in which the public agency needs intervention.
- (iv) Seeks to recover funds under Section 452 of the GEPA.
- (v) Withholds, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under Part B of the IDEA.

In addition to the enforcement actions described above, if the MSDE determines a LLA or LSS needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of the IDEA or that there is a substantial failure to comply with any condition of a public agency's eligibility under the IDEA, in accordance with 34 C.F.R. §300.604(c), the MSDE may take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Recover Funds under section 452 of the GEPA; or
- (2) Withhold, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under the IDEA.

Prior to withholding any funds under the IDEA, the MSDE provides reasonable notice and an opportunity for a hearing to the public agency involved, pursuant to the procedures in in 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.180 through 300.183.

Framework for Assistance and Intervention

Needs Assistance

The process for the provision of assistance is dependent upon the degree of need within each LSS or LLA. The types of assistance must be individualized to address the root causes of the problem(s) for each indicator(s) in order to meet the specific identified needs. The MSDE will identify:

- What needs to change;
- How frequently and in what manner the local school system reports on progress; and
- The MSDE contact for support, information, documentation, and follow-up.

In collaboration with the MSDE, LSSs/LLAs will identify the type, amount, and frequency of assistance needed to address the specific needs. A wide variety of assistance is available. The MSDE is available to consult with LSSs/LLAs by telephone, fax, email, mail, or in-person. To enable a LSS to improve performance and meet compliance available assistance may include, but is not limited to the MSDE assisting a LSS/LLA to:

- Clarify/examine/develop/revise policies and procedures;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system administration and system of general supervision;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system of self-monitoring;
- Provide training/professional development;
- Access the advice of experts to address the area(s) of need, including explicit plans for addressing the area for concern within a specified period of time;

- Identify and implement professional development, instructional strategies, and methods of instruction that are based on scientifically based research;
- Designate and use distinguished superintendents, principals, special education administrators, special education teachers, and other teachers to provide advice, assistance, and support;
- Devise additional approaches to providing assistance, such as collaborating with institutions of higher education, educational service agencies, national centers of technical assistance supported under IDEA, and private providers of scientifically based technical assistance;
- Provide access to additional tools and resources;
- Share sample forms, procedures, processes;
- Provide information on where additional information, resources, support, and other organizations for support may be located;
- Examine/revise data collection;
- Improve collaboration/coordination with internal and external colleagues;
- Provide local director networking opportunities;
- Develop specialized programs/services;
- Examine options for increasing/reallocating personnel
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise fiscal management procedures and documentation
- Use evaluative measures to validate progress and correction of previous noncompliance
- Provide access to discretionary funds.

Needs Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Intervention" indicates a need for assistance in either performance and/or compliance areas within the LSS/LLA General Supervision process. Using the National Center for Special Education Accountability Monitoring (NCSEAM) "Developing and Implementing an Effective System of General Supervision: Part B," MSDE will direct the LSSILLA to examine, clarify, and develop an effective system of general supervision to address results and compliance. This may require more frequent MSDE oversight and direct onsite follow-up.

In collaboration with the LSSILLA, the MSDE may determine a local school system needs additional assistance beyond that listed above in the determination category of "Needs Assistance." Additional supports and required actions may include but are not limited to:

- Mandatory review of the local school system's system of general supervision to include:
 - o Local Self-Assessment of Performance and Compliance:
 - o Policies, procedures, and effective practices, including the local school system's required documentation of implementation;
 - o Integrated Monitoring Activities;
 - o Fiscal Management;
 - o Data Processes and Results;
 - o Improvement, Correction, Incentives, and Sanctions;
 - o Effective Dispute Resolution; and
 - o Directed Targeted Assistance and Professional Development.
- Identification and prioritization of corrective actions based upon the analysis of their system of general supervision, needs and resources;
- Development and implementation of required Corrective Action Plans with required timelines for submission, provision of evidence and data to demonstrate progress and correction as soon as possible but in no case later than one year;
- MSDE prescribing the use of discretionary funds;

- Identification of a local school system as a high risk grantee if a local school system is in the category of Needs Intervention for 2 years;
- Required quarterly reporting on status of correction of noncompliance

Needs Substantial Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Substantial Intervention" indicates a need for significant assistance and support in multiple results and compliance indicators. In addition to the available assistance listed above, to address "Needs Intervention," additional supports and required actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Reduce or eliminate the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Passthrough funds;
- Withhold or reduce Part B Passthrough funds pending completion of the corrective actions;
- Withhold or reduce State funds for special education services pending the completion of corrective actions;
- Assign MSDE staff on-site to assist in the completion of the corrective actions; and
- Assign a monitor to oversee the local school system's special education programs, with the responsibility for the costs of monitoring to be determined by the Department.

Prior to reducing or withholding funds, ail requirements regarding proper notice by MSDE to the local school system shall be met, consistent with 20 U.S.C. §1413(c) and (d).

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 2	017) Part B	Indicators		
	CDD/ADD Inc	lientous		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results
Re	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
1	Students with IEPs grad diploma - 4 year cohor 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01	≥ 65.91%	69.81%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	62.04%	63.50%	
2	Students with IEPs dropping out (ESSA Option 2 leaver rate based on lag data for 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.08.01.07		≤ 3.51%	4.39%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	4.89%	3.18%
	State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA	AMO for Reading	NA	NA	NA	Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.	NA	NA
3A	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	AMO for Math	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA
20	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA	Math	≥ 95.00%	99.20%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	00.150/	NA
3B	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	.05.11.05 MAR Reading		98.90%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	99.15%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Harford County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

				FFY	2016 (SFY 2	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR In	dicator			FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014	
	State Assessment: Proficiency rate for	2	Mathematics	16.50%	16.85%	Met	Target met in 3 rd grade math.	17.11%	NA
	children with IEPs against grade level,	3	Reading	18.60%	15.77%	Not Met	and math for the 4th grade through the 8th grade	13.24%	NA
	modified and alternate academic achievement	4	Mathematics	16.15%	12.09%	Not Met	and high school. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve	10.39%	NA
	standards	4	Reading	21.10%	10.66%	Not Met	student results.	9.87%	NA
			Mathematics	18.85%	11.30%	Not Met		10.57%	NA
		5	Reading	23.60%	9.64%	Not Met		13.92%	NA
3C			Mathematics	20.55%	8.63%	Not Met		11.72%	NA
		6	Reading	26.10%	11.99%	Not Met		12.78%	NA
		7	Mathematics	22.25%	11.55%	Not Met		7.82%	NA
			Reading	28.60%	14.92%	Not Met		10.75%	NA
		8	Mathematics	23.95%	7.87%	Not Met		13.82%	NA
	COMAR	8	Reading	31.10%	8.71%	Not Met		11.96%	NA
	13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	HS	Mathematics	56.08%	37.87%	Not Met		57.03%	NA
	13A.03.00.03	по	Reading	50.52%	18.25%	Not Met		44.58%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Harford County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	CDD/ADD Indicators		FFY 2016			Previou	s Results
Re	SPP/APR Indicators compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	1.70	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	1.63	0.86
	Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	1.48	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	0.62*	1.10

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Harford County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results
Re	sults Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≥ 80% of the day (MD SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≥ 69.90%	83.66%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	56.75%	54.39%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≤ 40% of the day (MD SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≤ 11.76%	3.72%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	3.34%	2.97%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is separate public/private day and residential facilities and home and hospital facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16	≤ 5.94%	6.41%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	7.10%	7.84%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in regular early childhood setting the majority of the day COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≥ 64.70%	53.20%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	54.40%	51.29%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≤ 18.30%	14.26%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	14.34%	17.04%
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills 1. Exits with substantial growth	≥68.90%	49.06%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	59.80%	62.97%
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09 2. Exits within age expectations	≥68.00%	72.29%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	57.14%	42.95%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Harford County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B I	ndicators		,
	SPP/APR Indica	atore.		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results
Re	sults Indicator Com		State Target			Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
ZD.	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥67.60%	72.29%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	43.48%	38.79%
7B	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥57.20%	57.55%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	38.10%	45.20%
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥63.10%	70.27%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	49.51%	53.65%
7C	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥65.70%	63.21%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	48.98%	39.00%
	Parent Survey	Age 3-5	≥83.00%	80.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	42.00%	40.00%
8		Age 6-21	≥70.00%	76.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	39.00%	27.60%
	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in	A. Higher ED w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥25.00%	34.11%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	23.96%	27.60%
14	effect at the time they left school, and were:	B. Higher ED or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥51.00%	81.94%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	58.68%	57.71%
	COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	C. Higher ED or training program or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥57.00%	88.29%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	67.01%	68.82%

Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Harford County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indicato			FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous Results	
Results	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	11.09*	NA	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.00	0.00
	expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Asian	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.00
	Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30	Black/African American	< 2.00	2.91*	NA		2.28*	1.50
4B		Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.00	Met		11.4*	0.00
(Ages 6-21)	* No suspension	White	< 2.00	1.00	Met		0.64	0.52
	of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.01.21	2 or more races	< 2.00	0.78	Met		2.16*	4.97*
		Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	2.81*	NA		1.33	2.75*

 ¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Harford County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators											
	SPP/APR Indicato			FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous Results				
Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014			
	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	1.44	Met	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	1.67	2.90*			
	ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of	Asian	< 2.00	0.40	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity.	0.36	0.64			
	inappropriate identification Discrepancy exists in the category	Black/African American	< 2.00	1.39	Met		1.48	1.62			
9		Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	1.13	Met		0.92	1.35			
(Ages 6-21)	N size is ≥ 30 * N size is ≤ 30	White	< 2.00	0.87	Met		0.84	0.76			
		2 or more races	< 2.00	0.93	Met	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS	0.83	0.20			
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.91	Met	should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.94	0.92			

Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Harford County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

				F	FY 2016 ¹	(SFY 2017) I	Part B In	ndicators	
	SPP/APR Indicators Compliance Indicator		Intellectual Disability	Specific Learning Disability	Emotional Disability	Speech or language Impairment	Autism	Other Health Impairment	Action Required
	FFY 2016 results: Disproportionate representation	American Indian/Alaska Native	1.70	1.42	0.00	1.16	1.08	2.05	Disproportionality for Black/African American students with an Intellectual Disability was identified. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes
	(≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race	Asian	0.40	0.18	0.20	0.84	1.15	0.22	and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.
	as a result of inappropriate identification	Black/African American	2.02	1.49	1.71	1.04	1.11	1.59	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the
1	Discrepancy exists in the	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	0.00	0.67	1.85	1.64	0.00	1.16	current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to
1	category N size is ≥ 30	White	0.50	0.86	0.72	0.98	1.11	0.83	determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity <u>and</u> disability.
	* N size is < 30	2 or more races	0.76	0.78	1.19	1.03	1.13	0.92	Targeted review to be scheduled by MSDE, DSE/EIS. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	1.13	0.97	0.89	0.99	0.49	0.83	the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to the identification of SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity and disability. To be decided FFY 2019.

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Harford County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators							
SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016			Action Required	Previous Results	
Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days COMAR 13A.05.01.06	100%	99.60%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve noncompliance. Correction of the noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by April 6, 2019. Subsequent data demonstrated that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2015 was not corrected within one year of the written finding.	99.80%	98.81%
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100%	91.30%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve noncompliance. Correction of the noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by April 6, 2019.	96.67%	97.06%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Harford County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Other Data Considerations							
SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016			Action Required	Previous Results	
Results Indicator	Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
(Specific action within each Re	ion of noncompliance ns required are embedded esults and Compliance used to establish local status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
accurate. (Specembedded with Compliance In	data are timely and cific actions required are nin each Results and dicator and used to determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
Number of Sta	te complaints filed	NA	8	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
Number of Sta with violation(te complaints identified s)	NA	6	NA	Correction of the identified noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by August 2018.	NA	NA
	te findings from State rected in a timely manner	NA	NA	NA	TBD FFY 2017.	NA	NA
Number of due	e process hearings filed	NA	1	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
Number of due identified with	e process hearings violations	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
Fiscal Account findings identi	tability: Number of fied	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
National Asses Progress (NAE	ssment of Educational EP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Harford County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services



200 West Baltimore Street • Baltimore, MD 21201 • 410-767-0100 • 410-333-6442 TTY/TDD • marylandpublicschools.org

March 8, 2018

Dr. Michael J. Martirano Interim Superintendent Howard County Public Schools 10910 Clarksville Pike Ellicott City, MD 21042

Dear Dr. Martirano:

on Manufactural at land The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]¹. The MSDE, DSE/EIS uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each local school system in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2016 Part B determinations, the Howard County Public Schools has achieved the determination status of "Meets Requirements."

Attached please find supporting documentation:

- 1. FFY 2016 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a FFY 2017 local determination status on page two – please note the addition of fiscal accountability, State complaints/due process hearings, and preschool least restrictive environment data);
- 2. FFY 2016 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a six-year graduated results driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year); and
- 3. FFY 2016 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21).

The MSDE, DSE/EIS is committed to maintaining a balanced focus on results and compliance to narrow the school readiness and achievement gaps. Please note in FFY 2019 the determination status for each local school system will reflect the July 1, 2018 proposed revision to Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) regarding the identification of significant disproportionality. Maryland's proposed revised definition of significant disproportionality, as required by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), includes the three to five years of age population. Beginning in 2016, the DSE/EIS has provided guidance, technical assistance, and professional learning opportunities to support local school systems in the implementation of revised data collection processes and review of local policies, procedures, and practices. Please note that FFY 2016-17 and FFY 2017-18 data capturing suspension/expulsion (in and out of school), identification by race/ethnicity, and identification by race/ethnicity and disability will be used for the FFY 2019 local determination status.

¹ USDE, OSEP uses state National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) participation and performance data for students with disabilities to assign Maryland's special education determination status (see attached "NAEP"). DSE/EIS will be analyzing local testing protocols and decisions for the NAEP assessment.

Dr. Michael J. Martirano March 8, 2018 Page Two

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the DSE/EIS five-year strategic plan provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon a comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), the Howard County Public Schools has been assigned the "Universal" tier for the delivery of the DSE/EIS technical assistance model for results.

Local school systems are strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DSE/EIS will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to equity and efficiency for excellence. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of the Howard County Public Schools to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Marcella E. Francykowski, M.S.
Assistant State Superintendent
Division of Special Education/
Early Intervention Services

MEF/AM/cc

Attachments

c: Karen B. Salmon Carol A. Williamson Terri Savage

Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 Determination Overview Part C & Part B July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is focused on state accountability for Part C and Part B Indicators defined in the Office of Special Education's (OSEP) State Performance Plan. Annually, the OSEP evaluates each state's performance on these Indicators, and, as a result, assigns each state to one of four levels of determination: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) anticipates receiving its current federal determination status for Part C and Part B in June 2018.

To receive a State determination, the IDEA requires states to evaluate each Local Lead Agency (LLA) for the IDEA Part C early intervention services, and each Local School System (LSS) for the IDEA Part B special education performance on the established Indicators. As a result of that evaluation, the state is to assign each LLA and LSS to one of four levels of determination status: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." In making determinations, the OSEP requires states to consider:

- Performance on Results and Compliance Indicators;
- Whether data submitted by public agencies are valid, reliable (accurate), and timely;
- Uncorrected noncompliance from other sources;
- Any audit findings; and
- Other information.

The MSDE, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS), uses a results-based rubric on identified indicators to rate the performance for each LLA and LSS in meeting the State's targets as defined by the State Performance Plan for Part C and Part B. The status and results for each LLA and LSS will be posted, as required, on the MSDE Public Website of State Performance Plan Results at http://mdideareport.org no later than June 1, 2018. For the FFY 2016 determinations, the MSDE, DSE/EIS has included additional indicators highlighted below in blue.

The following **Part C** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

Results	Compliance				
2. Primary Service Setting	1. Timely Services				
3A. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Emotional Skills	7. 45 Day Timeline				
3B. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Knowledge and Skills	8A. Transition - Transition Outcomes				
3C. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Use of appropriate behaviors	8B. Transition - Notification to LSS				
4A. Family Survey-Know their rights	8C. Transition - Timely Planning Meeting				
4B. Family Survey-Effectively communicate their					
children's needs					
4C. Family Survey-Help their children develop and learn					
5. Child Find 0-1					
6. Child Find 0-3					
Correction of Noncompliance Data and Submission of Reports					
Timely Correction of Noncompliance	Timely and Accurate				

Fiscal	Complaints and Due Process Hearing			
Fiscal Accountability	Number of State complaints filed			
	Number of State complaints with violations			
	Number of due process hearings filed			
	Number of due process hearings with violations			

The following **Part B** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

	Results		Compliance		
1.	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular	4B.	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant		
	diploma		Discrepancy Disaggregated by		
2.	Students with IEPs dropping out		Race/Ethnicity		
5A	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	9.	Disproportionate Representation		
	class 80% or more of the day;		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity		
5B	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	10.	Disproportionate Representation		
	class less than 40% of the day; and		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity and		
5C	LRE for Students Ages 6-21- In separate		Disability		
	schools, residential facilities, or housebound/	11.	Initial Evaluation Timeline		
See S. C.	hospital placements.	12.	Part C to B Transition		
4A	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant	13.	Secondary Transition		
	Discrepancy in Single or Multiple				
	Suspensions/Expulsions > than 10 days.				
8A	Preschool Parent Survey; or				
8B	School Age Parent Survey.				
14	Post-School Outcomes				
	Correction of Noncompliance		Data and Submission of Reports		
Timely	Timely Correction of Noncompliance		Timely and Accurate		
Fiscal		Complaints and Due Process Hearings			
Fiscal	Fiscal Accountability		Number of State complaints filed		
		Number of State complaints with violations			
		Number of due process hearings filed			
		Numl	per of due process hearings with violations		

The MSDE supports the efforts and work of each LLA and LSS to improve performance and identify and correct noncompliance with federal and State requirements. Programmatic technical assistance and monitoring may include, but is not limited to teleconference calls, targeted technical assistance, professional learning opportunities, targeted funds for improvement, and onsite visits. In certain circumstances, enforcement options may be necessary for sustained poor performance and/or ongoing noncompliance.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a), if Maryland determines, for two consecutive years, a public agency needs assistance under §300.603(b)(1)(ii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Advise the public agency of available sources of technical assistance that may help to address the identified areas in which the public agency needs assistance;
- (2) Direct the use of federal funds, in accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a)(2), on the area or areas in which the public agency needs assistance; and/or
- (3) Identify the public agency as a high-risk grantee and impose special conditions on the public agency application for federal funds under the IDEA.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(b), if Maryland determines, for three or more consecutive years, that a public agency needs intervention under §300.603(b)(1)(iii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must implement enforcement actions. The MSDE may:

(1) Take any of the actions described in 34 C.F.R. §300.604(a);

- (2) Take one or more of the following actions:
- (i) Requires the public agency to prepare a corrective action plan or improvement plan if the MSDE determines that the public agency should be able to correct the problem within one year.
- (ii) Require the public agency to enter into a compliance agreement under section 457 of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended, 20 U.S.C. §1221 et seq. (GEPA), if the MSDE has reason to believe that the public agency cannot correct the problem within one year.
- (iii) For each year of the determination, withholds not less than 20 percent and not more than 50 percent of the State's funds under Section 611(e) of the IDEA, until the MSDE determines the public agency has sufficiently addressed the areas in which the public agency needs intervention.
- (iv) Seeks to recover funds under Section 452 of the GEPA.
- (v) Withholds, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under Part B of the IDEA.

In addition to the enforcement actions described above, if the MSDE determines a LLA or LSS needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of the IDEA or that there is a substantial failure to comply with any condition of a public agency's eligibility under the IDEA, in accordance with 34 C.F.R. §300.604(c), the MSDE may take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Recover Funds under section 452 of the GEPA; or
- (2) Withhold, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under the IDEA.

Prior to withholding any funds under the IDEA, the MSDE provides reasonable notice and an opportunity for a hearing to the public agency involved, pursuant to the procedures in in 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.180 through 300.183.

Framework for Assistance and Intervention

Needs Assistance

The process for the provision of assistance is dependent upon the degree of need within each LSS or LLA. The types of assistance must be individualized to address the root causes of the problem(s) for each indicator(s) in order to meet the specific identified needs. The MSDE will identify:

- What needs to change;
- How frequently and in what manner the local school system reports on progress; and
- The MSDE contact for support, information, documentation, and follow-up.

In collaboration with the MSDE, LSSs/LLAs will identify the type, amount, and frequency of assistance needed to address the specific needs. A wide variety of assistance is available. The MSDE is available to consult with LSSs/LLAs by telephone, fax, email, mail, or in-person. To enable a LSS to improve performance and meet compliance available assistance may include, but is not limited to the MSDE assisting a LSS/LLA to:

- Clarify/examine/develop/revise policies and procedures;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system administration and system of general supervision;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system of self-monitoring;
- Provide training/professional development;
- Access the advice of experts to address the area(s) of need, including explicit plans for addressing the area for concern within a specified period of time;

- Identify and implement professional development, instructional strategies, and methods of instruction that are based on scientifically based research;
- Designate and use distinguished superintendents, principals, special education administrators, special education teachers, and other teachers to provide advice, assistance, and support;
- Devise additional approaches to providing assistance, such as collaborating with institutions of higher education, educational service agencies, national centers of technical assistance supported under IDEA, and private providers of scientifically based technical assistance;
- Provide access to additional tools and resources;
- Share sample forms, procedures, processes;
- Provide information on where additional information, resources, support, and other organizations for support may be located;
- Examine/revise data collection;
- Improve collaboration/coordination with internal and external colleagues;
- Provide local director networking opportunities;
- Develop specialized programs/services;
- Examine options for increasing/reallocating personnel
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise fiscal management procedures and documentation
- Use evaluative measures to validate progress and correction of previous noncompliance
- Provide access to discretionary funds.

Needs Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Intervention" indicates a need for assistance in either performance and/or compliance areas within the LSS/LLA General Supervision process. Using the National Center for Special Education Accountability Monitoring (NCSEAM) "Developing and Implementing an Effective System of General Supervision: Part B," MSDE will direct the LSSILLA to examine, clarify, and develop an effective system of general supervision to address results and compliance. This may require more frequent MSDE oversight and direct onsite follow-up.

In collaboration with the LSSILLA, the MSDE may determine a local school system needs additional assistance beyond that listed above in the determination category of "Needs Assistance." Additional supports and required actions may include but are not limited to:

- Mandatory review of the local school system's system of general supervision to include:
 - o Local Self-Assessment of Performance and Compliance:
 - o Policies, procedures, and effective practices, including the local school system's required documentation of implementation;
 - o Integrated Monitoring Activities;
 - o Fiscal Management;
 - o Data Processes and Results;
 - o Improvement, Correction, Incentives, and Sanctions;
 - o Effective Dispute Resolution; and
 - o Directed Targeted Assistance and Professional Development.
- Identification and prioritization of corrective actions based upon the analysis of their system of general supervision, needs and resources;
- Development and implementation of required Corrective Action Plans with required timelines for submission, provision of evidence and data to demonstrate progress and correction as soon as possible but in no case later than one year;
- MSDE prescribing the use of discretionary funds;

- Identification of a local school system as a high risk grantee if a local school system is in the category of Needs Intervention for 2 years;
- Required quarterly reporting on status of correction of noncompliance

Needs Substantial Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Substantial Intervention" indicates a need for significant assistance and support in multiple results and compliance indicators. In addition to the available assistance listed above, to address "Needs Intervention," additional supports and required actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Reduce or eliminate the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Passthrough funds;
- Withhold or reduce Part B Passthrough funds pending completion of the corrective actions;
- Withhold or reduce State funds for special education services pending the completion of corrective actions;
- Assign MSDE staff on-site to assist in the completion of the corrective actions; and
- Assign a monitor to oversee the local school system's special education programs, with the responsibility for the costs of monitoring to be determined by the Department.

Prior to reducing or withholding funds, ail requirements regarding proper notice by MSDE to the local school system shall be met, consistent with 20 U.S.C. §1413(c) and (d).

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Inc	licators		FFY 2016 ¹			Previous	s Results
Re	sults Indicator Co		State	Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY
NC			Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
1	Students with IEPs gradiploma - 4 year cohor 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01	t (ESSA lag data based on	≥ 65.91%	68.10%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	63.87%	60.15%
2	Students with IEPs drop leaver rate based on lag COMAR 13A.08.01.07	,	≤ 3.51%	≤ 3.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	3.11%	3.64%
	State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA	AMO for Reading	NA	NA	NA	Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.	NA	NA
3A	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	AMO for Math	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA
2D	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA	Math	≥ 95.00%	99.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	00.20%	N/A
3B	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	Reading	≥ 95.00%	98.74%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	99.20%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Howard County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

				FFY	2016 (SFY 2	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR In	dicator	•		FFY 2016 ¹			Previous	Results
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	State Assessment: Proficiency rate for	3	Mathematics	16.50%	21.96%	Met	Target met in math for 3rd and 4th grade.	19.95%	NA
	children with IEPs against grade level, modified and	3	Reading	18.60%	17.83%	Not Met	Target not met in reading for 3rd and 4th grade. Target not met in reading and math for the 5th grade through the 8th grade and high school.	14.32%	NA
	alternate academic achievement	4	Mathematics	16.15%	19.37%	Met	Submit an Improvement Plan May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based	17.29%	NA
	standards	4	Reading	21.10%	15.34%	Not Met	practices targeted to improve student results.	16.82%	NA
		5	Mathematics	18.85%	13.55%	Not Met		16.58%	NA
		3	Reading	23.60%	12.04%	Not Met		13.83%	NA
3C		6	Mathematics	20.55%	13.20%	Not Met		15.91%	NA
		0	Reading	26.10%	9.94%	Not Met		10.10%	NA
		7	Mathematics	22.25%	17.41%	Not Met		12.29%	NA
		,	Reading	28.60%	17.85%	Not Met		12.01%	NA
		8	Mathematics	23.95%	16.88%	Not Met		13.11%	NA
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	0	Reading	31.10%	8.46%	Not Met		10.68%	NA
	COMAR 13A.03.06.05	HS	Mathematics	56.08%	53.40%	Not Met		67.74%	NA
	1371.03.00.03	110	Reading	50.52%	14.80%	Not Met		52.85%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Howard County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Howard County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators the Paried July 1, 2016 June 20, 2017

		FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	CDD/ADD Indicators		FFY 2016 ¹			Previou	s Results
Re	SPP/APR Indicators sults Indicator Compliance Indicator	State	Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY
1.c	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	1.73	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	1.31*	1.95*
77.0	Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	0.00	3.74*

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Howard County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	016 (SFY 20)17) Part B I	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Indica	ators		FFY 2016 ¹			Previous	Results
Re	_	pliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose day (MD SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	LRE is $\geq 80\%$ of the	≥ 69.90%	80.33%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	80.35%	81.25%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose day (MD SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	LRE is $\leq 40\%$ of the	≤ 11.76%	1.86%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	2.08%	1.54%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose public/private day and resi home and hospital facilitie COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16	dential facilities and	≤ 5.94%	6.51%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	6.41%	6.66%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Se childhood setting the majo COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≥ 64.70%	83.37%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	83.74%	84.21%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Se COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≤ 18.30%	1.27%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	79.02%	72.50%
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥68.90%	72.03%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	81.34%	77.27%
7A	skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥68.00%	59.18%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	72.35%	75.16%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Howard County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indica	ators		FFY 2016 ¹			Previous	s Results
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥67.60%	72.65%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	79.02%	72.50%
/B	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥57.20%	59.18%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	68.82%	69.28%
-0	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥63.10%	71.30%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	78.79%	71.03%
7C	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥65.70%	68.71%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	75.29%	75.16%
8	Parent Survey	Age 3-5	≥83.00%	83.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	49.00%	50.00%
0		Age 6-21	≥70.00%	71.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	41.00%	47.00%
	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in	A. Higher ED w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥25.00%	50.22%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	45.70%	42.19%
14	effect at the time they left school, and were:	B. Higher ED or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥51.00%	75.34%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	68.36%	58.98%
	COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	C. Higher ED or training program or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥57.00%	79.37%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	74.61%	69.92%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Howard County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indicato		FFY 2016 ¹			Action Required	Previous Results	
Results	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	80.31*	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Asian	< 2.00	0.00	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	1.41	0.00
	Discrepancy exists in the	Black/African American	< 2.00	2.39*	NA	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	2.75*	6.89*
4B	category N size is ≥ 30	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.00	Met	calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine	0.00	0.00
(Ages 6-21)	* No suspension	White	< 2.00	0.85	Met	the factors that contribute to suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity.	0.35	0.57
	of disabled or nondisabled students	2 or more races	< 2.00	5.52*	Met	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities	0.00	2.16*
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.00	Met	ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	1.21	3.33*

 ¹ Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Howard County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY:	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Indicato			FFY 2016 ¹		Action Required	Previous Results	
Results	Indicator Comp	lliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	0.93	Met	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	0.91	0.70
	ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of	Asian	< 2.00	0.38	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	0.37	0.42
	inappropriate identification	Black/African American	< 2.00	1.49	Met	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	1.47	1.50
9	Discrepancy exists in the category	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.87	Met	calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine	0.85	1.27
(Ages 6-21)	N size is ≥ 30 * N size is ≤ 30	White	< 2.00	0.77	Met	the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to	0.77	0.74
		2 or more races	< 2.00	0.82	Met	COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS	0.79	0.76
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	1.06	Met	should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	1.12	1.11

 ¹ Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Howard County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

				F	FY 2016 ¹	(SFY 2017)	Part B Ir	ndicators	
	SPP/APR Ind		Intellectual Disability	Specific Learning Disability	Emotional Disability	Speech or language Impairment	Autism	Other Health Impairment	Action Required
	FFY 2016 results: Disproportionate representation	American Indian/Alaska Native	1.83	1.06	0.00	0.62	0.00	1.22	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race, ethnicity, and disability was not identified, no further action required.
	(≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race	Asian	0.42	0.21	0.08	0.59	0.79	0.15	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018.
	as a result of inappropriate identification	Black/African American	1.64	1.75	1.54	1.23	1.31	1.80	The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS
1	Discrepancy	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	2.66*	0.00	2.26*	0.88	0.98	1.72	should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity and disability.
	category N size is ≥ 30	White	0.68	0.60	0.99	0.78	0.93	0.80	Targeted review to be scheduled by MSDE DSE/EIS.
	* N size is < 30	2 or more races	0.80	0.67	1.23	0.70	0.98	0.81	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The
	COMAR	Hispanic/Latino	1.03	1.30	0.62	1.33	0.75	0.76	LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to the identification of SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity and disability. To be decided FFY 2019.
	13A.08.01.21								

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Howard County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		FFY 20	016 (SFY 201	L7) Part B lı	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators	FFY 2016			Action Required	Previous Results	
Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days. COMAR 13A.05.01.06	100%	95.69%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results. Correction of the noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by April 6, 2019. Subsequent data demonstrated that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2015 was not corrected within one year of the written finding.	97.82%	97.86%
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	96.43%	96.67%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Howard County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		Ot	ther Data (Considera	ntions		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016 ¹		Action Required	Previous Results	
Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Timely correction of noncompliance (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
	State reported data are timely and accurate. (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
	Number of State complaints filed	NA	22	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of State complaints identified with violation(s)	NA	11	NA	Correction of the identified noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by August 2018.	NA	NA
	Number of State findings from State complaints corrected in a timely manner	NA	NA	NA	TBD FFY 2017	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings identified with violations	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Fiscal Accountability: Number of findings identified	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	National Assessment of Educational Progress.(NAEP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Howard County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services



of atulations 200 West Baltimore Street • Baltimore, MD 21201 • 410-767-0100 • 410-333-6442 TTY/TDD • marylandpublicschools.org

March 8, 2018

Dr. Karen M. Couch Superintendent Kent County Public Schools 5608 Boundary Avenue Rock Hall, MD 21661 Jarer

Dear Dr. Couch: (

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]¹. The MSDE, DSE/EIS uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each local school system in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2016 Part B determinations, the Kent County Public Schools has achieved the determination status of "Meets Requirements."

Attached please find supporting documentation:

- 1. FFY 2016 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a FFY 2017 local determination status on page two - please note the addition of fiscal accountability, State complaints/due process hearings, and preschool least restrictive environment data):
- 2. FFY 2016 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a six-year graduated results driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year); and
- 3. FFY 2016 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21).

The MSDE, DSE/EIS is committed to maintaining a balanced focus on results and compliance to narrow the school readiness and achievement gaps. Please note in FFY 2019 the determination status for each local school system will reflect the July 1, 2018 proposed revision to Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) regarding the identification of significant disproportionality. Maryland's proposed revised definition of significant disproportionality, as required by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), includes the three to five years of age population. Beginning in 2016, the DSE/EIS has provided guidance, technical assistance, and professional learning opportunities to support local school systems in the implementation of revised data collection processes and review of local policies, procedures, and practices. Please note that FFY 2016-17 and FFY 2017-18 data capturing suspension/expulsion (in and out of school), identification by race/ethnicity, and identification by race/ethnicity and disability will be used for the FFY 2019 local determination status.

¹ USDE, OSEP uses state National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) participation and performance data for students with disabilities to assign Maryland's special education determination status (see attached "NAEP"). DSE/EIS will be analyzing local testing protocols and decisions for the NAEP assessment.

Dr. Karen M. Couch March 8, 2018 Page Two

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the DSE/EIS five-year strategic plan provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon a comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), the Kent County Public Schools has been assigned the "Universal" tier for the delivery of the DSE/EIS technical assistance model for results.

Local school systems are strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DSE/EIS will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to equity and efficiency for excellence. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of the Kent County Public Schools to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Marcella C. Francykowski
Marcella E. Franczkowski, M.S.
Assistant State Superintendent
Division of Special Education/

Early Intervention Services

MEF/AM/cc

Attachments

c: Karen B. Salmon Carol A. Williamson Wendy Keen

Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 Determination Overview Part C & Part B July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is focused on state accountability for Part C and Part B Indicators defined in the Office of Special Education's (OSEP) State Performance Plan. Annually, the OSEP evaluates each state's performance on these Indicators, and, as a result, assigns each state to one of four levels of determination: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) anticipates receiving its current federal determination status for Part C and Part B in June 2018.

To receive a State determination, the IDEA requires states to evaluate each Local Lead Agency (LLA) for the IDEA Part C early intervention services, and each Local School System (LSS) for the IDEA Part B special education performance on the established Indicators. As a result of that evaluation, the state is to assign each LLA and LSS to one of four levels of determination status: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." In making determinations, the OSEP requires states to consider:

- Performance on Results and Compliance Indicators;
- Whether data submitted by public agencies are valid, reliable (accurate), and timely;
- Uncorrected noncompliance from other sources;
- Any audit findings; and
- Other information.

The MSDE, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS), uses a results-based rubric on identified indicators to rate the performance for each LLA and LSS in meeting the State's targets as defined by the State Performance Plan for Part C and Part B. The status and results for each LLA and LSS will be posted, as required, on the MSDE Public Website of State Performance Plan Results at http://mdideareport.org no later than June 1, 2018. For the FFY 2016 determinations, the MSDE, DSE/EIS has included additional indicators highlighted below in blue.

The following **Part C** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

Results	Compliance
2. Primary Service Setting	1. Timely Services
3A. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Emotional Skills	7. 45 Day Timeline
3B. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Knowledge and Skills	8A. Transition - Transition Outcomes
3C. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Use of appropriate behaviors	8B. Transition - Notification to LSS
4A. Family Survey-Know their rights	8C. Transition - Timely Planning Meeting
4B. Family Survey-Effectively communicate their	
children's needs	
4C. Family Survey-Help their children develop and learn	
5. Child Find 0-1	
6. Child Find 0-3	
Correction of Noncompliance	Data and Submission of Reports
Timely Correction of Noncompliance	Timely and Accurate

Fiscal	Complaints and Due Process Hearing
Fiscal Accountability	Number of State complaints filed
	Number of State complaints with violations
	Number of due process hearings filed
	Number of due process hearings with violations

The following **Part B** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

	Results		Compliance		
1.	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular	4B.	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant		
	diploma		Discrepancy Disaggregated by		
2.	Students with IEPs dropping out		Race/Ethnicity		
5A	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	9.	Disproportionate Representation		
	class 80% or more of the day;		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity		
5B	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	10.	Disproportionate Representation		
	class less than 40% of the day; and		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity and		
5C	LRE for Students Ages 6-21- In separate		Disability		
	schools, residential facilities, or housebound/	11.	Initial Evaluation Timeline		
See S. C.	hospital placements.	12.	Part C to B Transition		
4A	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant	13.	Secondary Transition		
	Discrepancy in Single or Multiple				
	Suspensions/Expulsions > than 10 days.				
8A	Preschool Parent Survey; or				
8B	School Age Parent Survey.				
14	Post-School Outcomes				
	Correction of Noncompliance		Data and Submission of Reports		
Timely	y Correction of Noncompliance	Time	ly and Accurate		
	Fiscal		Complaints and Due Process Hearings		
Fiscal	Accountability	Numl	per of State complaints filed		
		Numl	per of State complaints with violations		
		Number of due process hearings filed			
		Numl	per of due process hearings with violations		

The MSDE supports the efforts and work of each LLA and LSS to improve performance and identify and correct noncompliance with federal and State requirements. Programmatic technical assistance and monitoring may include, but is not limited to teleconference calls, targeted technical assistance, professional learning opportunities, targeted funds for improvement, and onsite visits. In certain circumstances, enforcement options may be necessary for sustained poor performance and/or ongoing noncompliance.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a), if Maryland determines, for two consecutive years, a public agency needs assistance under §300.603(b)(1)(ii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Advise the public agency of available sources of technical assistance that may help to address the identified areas in which the public agency needs assistance;
- (2) Direct the use of federal funds, in accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a)(2), on the area or areas in which the public agency needs assistance; and/or
- (3) Identify the public agency as a high-risk grantee and impose special conditions on the public agency application for federal funds under the IDEA.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(b), if Maryland determines, for three or more consecutive years, that a public agency needs intervention under §300.603(b)(1)(iii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must implement enforcement actions. The MSDE may:

(1) Take any of the actions described in 34 C.F.R. §300.604(a);

- (2) Take one or more of the following actions:
- (i) Requires the public agency to prepare a corrective action plan or improvement plan if the MSDE determines that the public agency should be able to correct the problem within one year.
- (ii) Require the public agency to enter into a compliance agreement under section 457 of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended, 20 U.S.C. §1221 et seq. (GEPA), if the MSDE has reason to believe that the public agency cannot correct the problem within one year.
- (iii) For each year of the determination, withholds not less than 20 percent and not more than 50 percent of the State's funds under Section 611(e) of the IDEA, until the MSDE determines the public agency has sufficiently addressed the areas in which the public agency needs intervention.
- (iv) Seeks to recover funds under Section 452 of the GEPA.
- (v) Withholds, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under Part B of the IDEA.

In addition to the enforcement actions described above, if the MSDE determines a LLA or LSS needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of the IDEA or that there is a substantial failure to comply with any condition of a public agency's eligibility under the IDEA, in accordance with 34 C.F.R. §300.604(c), the MSDE may take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Recover Funds under section 452 of the GEPA; or
- (2) Withhold, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under the IDEA.

Prior to withholding any funds under the IDEA, the MSDE provides reasonable notice and an opportunity for a hearing to the public agency involved, pursuant to the procedures in in 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.180 through 300.183.

Framework for Assistance and Intervention

Needs Assistance

The process for the provision of assistance is dependent upon the degree of need within each LSS or LLA. The types of assistance must be individualized to address the root causes of the problem(s) for each indicator(s) in order to meet the specific identified needs. The MSDE will identify:

- What needs to change;
- How frequently and in what manner the local school system reports on progress; and
- The MSDE contact for support, information, documentation, and follow-up.

In collaboration with the MSDE, LSSs/LLAs will identify the type, amount, and frequency of assistance needed to address the specific needs. A wide variety of assistance is available. The MSDE is available to consult with LSSs/LLAs by telephone, fax, email, mail, or in-person. To enable a LSS to improve performance and meet compliance available assistance may include, but is not limited to the MSDE assisting a LSS/LLA to:

- Clarify/examine/develop/revise policies and procedures;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system administration and system of general supervision;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system of self-monitoring;
- Provide training/professional development;
- Access the advice of experts to address the area(s) of need, including explicit plans for addressing the area for concern within a specified period of time;

- Identify and implement professional development, instructional strategies, and methods of instruction that are based on scientifically based research;
- Designate and use distinguished superintendents, principals, special education administrators, special education teachers, and other teachers to provide advice, assistance, and support;
- Devise additional approaches to providing assistance, such as collaborating with institutions of higher education, educational service agencies, national centers of technical assistance supported under IDEA, and private providers of scientifically based technical assistance;
- Provide access to additional tools and resources;
- Share sample forms, procedures, processes;
- Provide information on where additional information, resources, support, and other organizations for support may be located;
- Examine/revise data collection;
- Improve collaboration/coordination with internal and external colleagues;
- Provide local director networking opportunities;
- Develop specialized programs/services;
- Examine options for increasing/reallocating personnel
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise fiscal management procedures and documentation
- Use evaluative measures to validate progress and correction of previous noncompliance
- Provide access to discretionary funds.

Needs Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Intervention" indicates a need for assistance in either performance and/or compliance areas within the LSS/LLA General Supervision process. Using the National Center for Special Education Accountability Monitoring (NCSEAM) "Developing and Implementing an Effective System of General Supervision: Part B," MSDE will direct the LSSILLA to examine, clarify, and develop an effective system of general supervision to address results and compliance. This may require more frequent MSDE oversight and direct onsite follow-up.

In collaboration with the LSSILLA, the MSDE may determine a local school system needs additional assistance beyond that listed above in the determination category of "Needs Assistance." Additional supports and required actions may include but are not limited to:

- Mandatory review of the local school system's system of general supervision to include:
 - o Local Self-Assessment of Performance and Compliance:
 - o Policies, procedures, and effective practices, including the local school system's required documentation of implementation;
 - o Integrated Monitoring Activities;
 - o Fiscal Management;
 - o Data Processes and Results;
 - o Improvement, Correction, Incentives, and Sanctions;
 - o Effective Dispute Resolution; and
 - o Directed Targeted Assistance and Professional Development.
- Identification and prioritization of corrective actions based upon the analysis of their system of general supervision, needs and resources;
- Development and implementation of required Corrective Action Plans with required timelines for submission, provision of evidence and data to demonstrate progress and correction as soon as possible but in no case later than one year;
- MSDE prescribing the use of discretionary funds;

- Identification of a local school system as a high risk grantee if a local school system is in the category of Needs Intervention for 2 years;
- Required quarterly reporting on status of correction of noncompliance

Needs Substantial Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Substantial Intervention" indicates a need for significant assistance and support in multiple results and compliance indicators. In addition to the available assistance listed above, to address "Needs Intervention," additional supports and required actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Reduce or eliminate the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Passthrough funds;
- Withhold or reduce Part B Passthrough funds pending completion of the corrective actions;
- Withhold or reduce State funds for special education services pending the completion of corrective actions;
- Assign MSDE staff on-site to assist in the completion of the corrective actions; and
- Assign a monitor to oversee the local school system's special education programs, with the responsibility for the costs of monitoring to be determined by the Department.

Prior to reducing or withholding funds, ail requirements regarding proper notice by MSDE to the local school system shall be met, consistent with 20 U.S.C. §1413(c) and (d).

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators									
	SPP/APR Inc	dicators		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results		
Re	sults Indicator Co		State	Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY		
			Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014		
1	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular diploma - 4 year cohort (ESSA lag data based on 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01		≥ 65.91%	77.27%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	64.57%	82.35%		
2	Students with IEPs dro leaver rate based on lag COMAR 13A.08.01.07	,	≤ 3.51%	≤ 3.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	≤ 3.00%	3.37%		
	State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA	AMO for Reading	NA	NA	NA	Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.	NA	NA		
3A	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	AMO for Math	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA		
3B	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA	Math	≥ 95.00%	99.29%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	= 99.69%	NA		
38	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	Reading	≥ 95.00%	98.61%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	799.09%	NA		

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Kent County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

				FFY	2016 (SFY 2	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Inc	dicator	e		FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	State Assessment: Proficiency rate for	3	Mathematics	16.50%	15.79%	Not Met	Target met in math for 4 th grade.	30.00%	NA
	children with IEPs against grade level, modified and	3	Reading	18.60%	5.26%	Not Met	Target not met in reading for 4 th grade, and not met in reading and math for the 3 rd grade, for 5 th grade through the 8th grade, and for high school.	20.00%	NA
	alternate academic achievement	4	Mathematics	16.15%	19.05%	Met	Submit an Improvement Plan within 30 days from the date of this letter. Identify the root causes and	3.85%	NA
	standards	4	Reading	21.10%	14.29%	Not Met	evidence based practices targeted to improve student results.	0.00%	NA
		5	Mathematics	18.85%	0.00%	Not Met		5.26%	NA
		3	Reading	23.60%	4.17%	Not Met		0.00%	NA
3C		6	Mathematics	20.55%	0.00%	Not Met		11.11%	NA
			Reading	26.10%	0.00%	Not Met		5.56%	NA
		7	Mathematics	22.25%	5.26%	Not Met		0.00%	NA
		,	Reading	28.60%	5.26%	Not Met		0.00%	NA
		8	Mathematics	23.95%	0.00%	Not Met		0.00%	NA
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	0	Reading	31.10%	0.00%	Not Met		0.00%	NA
	COMAR 13A.03.06.05	HS	Mathematics	56.08%	23.81%	Not Met		47.06%	NA
	1371.03.00.03	110	Reading	50.52%	5.00%	Not Met		33.33%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Kent County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016			Previou	s Results
Re	sults Indicator Compliance Indicator	State	Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	*	*
421	Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	*	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	*	*

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Kent County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators									
	CDD /ADD Indica	.tour			Previous	s Results				
Re	SPP/APR Indica sults Indicator Com		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014		
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≥ 80% of the day (MD SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≥ 69.90%	75.22%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	78.83%	77.52%		
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≤ 40% of the day (MD SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≤ 11.76%	13.27%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	11.26%	10.09%		
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is separate public/private day and residential facilities and home and hospital facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16		≤ 5.94%	3.98%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	4.05%	4.13%		
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in regular early childhood setting the majority of the day COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≥ 64.70%	80.77%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	79.19%	85.29%		
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≤ 18.30%	7.69%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	8.33%	5.88%		
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥68.90%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	66.67%		
	skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥68.00%	83.33%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	66.67%	80.00%		

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Kent County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators									
	SPP/APR Indica	ators		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results		
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014		
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥67.60%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	77.78%		
/B	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥57.20%	83.33%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	66.67%	50.00%		
-0	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥63.10%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	71.43%		
7C	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥65.70%	83.33%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	66.67%	60.00%		
	Parent Survey	Age 3-5	≥83.00%	Small cell size	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	Less than 10 Students	Less than 10 Students		
8	10.0.0 5 0.0 10 9	Age 6-21	≥70.00%	74.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	55.00%	60.00%		
	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they	A. Higher ED w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥25.00%	Small cell size	NA	No further action required.	28.57%	11.76%		
14	left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07	B. Higher ED or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥51.00%	Small cell size	NA	No further action required.	61.90%	76.47%		
	COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	C. Higher ED or training program or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥57.00%	Small cell size	NA	No further action required.	61.90%	82.35%		

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Kent County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators										
	SPP/APR Indicato		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	s Results				
Results	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014			
	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	*	*_			
	expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Asian	< 2.00	0.00	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	*	*			
	Discrepancy exists in the	Black/African American	< 2.00	0.00	Met	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	*	*			
4B	category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is ≤ 30	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	*	Met	calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine	*	*			
(Ages 6-21)	* No suspension	White	< 2.00	0.00	Met	the factors that contribute to suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity.	*	*			
	of disabled or nondisabled students	2 or more races	< 2.00	0.00	Met	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities	*	*			
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.00	Met	ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	*	*			

Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Kent County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY:	2016 (SFY 20)17) Part B I	ndicators		,
SPP/APR Indicators				FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	s Results
Results	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	1.83	Met	Disproportionality in the identification of Black/African American students was identified. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018.	0.00	0.00
	ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of	Asian	< 2.00	0.55	Met	Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	0.74	0.00
	inappropriate identification	Black/African American	< 2.00	2.00	Not Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR	2.28	2.52*
	Discrepancy exists in the category	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.00	Met	regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its	0.00	0.00
9 (Ages	N size is ≥ 30 * N size is ≤ 30	White	< 2.00	0.74	Met	policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity.	0.73	0.63
6-21)		2 or more races	< 2.00	0.38	Met	Targeted review to be scheduled by MSDE, DSE/EIS.	0.40	0.15
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.66	Met	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.41	0.79

 ¹ Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Kent County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 ¹ (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators												
	SPP/APR Indicators Compliance Indicator		Intellectual Disability	Specific Learning Disability	Emotional Disability	Speech or language Impairment	Autism	Other Health Impairment	Action Required				
	FFY 2016 results: Disproportionate representation	American Indian/Alaska Native	0.00	4.00%*	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Disproportionality for Black/African American students with a Specific Learning Disability was identified. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes				
	(≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race	Asian	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.				
	as a result of inappropriate identification	Black/African American	2.22*	2.59	1.35	0.91	0.70	2.29*	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the				
1	Discrepancy exists in the	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to				
1	category N size is ≥ 30	White	0.09	0.63	1.72	3.32*	3.23*	0.89	determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity <u>and</u> disability.				
	* N size is < 30	2 or more races	0.00	0.14	1.48	0.00	1.42	0.81	Targeted review to be scheduled by MSDE, DSE/EIS. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding				
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	3.59*	0.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.33	the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to the identification of SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity and disability. To be decided FFY 2019.				

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Kent County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators									
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	s Results			
Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State	Local	Target		FFY	FFY			
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days. COMAR 13A.05.01.06	Target	97.87%	Met Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results. Correction of the noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by April 6, 2019. Subsequent data demonstrated that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2015 was not corrected within one year of the written finding.	100%	97.67%			
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100%	Small Cell Size	NA	No further action required.	100%	100%			
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%			

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Kent County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	Other Data Considerations											
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	Results					
Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Local Target Target Results ¹ Met		Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014					
	Timely correction of noncompliance (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%					
	State reported data are timely and accurate. (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%					
	Number of State complaints filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA					
	Number of State complaints identified with violation(s)	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA					
	Number of State findings from State complaints corrected in a timely manner	NA	0	NA	TBD FFY 2017							
	Number of due process hearings filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA					
	Number of due process hearings identified with violations	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA					
	Fiscal Accountability: Number of findings identified	NA	1	NA	Target met. No further action required. The one finding of noncompliance identified November 7, 2017 was corrected and verified within one year from the issuance of the written finding.	NA	NA					
	National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA					

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Kent County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services



Congatulations 200 West Baltimore Street • Baltimore, MD 21201 • 410-767-0100 • 410-333-6442 NY/TDD • marylandpublicschools.org

March 8, 2018

Dr. Jack R. Smith Superintendent Montgomery County Public Schools 850 Hungerford Drive Rockville, MD 20850

Dear Dr. Smith:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]¹. The MSDE, DSE/EIS uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each local school system in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2016 Part B determinations, the Montgomery County Public Schools has achieved the determination status of "Meets Requirements."

Attached please find supporting documentation:

- 1. FFY 2016 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a FFY 2017 local determination status on page two – please note the addition of fiscal accountability. State complaints/due process hearings, and preschool least restrictive environment data);
- 2. FFY 2016 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a six-year graduated results driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year); and
- 3. FFY 2016 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21).

The MSDE, DSE/EIS is committed to maintaining a balanced focus on results and compliance to narrow the school readiness and achievement gaps. Please note in FFY 2019 the determination status for each local school system will reflect the July 1, 2018 proposed revision to Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) regarding the identification of significant disproportionality. Maryland's proposed revised definition of significant disproportionality, as required by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), includes the three to five years of age population. Beginning in 2016, the DSE/EIS has provided guidance, technical assistance, and professional learning opportunities to support local school systems in the implementation of revised data collection processes and review of local policies, procedures, and practices. Please note that FFY 2016-17 and FFY 2017-18 data capturing suspension/expulsion (in and out of school), identification by race/ethnicity, and identification by race/ethnicity and disability will be used for the FFY 2019 local determination status.

¹ USDE, OSEP uses state National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) participation and performance data for students with disabilities to assign Maryland's special education determination status (see attached "NAEP"). DSE/EIS will be analyzing local testing protocols and decisions for the NAEP assessment.

Dr. Jack R. Smith March 8, 2018 Page Two

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the DSE/EIS five-year strategic plan provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon a comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), the Montgomery County Public Schools has been assigned the "Universal" tier for the delivery of the DSE/EIS technical assistance model for results.

Local school systems are strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decisionmaking, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DSE/EIS will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to equity and efficiency for excellence. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of the Montgomery County Public Schools to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Marcella E. Francykowski Marcella E. Franczkowski, M.S. Assistant State Superintendent Division of Special Education/ Early Intervention Services

MEF/AM/cc

Attachments

Karen B. Salmon C: Carol A. Williamson Phillip Lynch Kevin Lowndes Julie Hall

Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 Determination Overview Part C & Part B July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is focused on state accountability for Part C and Part B Indicators defined in the Office of Special Education's (OSEP) State Performance Plan. Annually, the OSEP evaluates each state's performance on these Indicators, and, as a result, assigns each state to one of four levels of determination: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) anticipates receiving its current federal determination status for Part C and Part B in June 2018.

To receive a State determination, the IDEA requires states to evaluate each Local Lead Agency (LLA) for the IDEA Part C early intervention services, and each Local School System (LSS) for the IDEA Part B special education performance on the established Indicators. As a result of that evaluation, the state is to assign each LLA and LSS to one of four levels of determination status: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." In making determinations, the OSEP requires states to consider:

- Performance on Results and Compliance Indicators;
- Whether data submitted by public agencies are valid, reliable (accurate), and timely;
- Uncorrected noncompliance from other sources;
- Any audit findings; and
- Other information.

The MSDE, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS), uses a results-based rubric on identified indicators to rate the performance for each LLA and LSS in meeting the State's targets as defined by the State Performance Plan for Part C and Part B. The status and results for each LLA and LSS will be posted, as required, on the MSDE Public Website of State Performance Plan Results at http://mdideareport.org no later than June 1, 2018. For the FFY 2016 determinations, the MSDE, DSE/EIS has included additional indicators highlighted below in blue.

The following **Part C** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

Results	Compliance
2. Primary Service Setting	1. Timely Services
3A. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Emotional Skills	7. 45 Day Timeline
3B. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Knowledge and Skills	8A. Transition - Transition Outcomes
3C. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Use of appropriate behaviors	8B. Transition - Notification to LSS
4A. Family Survey-Know their rights	8C. Transition - Timely Planning Meeting
4B. Family Survey-Effectively communicate their	
children's needs	
4C. Family Survey-Help their children develop and learn	
5. Child Find 0-1	
6. Child Find 0-3	
Correction of Noncompliance	Data and Submission of Reports
Timely Correction of Noncompliance	Timely and Accurate

Fiscal	Complaints and Due Process Hearing	
Fiscal Accountability	Number of State complaints filed	
	Number of State complaints with violations	
	Number of due process hearings filed	
	Number of due process hearings with violations	

The following **Part B** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

Results			Compliance	
1.	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular	4B.	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant	
	diploma		Discrepancy Disaggregated by	
2.	Students with IEPs dropping out		Race/Ethnicity	
5A	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	9.	Disproportionate Representation	
	class 80% or more of the day;		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity	
5B	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	10.	Disproportionate Representation	
	class less than 40% of the day; and		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity and	
5C	LRE for Students Ages 6-21- In separate		Disability	
	schools, residential facilities, or housebound/	11.	Initial Evaluation Timeline	
See S. C.	hospital placements.	12.	Part C to B Transition	
4A	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant	13.	Secondary Transition	
	Discrepancy in Single or Multiple			
	Suspensions/Expulsions > than 10 days.			
8A	Preschool Parent Survey; or			
8B	School Age Parent Survey.			
14	Post-School Outcomes			
	Correction of Noncompliance		Data and Submission of Reports	
Timely Correction of Noncompliance		Time	Timely and Accurate	
Fiscal			Complaints and Due Process Hearings	
Fiscal	Fiscal Accountability		Number of State complaints filed	
		Number of State complaints with violations		
		Number of due process hearings filed		
		Number of due process hearings with violations		

The MSDE supports the efforts and work of each LLA and LSS to improve performance and identify and correct noncompliance with federal and State requirements. Programmatic technical assistance and monitoring may include, but is not limited to teleconference calls, targeted technical assistance, professional learning opportunities, targeted funds for improvement, and onsite visits. In certain circumstances, enforcement options may be necessary for sustained poor performance and/or ongoing noncompliance.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a), if Maryland determines, for two consecutive years, a public agency needs assistance under §300.603(b)(1)(ii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Advise the public agency of available sources of technical assistance that may help to address the identified areas in which the public agency needs assistance;
- (2) Direct the use of federal funds, in accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a)(2), on the area or areas in which the public agency needs assistance; and/or
- (3) Identify the public agency as a high-risk grantee and impose special conditions on the public agency application for federal funds under the IDEA.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(b), if Maryland determines, for three or more consecutive years, that a public agency needs intervention under §300.603(b)(1)(iii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must implement enforcement actions. The MSDE may:

(1) Take any of the actions described in 34 C.F.R. §300.604(a);

- (2) Take one or more of the following actions:
- (i) Requires the public agency to prepare a corrective action plan or improvement plan if the MSDE determines that the public agency should be able to correct the problem within one year.
- (ii) Require the public agency to enter into a compliance agreement under section 457 of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended, 20 U.S.C. §1221 et seq. (GEPA), if the MSDE has reason to believe that the public agency cannot correct the problem within one year.
- (iii) For each year of the determination, withholds not less than 20 percent and not more than 50 percent of the State's funds under Section 611(e) of the IDEA, until the MSDE determines the public agency has sufficiently addressed the areas in which the public agency needs intervention.
- (iv) Seeks to recover funds under Section 452 of the GEPA.
- (v) Withholds, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under Part B of the IDEA.

In addition to the enforcement actions described above, if the MSDE determines a LLA or LSS needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of the IDEA or that there is a substantial failure to comply with any condition of a public agency's eligibility under the IDEA, in accordance with 34 C.F.R. §300.604(c), the MSDE may take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Recover Funds under section 452 of the GEPA; or
- (2) Withhold, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under the IDEA.

Prior to withholding any funds under the IDEA, the MSDE provides reasonable notice and an opportunity for a hearing to the public agency involved, pursuant to the procedures in in 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.180 through 300.183.

Framework for Assistance and Intervention

Needs Assistance

The process for the provision of assistance is dependent upon the degree of need within each LSS or LLA. The types of assistance must be individualized to address the root causes of the problem(s) for each indicator(s) in order to meet the specific identified needs. The MSDE will identify:

- What needs to change;
- How frequently and in what manner the local school system reports on progress; and
- The MSDE contact for support, information, documentation, and follow-up.

In collaboration with the MSDE, LSSs/LLAs will identify the type, amount, and frequency of assistance needed to address the specific needs. A wide variety of assistance is available. The MSDE is available to consult with LSSs/LLAs by telephone, fax, email, mail, or in-person. To enable a LSS to improve performance and meet compliance available assistance may include, but is not limited to the MSDE assisting a LSS/LLA to:

- Clarify/examine/develop/revise policies and procedures;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system administration and system of general supervision;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system of self-monitoring;
- Provide training/professional development;
- Access the advice of experts to address the area(s) of need, including explicit plans for addressing the area for concern within a specified period of time;

- Identify and implement professional development, instructional strategies, and methods of instruction that are based on scientifically based research;
- Designate and use distinguished superintendents, principals, special education administrators, special education teachers, and other teachers to provide advice, assistance, and support;
- Devise additional approaches to providing assistance, such as collaborating with institutions of higher education, educational service agencies, national centers of technical assistance supported under IDEA, and private providers of scientifically based technical assistance;
- Provide access to additional tools and resources;
- Share sample forms, procedures, processes;
- Provide information on where additional information, resources, support, and other organizations for support may be located;
- Examine/revise data collection;
- Improve collaboration/coordination with internal and external colleagues;
- Provide local director networking opportunities;
- Develop specialized programs/services;
- Examine options for increasing/reallocating personnel
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise fiscal management procedures and documentation
- Use evaluative measures to validate progress and correction of previous noncompliance
- Provide access to discretionary funds.

Needs Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Intervention" indicates a need for assistance in either performance and/or compliance areas within the LSS/LLA General Supervision process. Using the National Center for Special Education Accountability Monitoring (NCSEAM) "Developing and Implementing an Effective System of General Supervision: Part B," MSDE will direct the LSSILLA to examine, clarify, and develop an effective system of general supervision to address results and compliance. This may require more frequent MSDE oversight and direct onsite follow-up.

In collaboration with the LSSILLA, the MSDE may determine a local school system needs additional assistance beyond that listed above in the determination category of "Needs Assistance." Additional supports and required actions may include but are not limited to:

- Mandatory review of the local school system's system of general supervision to include:
 - o Local Self-Assessment of Performance and Compliance:
 - o Policies, procedures, and effective practices, including the local school system's required documentation of implementation;
 - o Integrated Monitoring Activities;
 - o Fiscal Management;
 - o Data Processes and Results;
 - o Improvement, Correction, Incentives, and Sanctions;
 - o Effective Dispute Resolution; and
 - o Directed Targeted Assistance and Professional Development.
- Identification and prioritization of corrective actions based upon the analysis of their system of general supervision, needs and resources;
- Development and implementation of required Corrective Action Plans with required timelines for submission, provision of evidence and data to demonstrate progress and correction as soon as possible but in no case later than one year;
- MSDE prescribing the use of discretionary funds;

- Identification of a local school system as a high risk grantee if a local school system is in the category of Needs Intervention for 2 years;
- Required quarterly reporting on status of correction of noncompliance

Needs Substantial Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Substantial Intervention" indicates a need for significant assistance and support in multiple results and compliance indicators. In addition to the available assistance listed above, to address "Needs Intervention," additional supports and required actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Reduce or eliminate the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Passthrough funds;
- Withhold or reduce Part B Passthrough funds pending completion of the corrective actions;
- Withhold or reduce State funds for special education services pending the completion of corrective actions;
- Assign MSDE staff on-site to assist in the completion of the corrective actions; and
- Assign a monitor to oversee the local school system's special education programs, with the responsibility for the costs of monitoring to be determined by the Department.

Prior to reducing or withholding funds, ail requirements regarding proper notice by MSDE to the local school system shall be met, consistent with 20 U.S.C. §1413(c) and (d).

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Inc	licators		FFY 2016 ¹			Previou	s Results
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
1	Students with IEPs gra diploma - 4 year cohor 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01	t (ESSA lag data based on	≥ 65.91%	72.03%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	66.50%	70.35%
2	Students with IEPs dro leaver rate based on lag COMAR 13A.08.01.07	,	≤ 3.51%	≤ 3.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	3.60%	≤ 3.00%
	State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA	AMO for Reading	NA	NA	NA	Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.	NA	NA
3A	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	AMO for Math	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA
2 D	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA	Math	≥ 95.00%	98.77%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	08 800/	NA
3B	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	Reading	≥ 95.00%	98.40%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	98.89%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Montgomery County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

				FFY	2016 (SFY 2	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR In	dicator	e		FFY 2016 ¹			Previous	Results
Re	_		nce Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	State Assessment: Proficiency rate for	3	Mathematics	16.50%	22.62%	Met	Target met in math for 3rd and 4th grade.	19.91%	NA
	children with IEPs against grade level, modified and	3	Reading	18.60%	15.38%	Not Met	Target not met in reading for 3rd and 4th grade. Target not met in reading and math for the 5th grade through the 8th grade and high school.	13.42%	NA
	alternate academic achievement	4	Mathematics	16.15%	16.52%	Met	Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based	16.20%	NA
	standards	4	Reading	21.10%	13.47%	Not Met	practices targeted to improve student results.	14.37%	NA
		5	Mathematics	18.85%	14.36%	Not Met		14.06%	NA
		3	Reading	23.60%	12.98%	Not Met		14.91%	NA
3C		6	Mathematics	20.55%	13.67%	Not Met		12.39%	NA
		0	Reading	26.10%	13.20%	Not Met		9.75%	NA
		7	Mathematics	22.25%	12.32%	Not Met		13.97%	NA
		,	Reading	28.60%	13.94%	Not Met		11.39%	NA
		8	Mathematics	23.95%	16.37%	Not Met		14.66%	NA
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	0	Reading	31.10%	12.30%	Not Met		10.80%	NA
	COMAR 13A.03.06.05	HS	Mathematics	56.08%	42.35%	Not Met		58.86%	NA
	1371.03.00.03	113	Reading	50.52%	16.94%	Not Met		51.80%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Montgomery County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	CDD / ADD In disease		FFY 2016 ¹			Previou	s Results
Re	SPP/APR Indicators sults Indicator Compliance Indicator	State	Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY
		Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	3.17	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	2.59	2.78
	Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	2.01	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	2.37	2.10

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Montgomery County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	016 (SFY 20)17) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indica	store		FFY 2016 ¹			Previous	Results
Re	sults Indicator Com		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose day (MD SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	LRE is $\geq 80\%$ of the	≥ 69.90%	67.01%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	66.31%	66.85%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose day (MD SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	LRE is $\leq 40\%$ of the	≤ 11.76%	13.78%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	13.38%	12.85%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose public/private day and resi home and hospital facilitie COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16	dential facilities and	≤ 5.94%	5.82%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	5.99%	5.93%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Se childhood setting the majo COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≥ 64.70%	43.10%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	43.76%	42.58%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Se COMAR 13A.05.01.10	eparate School or Class	≤ 18.30%	36.24%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	35.78%	35.12%
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥68.90%	71.09%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	75.75%	66.51%
7A	skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥68.00%	62.26%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	68.02%	60.50%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Montgomery County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B I	ndicators		
	CDD/ADD In dies			FFY 2016 ¹			Previous	s Results
Re	SPP/APR Indicator Com	pliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥67.60%	69.55%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	73.66%	69.77%
7B	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥57.20%	52.89%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	53.77%	52.42%
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥63.10%	76.36%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	74.46%	67.81%
7C	meet their needs. COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥65.70%	67.78%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	69.13%	64.32%
8	Parent Survey	Age 3-5	≥83.00%	85.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	50.00%	47.00%
0		Age 6-21	≥70.00%	72.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	41.00%	44.00%
	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they	A. Higher ED w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥25.00%	42.32%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	38.22%	36.41%
14	left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07	B. Higher ED or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥51.00%	74.02%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	66.67%	57.66%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	C. Higher ED or training program or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥57.00%	77.86%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	71.63%	63.51%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Montgomery County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY	2016 (SFY 20)17) Part B	Indicators		
_	SPP/APR Indicato		FFY 2016 ¹			Action Required	Previous Results	
Results	Indicator Comp	liance Indicator	State Local Target Target Results¹ Met				FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of Black/African American SWD was identified.	0.00	0.00
	expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Asian	< 2.00	1.37	Met	Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based	0.73	0.78
	Discrepancy exists in the	Black/African American	< 2.00	5.91	Not Met	practices targeted to improve student results. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the	6.44	5.87*
	category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is ≤ 30	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.00	Met	definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current	0.00	0.00
4B	* No suspension	White	< 2.00	0.48	Met	methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its	0.37	0.74
(Ages 6-21)	of disabled or nondisabled students	2 or more races	< 2.00	5.73*	NA	policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity.	4.36*	0.00
		Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	1.41	Met	Targeted review to be scheduled by MSDE DSE/EIS.	1.50	1.75
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21					PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.		

Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Montgomery County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		FFY :	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B	ndicators		
_			FFY 2016 ¹		Action Required	Previous Results	
Indicator Comp	oliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	1.23	Met	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	1.31	0.43
education of racial groups as a result of	Asian	< 2.00	0.44	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	0.44	1.27
identification	Black/African American	< 2.00	1.23	Met	regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	1.23	0.74
exists in the category	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.92	Met	this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine	0.88	0.84
N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30	White	< 2.00	0.90	Met	identification of SWD by race/ethnicity.	0.90	0.81
	2 or more races	< 2.00	0.80	Met	COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities	0.78	1.20
COMAR	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	1.11	Met	should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity.	1.13	1.14
	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * N size is < 30 * N size is < 30 * Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander White 2 or more races Hispanic/Latino	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * Nicompliance Indicator State Target * American Indian/Alaska Native Asian < 2.00 Black/African American	SPP/APR Indicators Indicator	Indicator Compliance Indicator State Target Results¹ Met State Target Results¹ Met State Target Results¹ Met State Target Results¹ Met Met State Target Results¹ Met Met State Target Results¹ Met	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is ≤ 30 * N size is ≤ 30 COMAR * N size is ≤ 30 Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required. * N size is ≤ 30 * N size is ≤ 30 * N size is ≤ 30 COMAR * N size is ≤ 30 * N size is ≤ 30 * N size is ≤ 30 * O Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required. * N size is ≤ 30 * N size is ≤	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) is students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≤ 30 COMAR Hispanic/Latino COMAR Complex COMAR Complex Comark C

Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Montgomery County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 ¹ (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators								
	SPP/APR Ind Compliance India		Intellectual Disability	Specific Learning Disability	Emotional Disability	Speech or Ianguage Impairment	Autism	Other Health Impairment	Required Action
	FFY 2016 results: Disproportionate representation	American Indian/Alaska Native	1.64	1.19	0.00	1.19	0.90	1.60	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race, ethnicity, and disability was not identified. No further action required.
	(≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race	Asian	0.60	0.25	0.19	0.76	0.74	0.29	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018.
	as a result of inappropriate identification	Black/African American	1.91	1.21	1.48	0.87	1.06	1.33	The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS
1	Discrepancy exists in the	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	0.39	0.37	0.00	2.38*	1.80	0.00	should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity and disability.
	category N size is ≥ 30	White	0.50	0.71	1.06	1.07	1.32	1.06	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students
	* N size is < 30	2 or more races	0.69	0.66	1.15	0.84	0.91	0.89	with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to the identification of
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	1.19	1.76	0.57	1.22	0.54	0.73	SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity <u>and</u> disability. To be decided FFY 2019.

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Montgomery County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Montgomery County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

I			FFY 20	016 (SFY 201	L7) Part B lı	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016			Action Required	Previous	Results
	Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days. COMAR 13A.05.01.06	100%	99.14%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results. Correction of the noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by April 6, 2019.	99.03%	98.17%
	12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	99.79%
	13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Montgomery County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Montgomery County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

		Ot	ther Data (Considera	ations		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016 ¹		Action Required	Previous	Results
Results	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State Local Target Target Results Met			FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Timely correction of noncompliance (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
	State reported data are timely and accurate. (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
	Number of State complaints filed	NA	16	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of State complaints identified with violation(s)	NA	6	NA	Correction of the identified noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by August 2018.	NA	NA
	Number of State findings from State complaints corrected in a timely manner	NA	NA	NA	TBD FFY 2017.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings filed	NA	5	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings identified with violations	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Fiscal Accountability: Number of findings identified	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	National Assessment of Educational Progress.(NAEP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Montgomery County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services



200 West Baltimore Street • Baltimore, MD 21201 • 410-767-0100 • 410-333-6442 TTY/TDD • marylandpublicschools.org

March 8, 2018

Dr. Kevin Maxwell Chief Executive Officer Prince George's County Public Schools 14201 School Lane Upper Marlboro, MD 20772

Dear Dr. Maxwell:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]¹. The MSDE, DSE/EIS uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each local school system in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2016 Part B determinations, the Prince George's County Public Schools has achieved the determination status of "Needs Intervention – Year 4."

Attached please find supporting documentation:

- 1. FFY 2016 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a FFY 2017 local determination status on page two please note the addition of fiscal accountability, State complaints/due process hearings, and preschool least restrictive environment data);
- 2. FFY 2016 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a six-year graduated results driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year); and
- 3. FFY 2016 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21).

The MSDE, DSE/EIS is committed to maintaining a balanced focus on results and compliance to narrow the school readiness and achievement gaps. Please note in FFY 2019 the determination status for each local school system will reflect the July 1, 2018 proposed revision to Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) regarding the identification of significant disproportionality. Maryland's proposed revised definition of significant disproportionality, as required by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), includes the three to five years of age population. Beginning in 2016, the DSE/EIS has provided guidance, technical assistance, and professional learning opportunities to support local school systems in the implementation of revised data collection processes and review of local policies, procedures, and practices. Please note that FFY 2016-17 and FFY 2017-18 data capturing suspension/expulsion (in and out of school), identification by race/ethnicity, and identification by race/ethnicity and disability will be used for the FFY 2019 local determination status.

¹ USDE, OSEP uses state National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) participation and performance data for students with disabilities to assign Maryland's special education determination status (see attached "NAEP"). DSE/EIS will be analyzing local testing protocols and decisions for the NAEP assessment.

Dr. Kevin Maxwell March 8, 2018 Page Two

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the DSE/EIS five-year strategic plan provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon a comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), the Prince George's County Public Schools has been assigned the "Focused" tier for the delivery of the DSE/EIS technical assistance model for results.

Local school systems are strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DSE/EIS will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to equity and efficiency for excellence. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of the Prince George's County Public Schools to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Marcella E. Franczkowski

Marcella E. Franczkowski, M.S. Assistant State Superintendent Division of Special Education/ Early Intervention Services

MEF/AM/cc

Attachments

c: Karen B. Salmon Carol A. Williamson Gwendolyn Mason Trinell Bowman

Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 Determination Overview Part C & Part B July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is focused on state accountability for Part C and Part B Indicators defined in the Office of Special Education's (OSEP) State Performance Plan. Annually, the OSEP evaluates each state's performance on these Indicators, and, as a result, assigns each state to one of four levels of determination: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) anticipates receiving its current federal determination status for Part C and Part B in June 2018.

To receive a State determination, the IDEA requires states to evaluate each Local Lead Agency (LLA) for the IDEA Part C early intervention services, and each Local School System (LSS) for the IDEA Part B special education performance on the established Indicators. As a result of that evaluation, the state is to assign each LLA and LSS to one of four levels of determination status: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." In making determinations, the OSEP requires states to consider:

- Performance on Results and Compliance Indicators;
- Whether data submitted by public agencies are valid, reliable (accurate), and timely;
- Uncorrected noncompliance from other sources;
- Any audit findings; and
- Other information.

The MSDE, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS), uses a results-based rubric on identified indicators to rate the performance for each LLA and LSS in meeting the State's targets as defined by the State Performance Plan for Part C and Part B. The status and results for each LLA and LSS will be posted, as required, on the MSDE Public Website of State Performance Plan Results at http://mdideareport.org no later than June 1, 2018. For the FFY 2016 determinations, the MSDE, DSE/EIS has included additional indicators highlighted below in blue.

The following **Part C** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

Results	Compliance
2. Primary Service Setting	1. Timely Services
3A. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Emotional Skills	7. 45 Day Timeline
3B. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Knowledge and Skills	8A. Transition - Transition Outcomes
3C. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Use of appropriate behaviors	8B. Transition - Notification to LSS
4A. Family Survey-Know their rights	8C. Transition - Timely Planning Meeting
4B. Family Survey-Effectively communicate their	
children's needs	
4C. Family Survey-Help their children develop and learn	
5. Child Find 0-1	
6. Child Find 0-3	
Correction of Noncompliance	Data and Submission of Reports
Timely Correction of Noncompliance	Timely and Accurate

Fiscal	Complaints and Due Process Hearing
Fiscal Accountability	Number of State complaints filed
	Number of State complaints with violations
	Number of due process hearings filed
	Number of due process hearings with violations

The following **Part B** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

	Results		Compliance		
1.	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular	4B.	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant		
	diploma		Discrepancy Disaggregated by		
2.	Students with IEPs dropping out		Race/Ethnicity		
5A	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	9.	Disproportionate Representation		
	class 80% or more of the day;		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity		
5B	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	10.	Disproportionate Representation		
	class less than 40% of the day; and		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity and		
5C	LRE for Students Ages 6-21- In separate		Disability		
	schools, residential facilities, or housebound/	11.	Initial Evaluation Timeline		
Sept. C	hospital placements.	12.	Part C to B Transition		
4A	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant	13.	Secondary Transition		
	Discrepancy in Single or Multiple				
	Suspensions/Expulsions > than 10 days.				
8A	Preschool Parent Survey; or				
8B	School Age Parent Survey.				
14	Post-School Outcomes				
	Correction of Noncompliance		Data and Submission of Reports		
Timely	y Correction of Noncompliance	Time	ly and Accurate		
	Fiscal		Complaints and Due Process Hearings		
Fiscal	Accountability	Numl	per of State complaints filed		
		Number of State complaints with violations			
		Numl	per of due process hearings filed		
		Numl	per of due process hearings with violations		

The MSDE supports the efforts and work of each LLA and LSS to improve performance and identify and correct noncompliance with federal and State requirements. Programmatic technical assistance and monitoring may include, but is not limited to teleconference calls, targeted technical assistance, professional learning opportunities, targeted funds for improvement, and onsite visits. In certain circumstances, enforcement options may be necessary for sustained poor performance and/or ongoing noncompliance.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a), if Maryland determines, for two consecutive years, a public agency needs assistance under §300.603(b)(1)(ii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Advise the public agency of available sources of technical assistance that may help to address the identified areas in which the public agency needs assistance;
- (2) Direct the use of federal funds, in accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a)(2), on the area or areas in which the public agency needs assistance; and/or
- (3) Identify the public agency as a high-risk grantee and impose special conditions on the public agency application for federal funds under the IDEA.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(b), if Maryland determines, for three or more consecutive years, that a public agency needs intervention under §300.603(b)(1)(iii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must implement enforcement actions. The MSDE may:

(1) Take any of the actions described in 34 C.F.R. §300.604(a);

- (2) Take one or more of the following actions:
- (i) Requires the public agency to prepare a corrective action plan or improvement plan if the MSDE determines that the public agency should be able to correct the problem within one year.
- (ii) Require the public agency to enter into a compliance agreement under section 457 of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended, 20 U.S.C. §1221 et seq. (GEPA), if the MSDE has reason to believe that the public agency cannot correct the problem within one year.
- (iii) For each year of the determination, withholds not less than 20 percent and not more than 50 percent of the State's funds under Section 611(e) of the IDEA, until the MSDE determines the public agency has sufficiently addressed the areas in which the public agency needs intervention.
- (iv) Seeks to recover funds under Section 452 of the GEPA.
- (v) Withholds, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under Part B of the IDEA.

In addition to the enforcement actions described above, if the MSDE determines a LLA or LSS needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of the IDEA or that there is a substantial failure to comply with any condition of a public agency's eligibility under the IDEA, in accordance with 34 C.F.R. §300.604(c), the MSDE may take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Recover Funds under section 452 of the GEPA; or
- (2) Withhold, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under the IDEA.

Prior to withholding any funds under the IDEA, the MSDE provides reasonable notice and an opportunity for a hearing to the public agency involved, pursuant to the procedures in in 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.180 through 300.183.

Framework for Assistance and Intervention

Needs Assistance

The process for the provision of assistance is dependent upon the degree of need within each LSS or LLA. The types of assistance must be individualized to address the root causes of the problem(s) for each indicator(s) in order to meet the specific identified needs. The MSDE will identify:

- What needs to change;
- How frequently and in what manner the local school system reports on progress; and
- The MSDE contact for support, information, documentation, and follow-up.

In collaboration with the MSDE, LSSs/LLAs will identify the type, amount, and frequency of assistance needed to address the specific needs. A wide variety of assistance is available. The MSDE is available to consult with LSSs/LLAs by telephone, fax, email, mail, or in-person. To enable a LSS to improve performance and meet compliance available assistance may include, but is not limited to the MSDE assisting a LSS/LLA to:

- Clarify/examine/develop/revise policies and procedures;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system administration and system of general supervision;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system of self-monitoring;
- Provide training/professional development;
- Access the advice of experts to address the area(s) of need, including explicit plans for addressing the area for concern within a specified period of time;

- Identify and implement professional development, instructional strategies, and methods of instruction that are based on scientifically based research;
- Designate and use distinguished superintendents, principals, special education administrators, special education teachers, and other teachers to provide advice, assistance, and support;
- Devise additional approaches to providing assistance, such as collaborating with institutions of higher education, educational service agencies, national centers of technical assistance supported under IDEA, and private providers of scientifically based technical assistance;
- Provide access to additional tools and resources;
- Share sample forms, procedures, processes;
- Provide information on where additional information, resources, support, and other organizations for support may be located;
- Examine/revise data collection;
- Improve collaboration/coordination with internal and external colleagues;
- Provide local director networking opportunities;
- Develop specialized programs/services;
- Examine options for increasing/reallocating personnel
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise fiscal management procedures and documentation
- Use evaluative measures to validate progress and correction of previous noncompliance
- Provide access to discretionary funds.

Needs Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Intervention" indicates a need for assistance in either performance and/or compliance areas within the LSS/LLA General Supervision process. Using the National Center for Special Education Accountability Monitoring (NCSEAM) "Developing and Implementing an Effective System of General Supervision: Part B," MSDE will direct the LSSILLA to examine, clarify, and develop an effective system of general supervision to address results and compliance. This may require more frequent MSDE oversight and direct onsite follow-up.

In collaboration with the LSSILLA, the MSDE may determine a local school system needs additional assistance beyond that listed above in the determination category of "Needs Assistance." Additional supports and required actions may include but are not limited to:

- Mandatory review of the local school system's system of general supervision to include:
 - o Local Self-Assessment of Performance and Compliance:
 - o Policies, procedures, and effective practices, including the local school system's required documentation of implementation;
 - o Integrated Monitoring Activities;
 - o Fiscal Management;
 - o Data Processes and Results;
 - o Improvement, Correction, Incentives, and Sanctions;
 - o Effective Dispute Resolution; and
 - o Directed Targeted Assistance and Professional Development.
- Identification and prioritization of corrective actions based upon the analysis of their system of general supervision, needs and resources;
- Development and implementation of required Corrective Action Plans with required timelines for submission, provision of evidence and data to demonstrate progress and correction as soon as possible but in no case later than one year;
- MSDE prescribing the use of discretionary funds;

- Identification of a local school system as a high risk grantee if a local school system is in the category of Needs Intervention for 2 years;
- Required quarterly reporting on status of correction of noncompliance

Needs Substantial Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Substantial Intervention" indicates a need for significant assistance and support in multiple results and compliance indicators. In addition to the available assistance listed above, to address "Needs Intervention," additional supports and required actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Reduce or eliminate the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Passthrough funds;
- Withhold or reduce Part B Passthrough funds pending completion of the corrective actions;
- Withhold or reduce State funds for special education services pending the completion of corrective actions;
- Assign MSDE staff on-site to assist in the completion of the corrective actions; and
- Assign a monitor to oversee the local school system's special education programs, with the responsibility for the costs of monitoring to be determined by the Department.

Prior to reducing or withholding funds, ail requirements regarding proper notice by MSDE to the local school system shall be met, consistent with 20 U.S.C. §1413(c) and (d).

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators									
	SPP/APR Inc	dicators		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results		
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014		
1	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular diploma - 4 year cohort (ESSA lag data based on 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01		≥ 65.91%	67.39%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	61.03%	62.31%		
2	Students with IEPs dro leaver rate based on lag COMAR 13A.08.01.07	,	≤ 3.51%	4.88%	Not met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	5.42%	6.29%		
	State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA	AMO for Reading	NA	NA	NA	Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.	NA	NA		
3A	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	AMO for Math	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA		
20	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA	Math	≥ 95.00%	98.67%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	07.500/	NA		
3B	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	Reading	≥ 95.00%	97.15%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	97.50%	NA		

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Prince George's County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

				FFY	2016 (SFY 2	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Inc	dicator			FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	· —		nce Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	State Assessment: Proficiency rate for	3	Mathematics	16.50%	8.14%	Not Met	Target not met in reading and math for the 3rd grade through the 8th grade and high school.	8.57%	NA
	children with IEPs against grade level, modified and	3	Reading	18.60%	6.06%	Not Met	Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	7.17%	NA
	alternate academic achievement	4	Mathematics	16.15%	7.15%	Not Met	practices targeted to improve student results.	5.60%	NA
	standards	4	Reading	21.10%	6.22%	Not Met		4.67%	NA
		5	Mathematics	18.85%	6.93%	Not Met		5.40%	NA
		3	Reading	23.60%	6.13%	Not Met		4.59%	NA
3C		6	Mathematics	20.55%	4.65%	Not Met		3.24%	NA
			Reading	26.10%	3.66%	Not Met		3.60%	NA
		7	Mathematics	22.25%	2.80%	Not Met		2.44%	NA
		,	Reading	28.60%	4.05%	Not Met		4.38%	NA
		8	Mathematics	23.95%	5.32%	Not Met		6.10%	NA
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	0	Reading	31.10%	3.60%	Not Met		3.61%	NA
	COMAR 13A.03.06.05	HS	Mathematics	56.08%	18.27%	Not Met		25.15%	NA
	1371.03.00.03	113	Reading	50.52%	12.17%	Not Met		21.54%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Prince George's County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	CDD/ADD Indicators		FFY 2016			Previou	s Results
Ro	SPP/APR Indicators sults Indicator Compliance Indicator	State	Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY
	compliance malcator	Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	3.58	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	4.39	4.62
	Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	2.41	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	2.66	2.94

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Prince George's County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators									
	CDD/ADD Indicators		FFY 2016			Previous	Results			
Re	SPP/APR Indicators sults Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014			
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≥ 80% of the day (MD SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≥ 69.90%	64.48%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	56.75%	54.39%			
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≤ 40% of the day (MD SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≤ 11.76%	15.66%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	24.22%	27.09%			
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is separate public/private day and residential facilities and home and hospital facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16	≤ 5.94%	9.32%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	9.35%	9.64%			
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in regular early childhood setting the majority of the day COMAR 13A.05.01.10	y ≥ 64.70%	64.39%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	59.51%	54.63%			
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≤ 18.30%	16.91%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	25.68%	27.17%			
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills 1. Exits with substantial growth	≥68.90%	60.98%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	69.09%	63.91%			
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09 2. Exits within age expectations	≥68.00%	49.55%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	59.14%	59.19%			

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Prince George's County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators									
	SPP/APR Indica	ators.		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results		
Re	· —	pliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014		
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥67.60%	80.65%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	68.49%	58.51%		
7B	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥57.20%	46.53%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	45.35%	44.22%		
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥63.10%	71.73%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	66.84%	60.86%		
7C	appropriate behavior to meet their needs. COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥65.70%	61.03%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	60.21%	59.02%		
8	Parent Survey	Age 3-5	≥83.00%	85.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	52.00%	48.00%		
0		Age 6-21	≥70.00%	66.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	37.00%	35.00%		
	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they	A. Higher ED w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥25.00%	19.85%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	19.21%	20.57%		
14	left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07	B. Higher ED or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥51.00%	58.29%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	46.72%	40.08%		
	COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	C. Higher ED or training program or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥57.00%	66.28%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	47.65%	42.53%		

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Prince George's County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY :	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Indicato	rs		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	Results
Results	Indicator Comp	liance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of Black/African American SWD was identified. Submit an Improvement Plan by May	2.69*	8.57
	expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Asian	< 2.00	0.59	Met	7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence- based practices targeted to improve student results.	1.53	0.81
	Discrepancy exists in the	Black/African American	< 2.00	4.07	Not Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	4.87	5.36
	category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is ≤ 30	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.00	Met	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for	0.00	0.00
4B	* No suspension	White	< 2.00	1.27	Met	this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine	1.03	1.53
(Ages 6-21)	of disabled or nondisabled students	2 or more races	< 2.00	1.89	Met	the factors that contribute to suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity.	6.25*	5.42*
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.87	Met	Targeted review to be scheduled by MSDE, DSE/EIS. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	1.00	0.73

 ¹ Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Prince George's County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators			FFY 2016		Action Required	Previou	s Results
Results	Indicator Comp	lliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	1.13	Met	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	1.18	1.05
	ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of	Asian	< 2.00	0.46	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	0.39	0.42
	inappropriate identification	Black/African American	< 2.00	1.03	Met	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	1.01	0.94
9	Discrepancy exists in the category	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.43	Met	calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine	0.36	0.25
(Ages 6-21)	N size is ≥ 30 * N size is ≤ 30	White	< 2.00	1.36	Met	the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to	1.39	1.41
		2 or more races	< 2.00	0.85	Met	COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS	0.38	0.72
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.67	Met	should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.71	0.75

 ¹ Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Prince George's County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Prince George's County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

	FFY 2016 ¹ (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators												
	SPP/APR Indicators Compliance Indicator		Intellectual Disability	Specific Learning Disability	Emotional Disability	Speech or language Impairment	Autism	Other Health Impairment	Action Required				
	FFY 2016 results: Disproportionate representation	American Indian/Alaska Native	0.50	0.99	2.03*	1.60	1.05	0.83	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race, ethnicity, and disability was not identified. No further action required.				
	(≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race	Asian	0.36	0.31	0.27	0.67	0.88	0.15	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018.				
	as a result of inappropriate identification	Black/African American	1.93	1.11	1.28	0.75	0.80	1.12	The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS				
1	Discrepancy exists in the	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	1.49	0.46	0.00	0.95	0.00	0.49	should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity and disability.				
	category N size is ≥ 30	White	0.68	1.02	1.50	1.87	1.93	1.63	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students				
	* N size is < 30	2 or more races	1.05	0.89	1.05	1.42	0.87	0.40	with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to the identification of				
		Hispanic/Latino	0.74	1.02	0.22	0.40	0.31	0.52	SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity and disability. To be decided FFY 2019.				
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21												

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Prince George's County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Prince George's County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

		FFY 20	16 (SFY 201	L7) Part B lı	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators	FFY 2016			Action Required	Previous	Results
Results	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State Local Target			FFY	FFY
		Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days.	100%	97.03%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results. Correction of the noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by April 6, 2019.	97.15%	97.51%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.06				Subsequent data demonstrated that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2015 was not corrected within one year of the written finding.		
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	99.72%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	100%	98.6%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results. Correction of the noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by April 6, 2019. Subsequent data demonstrated that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2015 was not corrected within one year of the written finding.	98.4%	100%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Prince George's County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Prince George's County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

		Ot	ther Data	Considera	itions		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	Results
Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Local Target Target Results ¹ Met		Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Timely correction of noncompliance (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	96.61%	Met	Target not met. Submit a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results. Correction of the noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by April 6, 2019.	100%	100%
	State reported data are timely and accurate. (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	98.39%	Not Met	Submit and Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018 to address the failure to report timely and accurate data for Indicator 11.	100%	100%
	Number of State complaints filed	NA	45	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of State complaints identified with violation(s)	NA	35	NA	Correction of the identified noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by August 2018.	NA	NA
	Number of State findings from State complaints corrected in a timely manner	NA	NA	NA	TBD FFY 2017.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings filed	NA	5	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings identified with violations	NA	1	NA	Correction of the identified noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by August 2018.	NA	NA
	Fiscal Accountability: Number of findings identified	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Prince George's County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services



200 West Baltimore Street • Baltimore, MD 21201 • 410-767-0100 • 410-333-6442 TTY/TDD • marylandpublicschools.org

March 8, 2018

Dr. Andrea Kane Superintendent Queen Anne's Co. Bd. of Education 202 Chesterfield Avenue Centreville, MD 21617

Dear Dr. Kane:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]¹. The MSDE, DSE/EIS uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each local school system in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2016 Part B determinations, the Queen Anne's County Board of Education has achieved the determination status of "Meets Requirements."

Attached please find supporting documentation:

- 1. FFY 2016 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a FFY 2017 local determination status on page two please note the addition of fiscal accountability, State complaints/due process hearings, and preschool least restrictive environment data);
- 2. FFY 2016 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a six-year graduated results driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year); and
- 3. FFY 2016 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21).

The MSDE, DSE/EIS is committed to maintaining a balanced focus on results and compliance to narrow the school readiness and achievement gaps. Please note in FFY 2019 the determination status for each local school system will reflect the July 1, 2018 proposed revision to Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) regarding the identification of significant disproportionality. Maryland's proposed revised definition of significant disproportionality, as required by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), includes the three to five years of age population. Beginning in 2016, the DSE/EIS has provided guidance, technical assistance, and professional learning opportunities to support local school systems in the implementation of revised data collection processes and review of local policies, procedures, and practices. Please note that FFY 2016-17 and FFY 2017-18 data capturing suspension/expulsion (in and out of school), identification by race/ethnicity, and identification by race/ethnicity and disability will be used for the FFY 2019 local determination status.

¹ USDE, OSEP uses state National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) participation and performance data for students with disabilities to assign Maryland's special education determination status (see attached "NAEP"). DSE/EIS will be analyzing local testing protocols and decisions for the NAEP assessment.

Dr. Andrea Kane March 8, 2018 Page Two

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the DSE/EIS five-year strategic plan provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon a comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), the Queen Anne's County Board of Education has been assigned the "Universal" tier for the delivery of the DSE/EIS technical assistance model for results.

Local school systems are strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DSE/EIS will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to equity and efficiency for excellence. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of the Queen Anne's County Board of Education to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Marcella E. Franczkowski, M.S.
Assistant State Superintendent
Division of Special Education/
Early Intervention Services

MEF/AM/cc

Attachments

c: Karen B. Salmon
Carol A. Williamson
Joleen McShane

Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 Determination Overview Part C & Part B July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is focused on state accountability for Part C and Part B Indicators defined in the Office of Special Education's (OSEP) State Performance Plan. Annually, the OSEP evaluates each state's performance on these Indicators, and, as a result, assigns each state to one of four levels of determination: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) anticipates receiving its current federal determination status for Part C and Part B in June 2018.

To receive a State determination, the IDEA requires states to evaluate each Local Lead Agency (LLA) for the IDEA Part C early intervention services, and each Local School System (LSS) for the IDEA Part B special education performance on the established Indicators. As a result of that evaluation, the state is to assign each LLA and LSS to one of four levels of determination status: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." In making determinations, the OSEP requires states to consider:

- Performance on Results and Compliance Indicators;
- Whether data submitted by public agencies are valid, reliable (accurate), and timely;
- Uncorrected noncompliance from other sources;
- Any audit findings; and
- Other information.

The MSDE, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS), uses a results-based rubric on identified indicators to rate the performance for each LLA and LSS in meeting the State's targets as defined by the State Performance Plan for Part C and Part B. The status and results for each LLA and LSS will be posted, as required, on the MSDE Public Website of State Performance Plan Results at http://mdideareport.org no later than June 1, 2018. For the FFY 2016 determinations, the MSDE, DSE/EIS has included additional indicators highlighted below in blue.

The following **Part C** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

Results	Compliance
2. Primary Service Setting	1. Timely Services
3A. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Emotional Skills	7. 45 Day Timeline
3B. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Knowledge and Skills	8A. Transition - Transition Outcomes
3C. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Use of appropriate behaviors	8B. Transition - Notification to LSS
4A. Family Survey-Know their rights	8C. Transition - Timely Planning Meeting
4B. Family Survey-Effectively communicate their	
children's needs	
4C. Family Survey-Help their children develop and learn	
5. Child Find 0-1	
6. Child Find 0-3	
Correction of Noncompliance	Data and Submission of Reports
Timely Correction of Noncompliance	Timely and Accurate

Fiscal	Complaints and Due Process Hearing	
Fiscal Accountability	Number of State complaints filed	
	Number of State complaints with violations	
	Number of due process hearings filed	
	Number of due process hearings with violations	

The following **Part B** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

Results			Compliance	
1.	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular	4B.	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant	
	diploma		Discrepancy Disaggregated by	
2.	Students with IEPs dropping out		Race/Ethnicity	
5A	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	9.	Disproportionate Representation	
	class 80% or more of the day;		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity	
5B	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	10.	Disproportionate Representation	
	class less than 40% of the day; and		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity and	
5C	LRE for Students Ages 6-21- In separate		Disability	
	schools, residential facilities, or housebound/	11.	Initial Evaluation Timeline	
Sept. C	hospital placements.	12.	Part C to B Transition	
4A	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant	13.	Secondary Transition	
	Discrepancy in Single or Multiple			
	Suspensions/Expulsions > than 10 days.			
8A	Preschool Parent Survey; or			
8B	School Age Parent Survey.			
14	Post-School Outcomes			
	Correction of Noncompliance		Data and Submission of Reports	
Timely Correction of Noncompliance		Time	Timely and Accurate	
Fiscal			Complaints and Due Process Hearings	
Fiscal	Fiscal Accountability		Number of State complaints filed	
		Number of State complaints with violations		
		Number of due process hearings filed		
		Number of due process hearings with violations		

The MSDE supports the efforts and work of each LLA and LSS to improve performance and identify and correct noncompliance with federal and State requirements. Programmatic technical assistance and monitoring may include, but is not limited to teleconference calls, targeted technical assistance, professional learning opportunities, targeted funds for improvement, and onsite visits. In certain circumstances, enforcement options may be necessary for sustained poor performance and/or ongoing noncompliance.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a), if Maryland determines, for two consecutive years, a public agency needs assistance under §300.603(b)(1)(ii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Advise the public agency of available sources of technical assistance that may help to address the identified areas in which the public agency needs assistance;
- (2) Direct the use of federal funds, in accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a)(2), on the area or areas in which the public agency needs assistance; and/or
- (3) Identify the public agency as a high-risk grantee and impose special conditions on the public agency application for federal funds under the IDEA.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(b), if Maryland determines, for three or more consecutive years, that a public agency needs intervention under §300.603(b)(1)(iii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must implement enforcement actions. The MSDE may:

(1) Take any of the actions described in 34 C.F.R. §300.604(a);

- (2) Take one or more of the following actions:
- (i) Requires the public agency to prepare a corrective action plan or improvement plan if the MSDE determines that the public agency should be able to correct the problem within one year.
- (ii) Require the public agency to enter into a compliance agreement under section 457 of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended, 20 U.S.C. §1221 et seq. (GEPA), if the MSDE has reason to believe that the public agency cannot correct the problem within one year.
- (iii) For each year of the determination, withholds not less than 20 percent and not more than 50 percent of the State's funds under Section 611(e) of the IDEA, until the MSDE determines the public agency has sufficiently addressed the areas in which the public agency needs intervention.
- (iv) Seeks to recover funds under Section 452 of the GEPA.
- (v) Withholds, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under Part B of the IDEA.

In addition to the enforcement actions described above, if the MSDE determines a LLA or LSS needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of the IDEA or that there is a substantial failure to comply with any condition of a public agency's eligibility under the IDEA, in accordance with 34 C.F.R. §300.604(c), the MSDE may take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Recover Funds under section 452 of the GEPA; or
- (2) Withhold, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under the IDEA.

Prior to withholding any funds under the IDEA, the MSDE provides reasonable notice and an opportunity for a hearing to the public agency involved, pursuant to the procedures in in 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.180 through 300.183.

Framework for Assistance and Intervention

Needs Assistance

The process for the provision of assistance is dependent upon the degree of need within each LSS or LLA. The types of assistance must be individualized to address the root causes of the problem(s) for each indicator(s) in order to meet the specific identified needs. The MSDE will identify:

- What needs to change;
- How frequently and in what manner the local school system reports on progress; and
- The MSDE contact for support, information, documentation, and follow-up.

In collaboration with the MSDE, LSSs/LLAs will identify the type, amount, and frequency of assistance needed to address the specific needs. A wide variety of assistance is available. The MSDE is available to consult with LSSs/LLAs by telephone, fax, email, mail, or in-person. To enable a LSS to improve performance and meet compliance available assistance may include, but is not limited to the MSDE assisting a LSS/LLA to:

- Clarify/examine/develop/revise policies and procedures;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system administration and system of general supervision;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system of self-monitoring;
- Provide training/professional development;
- Access the advice of experts to address the area(s) of need, including explicit plans for addressing the area for concern within a specified period of time;

- Identify and implement professional development, instructional strategies, and methods of instruction that are based on scientifically based research;
- Designate and use distinguished superintendents, principals, special education administrators, special education teachers, and other teachers to provide advice, assistance, and support;
- Devise additional approaches to providing assistance, such as collaborating with institutions of higher education, educational service agencies, national centers of technical assistance supported under IDEA, and private providers of scientifically based technical assistance;
- Provide access to additional tools and resources;
- Share sample forms, procedures, processes;
- Provide information on where additional information, resources, support, and other organizations for support may be located;
- Examine/revise data collection;
- Improve collaboration/coordination with internal and external colleagues;
- Provide local director networking opportunities;
- Develop specialized programs/services;
- Examine options for increasing/reallocating personnel
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise fiscal management procedures and documentation
- Use evaluative measures to validate progress and correction of previous noncompliance
- Provide access to discretionary funds.

Needs Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Intervention" indicates a need for assistance in either performance and/or compliance areas within the LSS/LLA General Supervision process. Using the National Center for Special Education Accountability Monitoring (NCSEAM) "Developing and Implementing an Effective System of General Supervision: Part B," MSDE will direct the LSSILLA to examine, clarify, and develop an effective system of general supervision to address results and compliance. This may require more frequent MSDE oversight and direct onsite follow-up.

In collaboration with the LSSILLA, the MSDE may determine a local school system needs additional assistance beyond that listed above in the determination category of "Needs Assistance." Additional supports and required actions may include but are not limited to:

- Mandatory review of the local school system's system of general supervision to include:
 - o Local Self-Assessment of Performance and Compliance:
 - o Policies, procedures, and effective practices, including the local school system's required documentation of implementation;
 - o Integrated Monitoring Activities;
 - o Fiscal Management;
 - o Data Processes and Results;
 - o Improvement, Correction, Incentives, and Sanctions;
 - o Effective Dispute Resolution; and
 - o Directed Targeted Assistance and Professional Development.
- Identification and prioritization of corrective actions based upon the analysis of their system of general supervision, needs and resources;
- Development and implementation of required Corrective Action Plans with required timelines for submission, provision of evidence and data to demonstrate progress and correction as soon as possible but in no case later than one year;
- MSDE prescribing the use of discretionary funds;

- Identification of a local school system as a high risk grantee if a local school system is in the category of Needs Intervention for 2 years;
- Required quarterly reporting on status of correction of noncompliance

Needs Substantial Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Substantial Intervention" indicates a need for significant assistance and support in multiple results and compliance indicators. In addition to the available assistance listed above, to address "Needs Intervention," additional supports and required actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Reduce or eliminate the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Passthrough funds;
- Withhold or reduce Part B Passthrough funds pending completion of the corrective actions;
- Withhold or reduce State funds for special education services pending the completion of corrective actions;
- Assign MSDE staff on-site to assist in the completion of the corrective actions; and
- Assign a monitor to oversee the local school system's special education programs, with the responsibility for the costs of monitoring to be determined by the Department.

Prior to reducing or withholding funds, ail requirements regarding proper notice by MSDE to the local school system shall be met, consistent with 20 U.S.C. §1413(c) and (d).

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Inc	licators		FFY 2016 ¹			Previous	s Results
Re	sults Indicator C		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
1	Students with IEPs gra diploma - 4 year cohor 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01	t (ESSA lag data based on	≥ 65.91%	85.11%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	76.47%	79.71%
2	Students with IEPs dro leaver rate based on lag COMAR 13A.08.01.07	,	≤ 3.51%	≤ 3.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	≤ 3.00%	≤ 3.00%
	State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA	AMO for Reading	NA	NA	NA	Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.	NA	NA
3A	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	AMO for Math	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA
3B	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA	Math	≥ 95.00%	99.22%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	99.20%	NA
36	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	Reading	≥ 95.00%	99.22%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	99.20%	INA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Queen Anne's County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

				FFY	2016 (SFY 2	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Inc	dicator	e		FFY 2016 ¹			Previous	Results
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	State Assessment: Proficiency rate for	3	Mathematics	16.50%	26.92%	Met	Target met in math for 3rd grade.	15.69%	NA
	children with IEPs against grade level, modified and	3	Reading	18.60%	14.10%	Not Met	Target not met in reading for 3rd grade, reading and math for the 4 th grade through the 8th grade and high school. Submit an Improvement Plan by	11.54%	NA
	alternate academic achievement	4	Mathematics	16.15%	13.11%	Not Met	May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve	11.29%	NA
	standards	4	Reading	21.10%	13.11%	Not Met	student results.	11.29%	NA
		5	Mathematics	18.85%	7.81%	Not Met		19.48%	NA
		3	Reading	23.60%	4.69%	Not Met		10.39%	NA
3C		6	Mathematics	20.55%	12.82%	Not Met		5.71%	NA
		0	Reading	26.10%	6.41%	Not Met		5.71%	NA
		7	Mathematics	22.25%	4.48%	Not Met		14.67%	NA
		,	Reading	28.60%	10.45%	Not Met		21.05%	NA
		8	Mathematics	23.95%	17.91%	Not Met		2.50%	NA
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05		Reading	31.10%	13.43%	Not Met		3.75%	NA
	COMAR 13A.03.06.05	HS	Mathematics	56.08%	36.84%	Not Met		46.34%	NA
	13/1.03.00.03	110	Reading	50.52%	5.26%	Not Met		48.78%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Queen Anne's County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	CDD/ADD Indicators		FFY 2016 ¹			Previou	s Results
Re	SPP/APR Indicators sults Indicator Compliance Indicator	State	Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY
		Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	13.35*	NA	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	2.87*	2.46*
	Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	*	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	*	7.37

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Queen Anne's County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	016 (SFY 20	017) Part B I	ndicators		
	CDD /ADD Indica	store		FFY 2016 ¹			Previous	Results
Re	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
5A			≥ 69.90%	90.08%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	90.64%	92.45%
5B	COMAR 13A.05.01.10 Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≤ 40% of the day (MD SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≤ 11.76%	6.57%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	6.07%	5.28%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose public/private day and resi home and hospital facilitie COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16	dential facilities and	≤ 5.94%	0.90%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	0.76%	0.96%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Se childhood setting the majo COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≥ 64.70%	57.00%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	44.74%	48.45%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Se COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≤ 18.30%	24.30%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	25.44%	29.90%
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥68.90%	86.67%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	91.67%	70.83%
	skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥68.00%	80.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	75.00%	72.97%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Queen Anne's County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B I	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Indica	ators.		FFY 2016 ¹			Previous Results	
Re		pliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥67.60%	76.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	75.86%	71.43%
/B	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥57.20%	63.33%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	72.73%	54.96%
7 0	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥63.10%	94.44%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	66.67%	72.00%
7C	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥65.70%	86.67%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	68.18%	78.38%
8	Parent Survey	Age 3-5	≥83.00%	Small cell size	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	50.00%	33.00%
8		Age 6-21	≥70.00%	68.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	35.00%	51.00%
	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they	A. Higher ED w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥25.00%	Small cell size	NA	No further action required.	33.87%	33.87%
14	left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07	B. Higher ED or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥51.00%	Small cell size	NA	No further action required.	80.65%	80.65%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	C. Higher ED or training program or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥57.00%	Small cell size	NA	No further action required.	85.48%	85.48%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Queen Anne's County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators							
	SPP/APR Indicato		FFY 2016 ¹			Action Required	Previous Results	
Results	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Asian	< 2.00	0.00	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	0.00	0.00
	Discrepancy exists in the	Black/African American	< 2.00	70.24*	NA	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	8.45*	18.15*
4B	category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is ≤ 30	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.00	Met	calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to suspension and	0.00	0.00
(Ages 6-21)	* No suspension	White	< 2.00	3.47*	NA	expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity.	1.23	1.31
	of disabled or nondisabled students	2 or more races	< 2.00	0.00	NA	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities	23.1*	0.00
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	54.22*	NA	ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.00	0.00

Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Queen Anne's County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B	Indicators		'
	SPP/APR Indicato			FFY 2016 ¹		Action Required	Previous Results	
Results	Indicator Comp	lliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	0.95	Met	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	0.87	0.80
	ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of	Asian	< 2.00	0.69	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	0.74	0.64
	inappropriate identification	Black/African American	< 2.00	1.95	Met	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	1.80	1.81
9	Discrepancy exists in the category	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	14.56*	NA	calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine	3.73*	1.20
(Ages 6-21)	N size is ≥ 30 * N size is ≤ 30	White	< 2.00	0.65	Met	the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to	0.71	0.75
		2 or more races	< 2.00	0.86	Met	COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS	0.85	0.96
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.56	Met	should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.66	0.54

Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Queen Anne's County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

				F	FY 2016 ¹	(SFY 2017) I	Part B In	dicators	
	SPP/APR Indicators Compliance Indicator		Intellectual Disability	Specific Learning Disability	Emotional Disability	Speech or Ianguage Impairment	Autism	Other Health Impairment	Required Action
	FFY 2016 results: Disproportionate representation	American Indian/Alaska Native	0.00	1.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Disproportionality for Black/African American students with a SLD was identified. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices
	(≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race	Asian	0.00	0.39	0.00	0.89	0.83	1.60	targeted to improve student results. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of
	as a result of inappropriate identification	Black/African American	3.92*	2.39	5.19*	0.79	1.77	1.34	significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and
10	Discrepancy exists in the	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	0.00	6.97*	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification
	category N size is ≥ 30	White	0.55	0.59	0.47	0.93	0.68	0.70	of SWD by race/ethnicity <u>and</u> disability. Targeted review to be scheduled by MSDE DSE/EIS.
	* N size is < 30	2 or more races	0.40	0.52	0.89	0.53	0.24	2.34	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	0.28	0.64	0.00	0.37	0.95	0.48	with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to the identification of SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity and disability. To be decided FFY 2019.

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Queen Anne's County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 20	016 (SFY 20:	17) Part B I	ndicators		
		SPP/APR Indicators	FFY 2016			Action Required	Previous Results	
R	Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days. COMAR 13A.05.01.06	100%	99.12%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results. Correction of the noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by April 6, 2019.	97.80%	97.17%
	12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
	13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Queen Anne's County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	Other Data Considerations						
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016 ¹		Action Required	Previous Results	
Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Timely correction of noncompliance (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
	State reported data are timely and accurate. (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
	Number of State complaints filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of State complaints identified with violation(s)	NA	0	NA	Correction of the identified noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by August 2018.	NA	NA
	Number of State findings from State complaints corrected in a timely manner	NA	NA	NA	TBD FFY 2017.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings identified with violations	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Fiscal Accountability: Number of findings identified	NA	1	NA	Correction of the identified noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by September 2018.	NA	NA
	National Assessment of Educational Progress.(NAEP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Queen Anne's County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services



200 West Baltimore Street • Baltimore, MD 21201 • 410-767-0100 • 410-333-6442 TŢY/TDD • marylandpublicschools.org

March 8, 2018

Dr. James Scott Smith Superintendent St. Mary's County Public Schools 23160 Moakley Street Suite 109 Leonardtown, MD₂20650

Dear Dr. Smith:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]¹. The MSDE, DSE/EIS uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each local school system in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2016 Part B determinations, the St. Mary's County Public Schools has achieved the determination status of "Meets Requirements."

Attached please find supporting documentation:

- 1. FFY 2016 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a FFY 2017 local determination status on page two please note the addition of fiscal accountability, State complaints/due process hearings, and preschool least restrictive environment data);
- 2. FFY 2016 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a six-year graduated results driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year); and
- 3. FFY 2016 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21).

The MSDE, DSE/EIS is committed to maintaining a balanced focus on results and compliance to narrow the school readiness and achievement gaps. Please note in FFY 2019 the determination status for each local school system will reflect the July 1, 2018 proposed revision to Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) regarding the identification of significant disproportionality. Maryland's proposed revised definition of significant disproportionality, as required by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), includes the three to five years of age population. Beginning in 2016, the DSE/EIS has provided guidance, technical assistance, and professional learning opportunities to support local school systems in the implementation of revised data collection processes and review of local policies, procedures, and practices. Please note that FFY 2016-17 and FFY 2017-18 data capturing suspension/expulsion (in and out of school), identification by race/ethnicity, and identification by race/ethnicity and disability will be used for the FFY 2019 local determination status.

¹ USDE, OSEP uses state National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) participation and performance data for students with disabilities to assign Maryland's special education determination status (see attached "NAEP"). DSE/EIS will be analyzing local testing protocols and decisions for the NAEP assessment.

Dr. James Scott Smith March 8, 2018 Page Two

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the DSE/EIS five-year strategic plan provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon a comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), the St. Mary's County Public School Systems has been assigned the "Universal" tier for the delivery of the DSE/EIS technical assistance model for results.

Local school systems are strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DSE/EIS will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to equity and efficiency for excellence. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of the St. Mary's County Public Schools to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Marcella E. Franczkowski, M.S. Assistant State Superintendent

accella E Franczkowski

Division of Special Education/

Early Intervention Services

MEF/AM/cc

Attachments

c: Karen B. Salmon
Carol A. Williamson
Susie Fowler
Cindy Kilcoyne

Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 Determination Overview Part C & Part B July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is focused on state accountability for Part C and Part B Indicators defined in the Office of Special Education's (OSEP) State Performance Plan. Annually, the OSEP evaluates each state's performance on these Indicators, and, as a result, assigns each state to one of four levels of determination: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) anticipates receiving its current federal determination status for Part C and Part B in June 2018.

To receive a State determination, the IDEA requires states to evaluate each Local Lead Agency (LLA) for the IDEA Part C early intervention services, and each Local School System (LSS) for the IDEA Part B special education performance on the established Indicators. As a result of that evaluation, the state is to assign each LLA and LSS to one of four levels of determination status: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." In making determinations, the OSEP requires states to consider:

- Performance on Results and Compliance Indicators;
- Whether data submitted by public agencies are valid, reliable (accurate), and timely;
- Uncorrected noncompliance from other sources;
- Any audit findings; and
- Other information.

The MSDE, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS), uses a results-based rubric on identified indicators to rate the performance for each LLA and LSS in meeting the State's targets as defined by the State Performance Plan for Part C and Part B. The status and results for each LLA and LSS will be posted, as required, on the MSDE Public Website of State Performance Plan Results at http://mdideareport.org no later than June 1, 2018. For the FFY 2016 determinations, the MSDE, DSE/EIS has included additional indicators highlighted below in blue.

The following **Part C** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

Results	Compliance
2. Primary Service Setting	1. Timely Services
3A. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Emotional Skills	7. 45 Day Timeline
3B. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Knowledge and Skills	8A. Transition - Transition Outcomes
3C. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Use of appropriate behaviors	8B. Transition - Notification to LSS
4A. Family Survey-Know their rights	8C. Transition - Timely Planning Meeting
4B. Family Survey-Effectively communicate their	
children's needs	
4C. Family Survey-Help their children develop and learn	
5. Child Find 0-1	
6. Child Find 0-3	
Correction of Noncompliance	Data and Submission of Reports
Timely Correction of Noncompliance	Timely and Accurate

Fiscal	Complaints and Due Process Hearing
Fiscal Accountability	Number of State complaints filed
	Number of State complaints with violations
	Number of due process hearings filed
	Number of due process hearings with violations

The following **Part B** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

	Results		Compliance		
1.	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular	4B.	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant		
	diploma		Discrepancy Disaggregated by		
2.	Students with IEPs dropping out		Race/Ethnicity		
5A	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	9.	Disproportionate Representation		
	class 80% or more of the day;		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity		
5B	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	10.	Disproportionate Representation		
	class less than 40% of the day; and		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity and		
5C	LRE for Students Ages 6-21- In separate		Disability		
	schools, residential facilities, or housebound/	11.	Initial Evaluation Timeline		
See S. C.	hospital placements.	12.	Part C to B Transition		
4A	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant	13.	Secondary Transition		
	Discrepancy in Single or Multiple				
	Suspensions/Expulsions > than 10 days.				
8A	Preschool Parent Survey; or				
8B	School Age Parent Survey.				
14	Post-School Outcomes				
	Correction of Noncompliance		Data and Submission of Reports		
Timely	y Correction of Noncompliance	Time	ly and Accurate		
	Fiscal		Complaints and Due Process Hearings		
Fiscal	Accountability	Number of State complaints filed			
		Number of State complaints with violations			
		Numl	per of due process hearings filed		
		Numl	per of due process hearings with violations		

The MSDE supports the efforts and work of each LLA and LSS to improve performance and identify and correct noncompliance with federal and State requirements. Programmatic technical assistance and monitoring may include, but is not limited to teleconference calls, targeted technical assistance, professional learning opportunities, targeted funds for improvement, and onsite visits. In certain circumstances, enforcement options may be necessary for sustained poor performance and/or ongoing noncompliance.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a), if Maryland determines, for two consecutive years, a public agency needs assistance under §300.603(b)(1)(ii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Advise the public agency of available sources of technical assistance that may help to address the identified areas in which the public agency needs assistance;
- (2) Direct the use of federal funds, in accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a)(2), on the area or areas in which the public agency needs assistance; and/or
- (3) Identify the public agency as a high-risk grantee and impose special conditions on the public agency application for federal funds under the IDEA.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(b), if Maryland determines, for three or more consecutive years, that a public agency needs intervention under §300.603(b)(1)(iii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must implement enforcement actions. The MSDE may:

(1) Take any of the actions described in 34 C.F.R. §300.604(a);

- (2) Take one or more of the following actions:
- (i) Requires the public agency to prepare a corrective action plan or improvement plan if the MSDE determines that the public agency should be able to correct the problem within one year.
- (ii) Require the public agency to enter into a compliance agreement under section 457 of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended, 20 U.S.C. §1221 et seq. (GEPA), if the MSDE has reason to believe that the public agency cannot correct the problem within one year.
- (iii) For each year of the determination, withholds not less than 20 percent and not more than 50 percent of the State's funds under Section 611(e) of the IDEA, until the MSDE determines the public agency has sufficiently addressed the areas in which the public agency needs intervention.
- (iv) Seeks to recover funds under Section 452 of the GEPA.
- (v) Withholds, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under Part B of the IDEA.

In addition to the enforcement actions described above, if the MSDE determines a LLA or LSS needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of the IDEA or that there is a substantial failure to comply with any condition of a public agency's eligibility under the IDEA, in accordance with 34 C.F.R. §300.604(c), the MSDE may take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Recover Funds under section 452 of the GEPA; or
- (2) Withhold, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under the IDEA.

Prior to withholding any funds under the IDEA, the MSDE provides reasonable notice and an opportunity for a hearing to the public agency involved, pursuant to the procedures in in 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.180 through 300.183.

Framework for Assistance and Intervention

Needs Assistance

The process for the provision of assistance is dependent upon the degree of need within each LSS or LLA. The types of assistance must be individualized to address the root causes of the problem(s) for each indicator(s) in order to meet the specific identified needs. The MSDE will identify:

- What needs to change;
- How frequently and in what manner the local school system reports on progress; and
- The MSDE contact for support, information, documentation, and follow-up.

In collaboration with the MSDE, LSSs/LLAs will identify the type, amount, and frequency of assistance needed to address the specific needs. A wide variety of assistance is available. The MSDE is available to consult with LSSs/LLAs by telephone, fax, email, mail, or in-person. To enable a LSS to improve performance and meet compliance available assistance may include, but is not limited to the MSDE assisting a LSS/LLA to:

- Clarify/examine/develop/revise policies and procedures;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system administration and system of general supervision;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system of self-monitoring;
- Provide training/professional development;
- Access the advice of experts to address the area(s) of need, including explicit plans for addressing the area for concern within a specified period of time;

- Identify and implement professional development, instructional strategies, and methods of instruction that are based on scientifically based research;
- Designate and use distinguished superintendents, principals, special education administrators, special education teachers, and other teachers to provide advice, assistance, and support;
- Devise additional approaches to providing assistance, such as collaborating with institutions of higher education, educational service agencies, national centers of technical assistance supported under IDEA, and private providers of scientifically based technical assistance;
- Provide access to additional tools and resources;
- Share sample forms, procedures, processes;
- Provide information on where additional information, resources, support, and other organizations for support may be located;
- Examine/revise data collection;
- Improve collaboration/coordination with internal and external colleagues;
- Provide local director networking opportunities;
- Develop specialized programs/services;
- Examine options for increasing/reallocating personnel
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise fiscal management procedures and documentation
- Use evaluative measures to validate progress and correction of previous noncompliance
- Provide access to discretionary funds.

Needs Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Intervention" indicates a need for assistance in either performance and/or compliance areas within the LSS/LLA General Supervision process. Using the National Center for Special Education Accountability Monitoring (NCSEAM) "Developing and Implementing an Effective System of General Supervision: Part B," MSDE will direct the LSSILLA to examine, clarify, and develop an effective system of general supervision to address results and compliance. This may require more frequent MSDE oversight and direct onsite follow-up.

In collaboration with the LSSILLA, the MSDE may determine a local school system needs additional assistance beyond that listed above in the determination category of "Needs Assistance." Additional supports and required actions may include but are not limited to:

- Mandatory review of the local school system's system of general supervision to include:
 - o Local Self-Assessment of Performance and Compliance:
 - o Policies, procedures, and effective practices, including the local school system's required documentation of implementation;
 - o Integrated Monitoring Activities;
 - o Fiscal Management;
 - o Data Processes and Results;
 - o Improvement, Correction, Incentives, and Sanctions;
 - o Effective Dispute Resolution; and
 - o Directed Targeted Assistance and Professional Development.
- Identification and prioritization of corrective actions based upon the analysis of their system of general supervision, needs and resources;
- Development and implementation of required Corrective Action Plans with required timelines for submission, provision of evidence and data to demonstrate progress and correction as soon as possible but in no case later than one year;
- MSDE prescribing the use of discretionary funds;

- Identification of a local school system as a high risk grantee if a local school system is in the category of Needs Intervention for 2 years;
- Required quarterly reporting on status of correction of noncompliance

Needs Substantial Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Substantial Intervention" indicates a need for significant assistance and support in multiple results and compliance indicators. In addition to the available assistance listed above, to address "Needs Intervention," additional supports and required actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Reduce or eliminate the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Passthrough funds;
- Withhold or reduce Part B Passthrough funds pending completion of the corrective actions;
- Withhold or reduce State funds for special education services pending the completion of corrective actions;
- Assign MSDE staff on-site to assist in the completion of the corrective actions; and
- Assign a monitor to oversee the local school system's special education programs, with the responsibility for the costs of monitoring to be determined by the Department.

Prior to reducing or withholding funds, ail requirements regarding proper notice by MSDE to the local school system shall be met, consistent with 20 U.S.C. §1413(c) and (d).

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators									
	SDD/ADD Inc	licators		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results		
Re	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State	Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY		
			Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014		
1	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular diploma - 4 year cohort (ESSA lag data based on 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01		≥ 65.91%	72.29%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	70.93%	62.16%		
2	Students with IEPs dro leaver rate based on lag COMAR 13A.08.01.07	,	≤ 3.51%	≤ 3.00	Met	Target met, no further action required.	≤ 3.00	≤ 3.00		
	State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA	AMO for Reading	NA	NA	NA	Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.	NA	NA		
3A	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	AMO for Math	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA		
3B	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA	Math	≥ 95.00%	99.10%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	= 99.21%	NA		
38	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	Reading	≥ 95.00%	98.58%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	7 99.21%	NA		

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. St. Mary's County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators								
	SPP/APR Inc	dicator			FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	·		nce Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	State Assessment: Proficiency rate for	3	Mathematics	16.50%	12.59%	Not Met	Target not met in reading and math for the 3rd grade through the 8th grade and high school.	12.00%	NA
	children with IEPs against grade level, modified and	3	Reading	18.60%	9.15%	Not Met	Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	8.73%	NA
	alternate academic achievement	4	Mathematics	16.15%	8.92%	Not Met	practices targeted to improve student results.	8.11%	NA
	standards	4	Reading	21.10%	9.55%	Not Met		8.05%	NA
		5	Mathematics	18.85%	10.49%	Not Met		12.20%	NA
		3	Reading	23.60%	9.09%	Not Met		8.48%	NA
3C		6	Mathematics	20.55%	9.38%	Not Met		7.09%	NA
		0	Reading	26.10%	6.21%	Not Met		2.82%	NA
		7	Mathematics	22.25%	6.58%	Not Met		7.63%	NA
		,	Reading	28.60%	7.24%	Not Met		9.02%	NA
		8	Mathematics	23.95%	11.76%	Not Met		14.84%	NA
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05		Reading	31.10%	9.24%	Not Met		9.60%	NA
	COMAR 13A.03.06.05	HS	Mathematics	56.08%	25.84%	Not Met		45.16%	NA
	151105.00.00	110	Reading	50.52%	9.20%	Not Met		31.15%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. St. Mary's County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	CDD/ADD Indicators		FFY 2016			Previou	s Results
Re	SPP/APR Indicators Compliance Indicator	State	Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY
		Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	0.00*	3.56*
4/4	Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	*	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	*	*

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. St. Mary's County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators									
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016			Previous	Results			
Re	sults Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014			
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≥ 80% of the day (MD SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≥ 69.90%	75.78%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	76.98%	77.69%			
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≤ 40% of the day (MD SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≤11.76%	11.81%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	10.56%	10.18%			
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is separate public/private day and residential facilities and home and hospital facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16	≤ 5.94%	2.19%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	2.28%	2.01%			
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in regular early childhood setting the majority of the day COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≥ 64.70%	84.28%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	80.43%	74.89%			
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≤ 18.30%	0.48%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	0.43%	0.00%			
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills 1. Exits with substantial growth	≥68.90%	46.34%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	68.70%	56.10%			
A	2. Exits within age expectations COMAR 13A.13.01.09	≥68.00%	13.33%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	70.73%	66.41%			

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. St. Mary's County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indica	ators		FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	sults Indicator Com		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥67.60%	50.00%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	63.64%	59.63%
/B	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥57.20%	13.33%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	48.78%	54.96%
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥63.10%	43.90%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	65.38%	51.69%
<i>/C</i>	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥65.70%	15.56%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	75.61%	62.60%
8	Parent Survey	Age 3-5	≥83.00%	87.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	52.00%	40.00%
0		Age 6-21	≥70.00%	79.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	50.00%	52.00%
	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they	A. Higher ED w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥25.00%	23.19%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results	23.23%	18.48%
14	left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07	B. Higher ED or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥51.00%	68.12%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	54.55%	46.74%
	COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	C. Higher ED or training program or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥57.00%	78.26%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	61.62%	63.04%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. St. Mary's County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators								
	SPP/APR Indicato		FFY 2016			Action Required	Previous	Results	
Results	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014	
	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	0.00	0.00	
	expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Asian	< 2.00	0.00	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	0.00	0.00	
	Discrepancy exists in the	Black/African American	< 2.00	0.00	Met	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	0.00	4.83*	
4B	category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.00	Met	calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine	0.00	*	
(Ages 6-21)	* No suspension	White	< 2.00	0.00	Met	the factors that contribute to suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity.	0.00	2.76	
	of disabled or nondisabled students	2 or more races	< 2.00	0.00	Met	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities	0.00	0.00	
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.00	Met	ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.00	0.00	

¹ Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 St. Mary's County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY :	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators			FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous Results	
Results	Indicator Comp	lliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	1.12	Met	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	1.92	1.75*
	ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of	Asian	< 2.00	0.25	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	0.34	0.55
	inappropriate identification	Black/African American	< 2.00	1.62	Met	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	1.61	1.26
9	Discrepancy exists in the category	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.35	Met	calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the	0.59	0.00
(Ages 6-21)	N size is ≥ 30 * N size is ≤ 30	White	< 2.00	0.81	Met	identification of SWD by race/ethnicity. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to	0.84	1.16
		2 or more races	< 2.00	1.03	Met	COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS	1.05	0.96
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.81	Met	should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.73	0.34

 ¹ Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 St. Mary's County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			F	FY 2016 ¹	(SFY 2017) I	Part B In	dicators	
SPP/APR Indicators Compliance Indicator		Intellectual Disability	Specific Learning Disability	Emotional Disability	Speech or Ianguage Impairment	Autism	Other Health Impairment	Action Required
FFY 2016 results: Disproportionate representation	American Indian/Alaska Native	0.00	0.61	0.00	3.78*	0.00	1.90	Disproportionality for Black/African American students with an Emotional Disability was identified.
(≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race	Asian	0.00	0.23	0.00	0.54	0.20	0.27	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the
as a result of inappropriate identification	Black/African American	2.28*	1.95	2.10	1.32	1.07	1.48	current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to
Discrepancy exists in the	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.97*	0.00	0.00	determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity <u>and</u> disability.
category N size is ≥ 30	White	0.49	0.72	0.81	0.89	1.02	0.92	Targeted review to be scheduled by MSDE, DSE/EIS. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding
* N size is < 30	2 or more races	0.72	0.92	1.20	0.94	1.51	1.14	the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to
	Hispanic/Latino	1.20	0.74	0.48	0.84	1.07	0.73	determine the factors that contribute to the identification of SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity and disability. To be decided FFY 2019.
COMAR 13A.08.01.21								

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. St. Mary's County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators								
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	s Results	
Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014	
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days. COMAR 13A.05.01.06	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	97.94%	97.50%	
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%	
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%	

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. St. Mary's County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	Other Data Considerations									
SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	s Results				
Results Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014				
Timely correction of noncompliance (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%				
State reported data are timely and accurate. (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%				
Number of State complaints filed	NA	1	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA				
Number of State complaints identified with violation(s)	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA				
Number of State findings from State complaints corrected in a timely manner	NA	NA	NA	TBD FFY 2017	NA	NA				
Number of due process hearings filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA				
Number of due process hearings identified with violations	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA				
Fiscal Accountability: Number of findings identified	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA				
National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA				

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. St. Mary's County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services



200 West Baltimore Street • Baltimore, MD 21201 • 410-767-0100 • 410-333-6442 TTY/TDD • marylandpublicschools.org

March 8, 2018

Dr. John B. Gaddis Superintendent Somerset County Public Schools 7982-A Tawes Campus Drive Westover, Maryland 21871

Dear Dr. Gaddis:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]¹. The MSDE, DSE/EIS uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each local school system in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2016 Part B determinations, the Somerset County Public Schools has achieved the determination status of "Needs Assistance – Year 1."

Attached please find supporting documentation:

- 1. FFY 2016 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a FFY 2017 local determination status on page two please note the addition of fiscal accountability, State complaints/due process hearings, and preschool least restrictive environment data);
- 2. FFY 2016 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a six-year graduated results driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year); and
- 3. FFY 2016 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21).

The MSDE, DSE/EIS is committed to maintaining a balanced focus on results and compliance to narrow the school readiness and achievement gaps. Please note in FFY 2019 the determination status for each local school system will reflect the July 1, 2018 proposed revision to Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) regarding the identification of significant disproportionality. Maryland's proposed revised definition of significant disproportionality, as required by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), includes the three to five years of age population. Beginning in 2016, the DSE/EIS has provided guidance, technical assistance, and professional learning opportunities to support local school systems in the implementation of revised data collection processes and review of local policies, procedures, and practices. Please note that FFY 2016-17 and FFY 2017-18 data capturing suspension/expulsion (in and out of school), identification by race/ethnicity, and identification by race/ethnicity and disability will be used for the FFY 2019 local determination status.

¹ USDE, OSEP uses state National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) participation and performance data for students with disabilities to assign Maryland's special education determination status (see attached "NAEP"). DSE/EIS will be analyzing local testing protocols and decisions for the NAEP assessment.

Dr. John B. Gaddis March 8, 2018 Page Two

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the DSE/EIS five-year strategic plan provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon a comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), the Somerset County Public School Systems has been assigned the "Universal" tier for the delivery of the DSE/EIS technical assistance model for results.

Local school systems are strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DSE/EIS will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to equity and efficiency for excellence. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of the Somerset County Public Schools to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Marcella & Francykowski

Marcella E. Franczkowski, M.S. Assistant State Superintendent Division of Special Education/ Early Intervention Services

MEF/AM/cc

Attachments

c: Karen B. Salmon Carol A. Williamson Cheryl A. O'Neal

Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 Determination Overview Part C & Part B July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is focused on state accountability for Part C and Part B Indicators defined in the Office of Special Education's (OSEP) State Performance Plan. Annually, the OSEP evaluates each state's performance on these Indicators, and, as a result, assigns each state to one of four levels of determination: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) anticipates receiving its current federal determination status for Part C and Part B in June 2018.

To receive a State determination, the IDEA requires states to evaluate each Local Lead Agency (LLA) for the IDEA Part C early intervention services, and each Local School System (LSS) for the IDEA Part B special education performance on the established Indicators. As a result of that evaluation, the state is to assign each LLA and LSS to one of four levels of determination status: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." In making determinations, the OSEP requires states to consider:

- Performance on Results and Compliance Indicators;
- Whether data submitted by public agencies are valid, reliable (accurate), and timely;
- Uncorrected noncompliance from other sources;
- Any audit findings; and
- Other information.

The MSDE, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS), uses a results-based rubric on identified indicators to rate the performance for each LLA and LSS in meeting the State's targets as defined by the State Performance Plan for Part C and Part B. The status and results for each LLA and LSS will be posted, as required, on the MSDE Public Website of State Performance Plan Results at http://mdideareport.org no later than June 1, 2018. For the FFY 2016 determinations, the MSDE, DSE/EIS has included additional indicators highlighted below in blue.

The following **Part C** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

Results	Compliance
2. Primary Service Setting	1. Timely Services
3A. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Emotional Skills	7. 45 Day Timeline
3B. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Knowledge and Skills	8A. Transition - Transition Outcomes
3C. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Use of appropriate behaviors	8B. Transition - Notification to LSS
4A. Family Survey-Know their rights	8C. Transition - Timely Planning Meeting
4B. Family Survey-Effectively communicate their	
children's needs	
4C. Family Survey-Help their children develop and learn	
5. Child Find 0-1	
6. Child Find 0-3	
Correction of Noncompliance	Data and Submission of Reports
Timely Correction of Noncompliance	Timely and Accurate

Fiscal	Complaints and Due Process Hearing				
Fiscal Accountability	Number of State complaints filed				
	Number of State complaints with violations				
	Number of due process hearings filed				
	Number of due process hearings with violations				

The following **Part B** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

	Results		Compliance		
1.	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular	4B.	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant		
	diploma		Discrepancy Disaggregated by		
2.	Students with IEPs dropping out		Race/Ethnicity		
5A	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	9.	Disproportionate Representation		
	class 80% or more of the day;		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity		
5B	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	10.	Disproportionate Representation		
	class less than 40% of the day; and		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity and		
5C	LRE for Students Ages 6-21- In separate		Disability		
	schools, residential facilities, or housebound/	11.	Initial Evaluation Timeline		
See S. C.	hospital placements.	12.	Part C to B Transition		
4A	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant	13.	Secondary Transition		
	Discrepancy in Single or Multiple				
	Suspensions/Expulsions > than 10 days.				
8A	Preschool Parent Survey; or				
8B	School Age Parent Survey.				
14	Post-School Outcomes				
	Correction of Noncompliance		Data and Submission of Reports		
Timely	y Correction of Noncompliance	Timely and Accurate			
	Fiscal	Complaints and Due Process Hearings			
Fiscal	Fiscal Accountability		Number of State complaints filed		
		Number of State complaints with violations			
		Numl	per of due process hearings filed		
		Number of due process hearings with violations			

The MSDE supports the efforts and work of each LLA and LSS to improve performance and identify and correct noncompliance with federal and State requirements. Programmatic technical assistance and monitoring may include, but is not limited to teleconference calls, targeted technical assistance, professional learning opportunities, targeted funds for improvement, and onsite visits. In certain circumstances, enforcement options may be necessary for sustained poor performance and/or ongoing noncompliance.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a), if Maryland determines, for two consecutive years, a public agency needs assistance under §300.603(b)(1)(ii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Advise the public agency of available sources of technical assistance that may help to address the identified areas in which the public agency needs assistance;
- (2) Direct the use of federal funds, in accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a)(2), on the area or areas in which the public agency needs assistance; and/or
- (3) Identify the public agency as a high-risk grantee and impose special conditions on the public agency application for federal funds under the IDEA.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(b), if Maryland determines, for three or more consecutive years, that a public agency needs intervention under §300.603(b)(1)(iii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must implement enforcement actions. The MSDE may:

(1) Take any of the actions described in 34 C.F.R. §300.604(a);

- (2) Take one or more of the following actions:
- (i) Requires the public agency to prepare a corrective action plan or improvement plan if the MSDE determines that the public agency should be able to correct the problem within one year.
- (ii) Require the public agency to enter into a compliance agreement under section 457 of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended, 20 U.S.C. §1221 et seq. (GEPA), if the MSDE has reason to believe that the public agency cannot correct the problem within one year.
- (iii) For each year of the determination, withholds not less than 20 percent and not more than 50 percent of the State's funds under Section 611(e) of the IDEA, until the MSDE determines the public agency has sufficiently addressed the areas in which the public agency needs intervention.
- (iv) Seeks to recover funds under Section 452 of the GEPA.
- (v) Withholds, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under Part B of the IDEA.

In addition to the enforcement actions described above, if the MSDE determines a LLA or LSS needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of the IDEA or that there is a substantial failure to comply with any condition of a public agency's eligibility under the IDEA, in accordance with 34 C.F.R. §300.604(c), the MSDE may take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Recover Funds under section 452 of the GEPA; or
- (2) Withhold, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under the IDEA.

Prior to withholding any funds under the IDEA, the MSDE provides reasonable notice and an opportunity for a hearing to the public agency involved, pursuant to the procedures in in 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.180 through 300.183.

Framework for Assistance and Intervention

Needs Assistance

The process for the provision of assistance is dependent upon the degree of need within each LSS or LLA. The types of assistance must be individualized to address the root causes of the problem(s) for each indicator(s) in order to meet the specific identified needs. The MSDE will identify:

- What needs to change;
- How frequently and in what manner the local school system reports on progress; and
- The MSDE contact for support, information, documentation, and follow-up.

In collaboration with the MSDE, LSSs/LLAs will identify the type, amount, and frequency of assistance needed to address the specific needs. A wide variety of assistance is available. The MSDE is available to consult with LSSs/LLAs by telephone, fax, email, mail, or in-person. To enable a LSS to improve performance and meet compliance available assistance may include, but is not limited to the MSDE assisting a LSS/LLA to:

- Clarify/examine/develop/revise policies and procedures;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system administration and system of general supervision;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system of self-monitoring;
- Provide training/professional development;
- Access the advice of experts to address the area(s) of need, including explicit plans for addressing the area for concern within a specified period of time;

- Identify and implement professional development, instructional strategies, and methods of instruction that are based on scientifically based research;
- Designate and use distinguished superintendents, principals, special education administrators, special education teachers, and other teachers to provide advice, assistance, and support;
- Devise additional approaches to providing assistance, such as collaborating with institutions of higher education, educational service agencies, national centers of technical assistance supported under IDEA, and private providers of scientifically based technical assistance;
- Provide access to additional tools and resources;
- Share sample forms, procedures, processes;
- Provide information on where additional information, resources, support, and other organizations for support may be located;
- Examine/revise data collection;
- Improve collaboration/coordination with internal and external colleagues;
- Provide local director networking opportunities;
- Develop specialized programs/services;
- Examine options for increasing/reallocating personnel
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise fiscal management procedures and documentation
- Use evaluative measures to validate progress and correction of previous noncompliance
- Provide access to discretionary funds.

Needs Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Intervention" indicates a need for assistance in either performance and/or compliance areas within the LSS/LLA General Supervision process. Using the National Center for Special Education Accountability Monitoring (NCSEAM) "Developing and Implementing an Effective System of General Supervision: Part B," MSDE will direct the LSSILLA to examine, clarify, and develop an effective system of general supervision to address results and compliance. This may require more frequent MSDE oversight and direct onsite follow-up.

In collaboration with the LSSILLA, the MSDE may determine a local school system needs additional assistance beyond that listed above in the determination category of "Needs Assistance." Additional supports and required actions may include but are not limited to:

- Mandatory review of the local school system's system of general supervision to include:
 - o Local Self-Assessment of Performance and Compliance:
 - o Policies, procedures, and effective practices, including the local school system's required documentation of implementation;
 - o Integrated Monitoring Activities;
 - o Fiscal Management;
 - o Data Processes and Results;
 - o Improvement, Correction, Incentives, and Sanctions;
 - o Effective Dispute Resolution; and
 - o Directed Targeted Assistance and Professional Development.
- Identification and prioritization of corrective actions based upon the analysis of their system of general supervision, needs and resources;
- Development and implementation of required Corrective Action Plans with required timelines for submission, provision of evidence and data to demonstrate progress and correction as soon as possible but in no case later than one year;
- MSDE prescribing the use of discretionary funds;

- Identification of a local school system as a high risk grantee if a local school system is in the category of Needs Intervention for 2 years;
- Required quarterly reporting on status of correction of noncompliance

Needs Substantial Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Substantial Intervention" indicates a need for significant assistance and support in multiple results and compliance indicators. In addition to the available assistance listed above, to address "Needs Intervention," additional supports and required actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Reduce or eliminate the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Passthrough funds;
- Withhold or reduce Part B Passthrough funds pending completion of the corrective actions;
- Withhold or reduce State funds for special education services pending the completion of corrective actions;
- Assign MSDE staff on-site to assist in the completion of the corrective actions; and
- Assign a monitor to oversee the local school system's special education programs, with the responsibility for the costs of monitoring to be determined by the Department.

Prior to reducing or withholding funds, ail requirements regarding proper notice by MSDE to the local school system shall be met, consistent with 20 U.S.C. §1413(c) and (d).

Somerset County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators											
SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			FFY 2016				Previous Results				
			State	Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY			
			Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014			
1	Students with IEPs gradiploma - 4 year cohor 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01	t (ESSA lag data based on	≥ 65.91%	61.29%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	73.91%	71.43%			
2	Students with IEPs dro leaver rate based on lag COMAR 13A.08.01.07	≤ 3.51%	5.19%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	5.60%	5.48%				
	State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA	AMO for Reading	NA	NA	NA	Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.	NA	NA			
3A	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	AMO for Math	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA			
2D	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA	Math	≥ 95.00%	99.20%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	00 (50/	NA			
3B	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05		≥ 95.00%	99.06%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	99.65%	NA .			

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Somerset County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Somerset County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators										
	SPP/APR Indicators				FFY 2016			Previous Results		
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator				Local Results ¹	•	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014	
	State Assessment: Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level, modified and alternate academic achievement standards	3	Mathematics	16.50%	16.13%	Not Met	Target met in mathematics for the 5 th grade. Target not met in mathematics for the 3 rd grade, the 4 th grade, for the 6 th through 8 th grade, and high school. Target not met in reading for the 3 rd grade through the 8 th grade and for high school. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	36.11%	NA	
			Reading	18.60%	10.00%	Not Met		28.57%	NA	
		4	Mathematics	16.15%	11.43%	Not Met		14.81%	NA	
			Reading	21.10%	16.67%	Not Met		22.22%	NA	
		5	Mathematics	18.85%	21.43%	Met		11.90%	NA	
		3	Reading	23.60%	21.43%	Not Met		9.52%	NA	
3C		6	Mathematics	20.55%	11.63%	Not Met		7.50%	NA	
			Reading	26.10%	11.63%	Not Met		15.00%	NA	
		7	Mathematics	22.25%	8.89%	Not Met		17.86%	NA	
			Reading	28.60%	13.33%	Not Met		18.52%	NA	
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	8	Mathematics	23.95%	17.24%	Not Met		8.57%	NA	
			Reading	31.10%	17.24%	Not Met		11.11%	NA	
		HS	Mathematics	56.08%	38.46%	Not Met		36.84%	NA	
			Reading	50.52%	3.85%	Not Met		35.00%	NA	

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Somerset County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Somerset County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators the Pariod July 1, 2016 June 20, 2017

		FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	CDD/ADD In diseases		FFY 2016			Previou	s Results
Re	SPP/APR Indicators sults Indicator Compliance Indicator	State	Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY
nc		Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	2.73	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	4.19*	0.00
4/4	Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	0.00	0.09

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Somerset County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators										
	SPP/APR Indica	Anus.		FFY 2016			Previous	Results			
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014			
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose day (MD SSIS LRE A)	LRE is \geq 80% of the	≥ 69.90%	76.24%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	78.17%	78.57%			
5B	COMAR 13A.05.01.10 Students aged 6-21 whose day (MD SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≤11.76%	18.28%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	14.47%	15.02%				
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is separate public/private day and residential facilities and home and hospital facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≤ 5.94%	1.31%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	4.31%	4.43%			
6A	COMAR 13A.05.01.16 Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in regular early childhood setting the majority of the day		≥ 64.70%	42.86%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	31.03%	18.42%			
6B	COMAR 13A.05.01.10 Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≤ 18.30%	22.86%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	20.69%	44.74%			
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥68.90%	57.14%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	100%	77.78%			
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥68.00%	62.50%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	33.33%	45.45%			

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Somerset County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indica	atore		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results
Re	sults Indicator Com		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥67.60%	62.50%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	0.00%	54.55%
/B	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥57.20%	37.50%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	0.00%	9.09%
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥63.10%	66.67%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	50.00%	66.67%
7C	meet their needs. COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥65.70%	75.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	33.33%	54.55%
0	Parent Survey	Age 3-5	≥83.00%	Small Cell Size	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	Less than 10	Less than 10
8	,	Age 6-21	≥70.00%	79.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	54.00%	45.00%
	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they	A. Higher ED w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥25.00%	Small cell size	NA	No further action required.	18.18%	16.67%
14	left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07	B. Higher ED or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥51.00%	Small cell size	NA	No further action required.	36.26%	60.00%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	C. Higher ED or training program or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥57.00%	Small cell size	NA	No further action required.	51.52%	63.33%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Somerset County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators											
	SPP/APR Indicato			FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous Results					
Results	Indicator Comp	liance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014				
	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	*	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.00	0.00				
	expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Asian	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.00				
	Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Black/African American	< 2.00	4.41*	NA		7.61*	0.36				
4B		Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	*	Met		*	0.00				
(Ages 6-21)		White	< 2.00	0.91	Met		0.45	0.00				
		2 or more races	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.00				
		Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.00				

Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Somerset County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators										
	SPP/APR Indicato			FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous Results				
Results	Indicator Comp	lliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014			
	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	2.31*	6.62*			
	ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of	Asian	< 2.00	0.56	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	0.56	0.30			
	identification Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 Native Hawa Island White	Black/African American	< 2.00	1.28	Met	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to	1.25	1.65			
9		Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	1.18			
(Ages 6-21)		White	< 2.00	1.25	Met		1.22	0.73			
		2 or more races	< 2.00	1.29	Met	COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS	1.12	1.10			
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.35	Met	should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.41	0.86			

Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Somerset County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

				F	FY 2016 ¹	(SFY 2017)	Part B In	ndicators	
	SPP/APR Ind	Intellectual Disability	Specific Learning Disability	Emotional Disability	Speech or language Impairment	Autism	Other Health Impairment	Action Required	
	FFY 2016 results: Disproportionate representation	American Indian/Alaska Native	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race, ethnicity, and disability was not identified. No further action required.
	(≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race	Asian	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.44*	0.00	0.00	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018.
	as a result of inappropriate identification	Black/African American	1.24	1.66	0.90	0.93	1.08	1.67	The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS
1	Discrepancy exists in the	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity and disability.
	category N size is ≥ 30	White	1.73	1.08	1.87	1.23	1.89	1.07	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students
	* N size is < 30	2 or more races	0.00	1.65	1.57	2.16*	2.45*	0.97	with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to the identification of
		Hispanic/Latino	0.48	0.32	0.39	0.00	0.00	0.50	SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity and disability. To be decided FFY 2019.
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21								

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Somerset County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		FFY 20	16 (SFY 201	L7) Part B lı	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	Results
Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State	Local	Target		FFY	FFY
		Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days	100%	98.51%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results. Correction of the noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by April 6, 2019.	98.04%	96.61%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.06				Subsequent data demonstrated that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2015 was corrected within one year of the written finding.		
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	100%	98.70%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results. Correction of the noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by April 6, 2019. Subsequent data demonstrated that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2015 was corrected within one year of the written finding.	81.90%	100%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Somerset County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		Ot	ther Data (Considera	ntions		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	s Results
Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Timely correction of noncompliance (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
	State reported data are timely and accurate. (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
	Number of State complaints filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of State complaints identified with violation(s)	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of State findings from State complaints corrected in a timely manner	NA	NA	NA	TBD FFY 2017.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings identified with violations	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Fiscal Accountability: Number of findings identified	NA	1	NA	Correction of the identified fiscal noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by May 16, 2018.	NA	NA
	National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Somerset County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services



200 West Baltimore Street • Baltimore, MD 21201 • 410-767-0100 • 410-333-6442 TTY/TDD • marylandpublicschools.org atulation

March 8, 2018

Dr. Kelly L. Griffith Superintendent Talbot County Public Schools P. O. Box 1029 Easton, MD 21601

Dear Dr. Griffith:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]¹. The MSDE, DSE/EIS uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each local school system in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2016 Part B determinations, the Talbot County Public Schools has achieved the determination status of "Meets Requirements."

Attached please find supporting documentation:

- 1. FFY 2016 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a FFY 2017 local determination status on page two - please note the addition of fiscal accountability, State complaints/due process hearings, and preschool least restrictive environment data);
- 2. FFY 2016 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a six-year graduated results driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year); and
- 3. FFY 2016 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21).

The MSDE, DSE/EIS is committed to maintaining a balanced focus on results and compliance to narrow the school readiness and achievement gaps. Please note in FFY 2019 the determination status for each local school system will reflect the July 1, 2018 proposed revision to Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) regarding the identification of significant disproportionality. Maryland's proposed revised definition of significant disproportionality, as required by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), includes the three to five years of age population. Beginning in 2016, the DSE/EIS has provided guidance, technical assistance, and professional learning opportunities to support local school systems in the implementation of revised data collection processes and review of local policies, procedures, and practices. Please note that FFY 2016-17 and FFY 2017-18 data capturing suspension/expulsion (in and out of school), identification by race/ethnicity, and identification by race/ethnicity and disability will be used for the FFY 2019 local determination status.

¹ USDE, OSEP uses state National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) participation and performance data for students with disabilities to assign Maryland's special education determination status (see attached "NAEP"). DSE/EIS will be analyzing local testing protocols and decisions for the NAEP assessment.

Dr. Kelly L. Griffith March 8, 2018 Page Two

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the DSE/EIS five-year strategic plan provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon a comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), the Talbot County Public School Systems has been assigned the "Universal" tier for the delivery of the DSE/EIS technical assistance model for results.

Local school systems are strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decisionmaking, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DSE/EIS will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to equity and efficiency for excellence. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of the Talbot County Public Schools to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

arcella E. Tranczkowski Marcella E. Franczkowski, M.S. Assistant State Superintendent Division of Special Education/ Early Intervention Services

MEF/AM/cc

Attachments

c: Karen B. Salmon Carol A. Williamson Kristin Mentges

Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 Determination Overview Part C & Part B July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is focused on state accountability for Part C and Part B Indicators defined in the Office of Special Education's (OSEP) State Performance Plan. Annually, the OSEP evaluates each state's performance on these Indicators, and, as a result, assigns each state to one of four levels of determination: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) anticipates receiving its current federal determination status for Part C and Part B in June 2018.

To receive a State determination, the IDEA requires states to evaluate each Local Lead Agency (LLA) for the IDEA Part C early intervention services, and each Local School System (LSS) for the IDEA Part B special education performance on the established Indicators. As a result of that evaluation, the state is to assign each LLA and LSS to one of four levels of determination status: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." In making determinations, the OSEP requires states to consider:

- Performance on Results and Compliance Indicators;
- Whether data submitted by public agencies are valid, reliable (accurate), and timely;
- Uncorrected noncompliance from other sources;
- Any audit findings; and
- Other information.

The MSDE, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS), uses a results-based rubric on identified indicators to rate the performance for each LLA and LSS in meeting the State's targets as defined by the State Performance Plan for Part C and Part B. The status and results for each LLA and LSS will be posted, as required, on the MSDE Public Website of State Performance Plan Results at http://mdideareport.org no later than June 1, 2018. For the FFY 2016 determinations, the MSDE, DSE/EIS has included additional indicators highlighted below in blue.

The following **Part C** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

Results	Compliance
2. Primary Service Setting	1. Timely Services
3A. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Emotional Skills	7. 45 Day Timeline
3B. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Knowledge and Skills	8A. Transition - Transition Outcomes
3C. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Use of appropriate behaviors	8B. Transition - Notification to LSS
4A. Family Survey-Know their rights	8C. Transition - Timely Planning Meeting
4B. Family Survey-Effectively communicate their	
children's needs	
4C. Family Survey-Help their children develop and learn	
5. Child Find 0-1	
6. Child Find 0-3	
Correction of Noncompliance	Data and Submission of Reports
Timely Correction of Noncompliance	Timely and Accurate

Fiscal	Complaints and Due Process Hearing
Fiscal Accountability	Number of State complaints filed
	Number of State complaints with violations
	Number of due process hearings filed
	Number of due process hearings with violations

The following **Part B** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

	Results		Compliance
1.	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular	4B.	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant
	diploma		Discrepancy Disaggregated by
2.	Students with IEPs dropping out		Race/Ethnicity
5A	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	9.	Disproportionate Representation
	class 80% or more of the day;		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity
5B	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	10.	Disproportionate Representation
	class less than 40% of the day; and		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity and
5C	LRE for Students Ages 6-21- In separate		Disability
	schools, residential facilities, or housebound/	11.	Initial Evaluation Timeline
See S. C.	hospital placements.	12.	Part C to B Transition
4A	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant	13.	Secondary Transition
	Discrepancy in Single or Multiple		
	Suspensions/Expulsions > than 10 days.		
8A	Preschool Parent Survey; or		
8B	School Age Parent Survey.		
14	Post-School Outcomes		
	Correction of Noncompliance		Data and Submission of Reports
Timely	y Correction of Noncompliance	Time	ly and Accurate
	Fiscal		Complaints and Due Process Hearings
Fiscal	Accountability	Numl	per of State complaints filed
		Numl	per of State complaints with violations
		Numl	per of due process hearings filed
		Numl	per of due process hearings with violations

The MSDE supports the efforts and work of each LLA and LSS to improve performance and identify and correct noncompliance with federal and State requirements. Programmatic technical assistance and monitoring may include, but is not limited to teleconference calls, targeted technical assistance, professional learning opportunities, targeted funds for improvement, and onsite visits. In certain circumstances, enforcement options may be necessary for sustained poor performance and/or ongoing noncompliance.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a), if Maryland determines, for two consecutive years, a public agency needs assistance under §300.603(b)(1)(ii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Advise the public agency of available sources of technical assistance that may help to address the identified areas in which the public agency needs assistance;
- (2) Direct the use of federal funds, in accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a)(2), on the area or areas in which the public agency needs assistance; and/or
- (3) Identify the public agency as a high-risk grantee and impose special conditions on the public agency application for federal funds under the IDEA.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(b), if Maryland determines, for three or more consecutive years, that a public agency needs intervention under §300.603(b)(1)(iii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must implement enforcement actions. The MSDE may:

(1) Take any of the actions described in 34 C.F.R. §300.604(a);

- (2) Take one or more of the following actions:
- (i) Requires the public agency to prepare a corrective action plan or improvement plan if the MSDE determines that the public agency should be able to correct the problem within one year.
- (ii) Require the public agency to enter into a compliance agreement under section 457 of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended, 20 U.S.C. §1221 et seq. (GEPA), if the MSDE has reason to believe that the public agency cannot correct the problem within one year.
- (iii) For each year of the determination, withholds not less than 20 percent and not more than 50 percent of the State's funds under Section 611(e) of the IDEA, until the MSDE determines the public agency has sufficiently addressed the areas in which the public agency needs intervention.
- (iv) Seeks to recover funds under Section 452 of the GEPA.
- (v) Withholds, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under Part B of the IDEA.

In addition to the enforcement actions described above, if the MSDE determines a LLA or LSS needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of the IDEA or that there is a substantial failure to comply with any condition of a public agency's eligibility under the IDEA, in accordance with 34 C.F.R. §300.604(c), the MSDE may take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Recover Funds under section 452 of the GEPA; or
- (2) Withhold, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under the IDEA.

Prior to withholding any funds under the IDEA, the MSDE provides reasonable notice and an opportunity for a hearing to the public agency involved, pursuant to the procedures in in 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.180 through 300.183.

Framework for Assistance and Intervention

Needs Assistance

The process for the provision of assistance is dependent upon the degree of need within each LSS or LLA. The types of assistance must be individualized to address the root causes of the problem(s) for each indicator(s) in order to meet the specific identified needs. The MSDE will identify:

- What needs to change;
- How frequently and in what manner the local school system reports on progress; and
- The MSDE contact for support, information, documentation, and follow-up.

In collaboration with the MSDE, LSSs/LLAs will identify the type, amount, and frequency of assistance needed to address the specific needs. A wide variety of assistance is available. The MSDE is available to consult with LSSs/LLAs by telephone, fax, email, mail, or in-person. To enable a LSS to improve performance and meet compliance available assistance may include, but is not limited to the MSDE assisting a LSS/LLA to:

- Clarify/examine/develop/revise policies and procedures;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system administration and system of general supervision;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system of self-monitoring;
- Provide training/professional development;
- Access the advice of experts to address the area(s) of need, including explicit plans for addressing the area for concern within a specified period of time;

- Identify and implement professional development, instructional strategies, and methods of instruction that are based on scientifically based research;
- Designate and use distinguished superintendents, principals, special education administrators, special education teachers, and other teachers to provide advice, assistance, and support;
- Devise additional approaches to providing assistance, such as collaborating with institutions of higher education, educational service agencies, national centers of technical assistance supported under IDEA, and private providers of scientifically based technical assistance;
- Provide access to additional tools and resources;
- Share sample forms, procedures, processes;
- Provide information on where additional information, resources, support, and other organizations for support may be located;
- Examine/revise data collection;
- Improve collaboration/coordination with internal and external colleagues;
- Provide local director networking opportunities;
- Develop specialized programs/services;
- Examine options for increasing/reallocating personnel
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise fiscal management procedures and documentation
- Use evaluative measures to validate progress and correction of previous noncompliance
- Provide access to discretionary funds.

Needs Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Intervention" indicates a need for assistance in either performance and/or compliance areas within the LSS/LLA General Supervision process. Using the National Center for Special Education Accountability Monitoring (NCSEAM) "Developing and Implementing an Effective System of General Supervision: Part B," MSDE will direct the LSSILLA to examine, clarify, and develop an effective system of general supervision to address results and compliance. This may require more frequent MSDE oversight and direct onsite follow-up.

In collaboration with the LSSILLA, the MSDE may determine a local school system needs additional assistance beyond that listed above in the determination category of "Needs Assistance." Additional supports and required actions may include but are not limited to:

- Mandatory review of the local school system's system of general supervision to include:
 - o Local Self-Assessment of Performance and Compliance:
 - o Policies, procedures, and effective practices, including the local school system's required documentation of implementation;
 - o Integrated Monitoring Activities;
 - o Fiscal Management;
 - o Data Processes and Results;
 - o Improvement, Correction, Incentives, and Sanctions;
 - o Effective Dispute Resolution; and
 - o Directed Targeted Assistance and Professional Development.
- Identification and prioritization of corrective actions based upon the analysis of their system of general supervision, needs and resources;
- Development and implementation of required Corrective Action Plans with required timelines for submission, provision of evidence and data to demonstrate progress and correction as soon as possible but in no case later than one year;
- MSDE prescribing the use of discretionary funds;

- Identification of a local school system as a high risk grantee if a local school system is in the category of Needs Intervention for 2 years;
- Required quarterly reporting on status of correction of noncompliance

Needs Substantial Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Substantial Intervention" indicates a need for significant assistance and support in multiple results and compliance indicators. In addition to the available assistance listed above, to address "Needs Intervention," additional supports and required actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Reduce or eliminate the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Passthrough funds;
- Withhold or reduce Part B Passthrough funds pending completion of the corrective actions;
- Withhold or reduce State funds for special education services pending the completion of corrective actions;
- Assign MSDE staff on-site to assist in the completion of the corrective actions; and
- Assign a monitor to oversee the local school system's special education programs, with the responsibility for the costs of monitoring to be determined by the Department.

Prior to reducing or withholding funds, ail requirements regarding proper notice by MSDE to the local school system shall be met, consistent with 20 U.S.C. §1413(c) and (d).

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators										
	SPP/APR Inc	licators		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results			
Re	sults Indicator Co		State	Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY			
1.c	·		Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014			
1	Students with IEPs gradiploma - 4 year cohor 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01	≥ 65.91%	60.00%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	80.95%	72.00%				
2	Students with IEPs dropping out (ESSA Option 2 leaver rate based on lag data for 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.08.01.07		≤ 3.51%	≤ 3.00	Met	Target met, no further action required.	3.45%	≤ 3.00%			
	State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA	AMO for Reading	NA	NA	NA	Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.	NA	NA			
3A	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	AMO for Math	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA			
3.D	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA	Math	≥ 95.00%	98.60%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	07.440/	N/A			
3B	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	13A.05.11.05 COMAR Reading		98.64%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	97.44%	NA			

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Talbot County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

				FFY	2016 (SFY 2	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Inc	dicator			FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014	
	State Assessment: Proficiency rate for	3	Mathematics	16.50%	14.00%	Not Met	Target not met in reading and math for the 3rd grade through the 8th grade and high school.	14.63%	NA
	children with IEPs against grade level, modified and	3	Reading	18.60%	16.00%	Not Met	Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	12.20%	NA
	alternate academic achievement	4	Mathematics	16.15%	10.64%	Not Met	practices targeted to improve student results.	10.53%	NA
	standards	4	Reading	21.10%	12.77%	Not Met		7.89%	NA
		5	Mathematics	18.85%	7.32%	Not Met		11.63%	NA
		3	Reading	23.60%	9.76%	Not Met		2.38%	NA
3C		6	Mathematics	20.55%	2.56%	Not Met		3.03%	NA
		0	Reading	26.10%	2.56%	Not Met		6.06%	NA
		7	Mathematics	22.25%	8.82%	Not Met		19.05%	NA
		,	Reading	28.60%	17.65%	Not Met		19.05%	NA
		8	Mathematics	23.95%	8.70%	Not Met		3.57%	NA
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05		Reading	31.10%	13.04%	Not Met		3.57%	NA
	COMAR 13A.03.06.05	HS	Mathematics	56.08%	30.77%	Not Met		35.29%	NA
	151105.00.00	110	Reading	50.52%	7.69%	Not Met		35.71%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Talbot County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Talbot County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators the Period July 1, 2016 June 30, 2017 Pl

		FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016			Previous Results	
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY
			Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	1.39	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	0.00*	8.32*
4A	Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	*	*

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Talbot County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Indica	ators		FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose day (MD SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	LRE is $\geq 80\%$ of the	≥ 69.90%	77.72%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	78.44%	79.18%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose day (MD SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	LRE is $\leq 40\%$ of the	≤ 11.76%	9.28%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	8.83%	8.74%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose public/private day and resi home and hospital facilitie COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16	dential facilities and	≤ 5.94%	1.59%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	0.52%	0.77%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Se childhood setting the majo COMAR 13A.05.01.10	ر ع	≥ 64.70%	98.65%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	98.57%	98.15%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Se COMAR 13A.05.01.10	•	≤ 18.30%	0.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	0.00%	1.85%
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥68.90%	83.33%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	72.73%	92.86%
7A	skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥68.00%	62.50%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	82.35%	57.89%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Talbot County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B I	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Indica	atore		FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥67.60%	85.71%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	73.33%	93.33%
/B	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥57.20%	62.50%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	64.71%	42.11%
- 0	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥63.10%	83.33%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	83.33%	66.67%
7C	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥65.70%	75.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	72.00%	63.16%
8	Parent Survey	Age 3-5	≥83.00%	100%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	50.00%	NA
0		Age 6-21	≥70.00%	73.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	72.00%	67.00%
	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they	A. Higher ED w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥25.00%	Small cell size	NA	No further action required.	13.79%	3.70%
14	left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07	B. Higher ED or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥51.00%	Small cell size	NA	No further action required.	51.72	66.67%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	C. Higher ED or training program or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥57.00%	Small cell size	NA	No further action required.	62.07%	74.07%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Talbot County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indicato			FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	Results
Results	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	*	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	*	*
	expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Asian	< 2.00	0.00	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	0.00	0.00
	Discrepancy exists in the	Black/African American	< 2.00	0.00	Met	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	11.50*	15.25*
4B	category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	*	Met	calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine	*	*
(Ages 6-21)	* No suspension	White	< 2.00	2.06*	Met	the factors that contribute to suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity.	0.98	5.56*
	of disabled or nondisabled students	2 or more races	< 2.00	0.00	Met	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities	0.00	46.68*
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.00	Met	ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.00	0.00

 ¹ Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Talbot County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Talbot County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators of for the Period July 1, 2016 June 30, 2017 PR

			FFY :	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B	Indicators		"
	SPP/APR Indicato			FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous Results	
Results	Indicator Comp	oliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of	Asian	< 2.00	0.34	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	0.22	0.37
	inappropriate identification	Black/African American	< 2.00	1.74	Met	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	1.66	1.65
9	Discrepancy exists in the category	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.00	Met	calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine	0.00	0.00
(Ages 6-21)	N size is ≥ 30 * N size is ≤ 30	White	< 2.00	0.94	Met	the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to	0.93	0.86
		2 or more races	< 2.00	0.47	Met	COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS	0.66	0.62
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.62	Met	should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.71	0.72

 ¹ Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Talbot County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			F	FY 2016 ¹	(SFY 2017) I	Part B In	dicators	
SPP/APR Indicators Compliance Indicator		Intellectual Disability	Specific Learning Disability	Emotional Disability	Speech or Ianguage Impairment	Autism	Other Health Impairment	Action Required
FFY 2016 results: Disproportionate representation	American Indian/Alaska Native	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race, ethnicity, and disability was not identified. No further action required.
(≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race	Asian	1.60	0.31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018.
as a result of inappropriate identification	Black/African American	1.92	1.90	0.00	2.18*	2.06*	0.93	The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS
Discrepancy exists in the	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity and-disability .
category N size is ≥ 30	White	0.40	0.91	19.92*	0.78	1.16	0.00	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students
* N size is < 30	2 or more races	0.68	0.57	1.70	0.22	0.62	1.50	with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to the identification of
COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	1.03	0.52	0.00	0.76	0.16	1.20	SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity <u>and</u> disability. To be decided FFY 2019.

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Talbot County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		FFY 20	16 (SFY 201	L7) Part B lı	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators	FFY 2016			Action Required	Previous	Results
Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days.	100%	97.96%	Not Met	Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results. Correction of the noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by April 6, 2019.	99.31%	99.28%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.06				Subsequent data demonstrated that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2015 was corrected within one year of the written finding.		
	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday				Target met. No further action required.		
12	COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100%	100%	Met		88.89%	100%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
13	COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	10070	10070	ivict		10070	10070

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Talbot County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		Ot	ther Data (Considera	ntions		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous Results	
Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Timely correction of noncompliance (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
	State reported data are timely and accurate. (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
	Number of State complaints filed	NA	1	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of State complaints identified with violation(s)	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of State findings from State complaints corrected in a timely manner	NA	NA	NA	TBD FFY 2017	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings identified with violations	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Fiscal Accountability: Number of findings identified	NA	1	NA	Correction of the identified area of noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by June 30, 2018.	NA	NA
	National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Talbot County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services



200 West Baltimore Street • Baltimore, MD 21201 • 410-767-0100 • 410-333-6442 TTY/TDD • marylandpublicschools.org

March 12, 2018

Revised from March 8, 2018 Correspondence

Dr. Boyd J. Michael Superintendent Washington Co. Public Schools 10435 Downsville Pike Hagerstown, MD 21740

Dear Dr. Michael:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]¹. The MSDE, DSE/EIS uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each local school system in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2016 Part B determinations, the Washington County Public Schools has achieved the determination status of "Meets Requirements."

Attached please find supporting documentation:

- 1. FFY 2016 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a FFY 2017 local determination status on page two please note the addition of fiscal accountability, State complaints/due process hearings, and preschool least restrictive environment data);
- 2. FFY 2016 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a six-year graduated results driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year); and
- 3. FFY 2016 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21).

The MSDE, DSE/EIS is committed to maintaining a balanced focus on results and compliance to narrow the school readiness and achievement gaps. Please note in FFY 2019 the determination status for each local school system will reflect the July 1, 2018 proposed revision to Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) regarding the identification of significant disproportionality. Maryland's proposed revised definition of significant disproportionality, as required by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), includes the three to five years of age population. Beginning in 2016, the DSE/EIS has provided guidance, technical assistance, and professional learning opportunities to support local school systems in the implementation of revised data collection processes and review of local policies, procedures, and practices. Please note that FFY 2016-17 and FFY 2017-18 data capturing suspension/expulsion (in and out of school), identification by race/ethnicity, and identification by race/ethnicity and disability will be used for the FFY 2019 local determination status.

¹ USDE, OSEP uses state National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) participation and performance data for students with disabilities to assign Maryland's special education determination status (see attached "NAEP"). DSE/EIS will be analyzing local testing protocols and decisions for the NAEP assessment.

Dr. Boyd J. Michael March 12, 2018 Page Two

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the DSE/EIS five-year strategic plan provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon a comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), the Washington County Public School Systems has been assigned the "Universal" tier for the delivery of the DSE/EIS technical assistance model for results.

Local school systems are strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DSE/EIS will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to equity and efficiency for excellence. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of the Washington County Public Schools to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Marcella & Tranczkowski

Marcella E. Franczkowski, M.S. Assistant State Superintendent Division of Special Education/ Early Intervention Services

MEF/AM/cc

Attachments

c: Karen B. Salmon
Carol A. Williamson
Jeff Gladhill

Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 Determination Overview Part C & Part B July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is focused on state accountability for Part C and Part B Indicators defined in the Office of Special Education's (OSEP) State Performance Plan. Annually, the OSEP evaluates each state's performance on these Indicators, and, as a result, assigns each state to one of four levels of determination: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) anticipates receiving its current federal determination status for Part C and Part B in June 2018.

To receive a State determination, the IDEA requires states to evaluate each Local Lead Agency (LLA) for the IDEA Part C early intervention services, and each Local School System (LSS) for the IDEA Part B special education performance on the established Indicators. As a result of that evaluation, the state is to assign each LLA and LSS to one of four levels of determination status: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." In making determinations, the OSEP requires states to consider:

- Performance on Results and Compliance Indicators;
- Whether data submitted by public agencies are valid, reliable (accurate), and timely;
- Uncorrected noncompliance from other sources;
- Any audit findings; and
- Other information.

The MSDE, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS), uses a results-based rubric on identified indicators to rate the performance for each LLA and LSS in meeting the State's targets as defined by the State Performance Plan for Part C and Part B. The status and results for each LLA and LSS will be posted, as required, on the MSDE Public Website of State Performance Plan Results at http://mdideareport.org no later than June 1, 2018. For the FFY 2016 determinations, the MSDE, DSE/EIS has included additional indicators highlighted below in blue.

The following **Part C** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

Results	Compliance
2. Primary Service Setting	1. Timely Services
3A. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Emotional Skills	7. 45 Day Timeline
3B. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Knowledge and Skills	8A. Transition - Transition Outcomes
3C. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Use of appropriate behaviors	8B. Transition - Notification to LSS
4A. Family Survey-Know their rights	8C. Transition - Timely Planning Meeting
4B. Family Survey-Effectively communicate their	
children's needs	
4C. Family Survey-Help their children develop and learn	
5. Child Find 0-1	
6. Child Find 0-3	
Correction of Noncompliance	Data and Submission of Reports
Timely Correction of Noncompliance	Timely and Accurate

Fiscal	Complaints and Due Process Hearing					
Fiscal Accountability	Number of State complaints filed					
	Number of State complaints with violations					
	Number of due process hearings filed					
	Number of due process hearings with violations					

The following **Part B** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

	Results		Compliance
1.	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular	4B.	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant
	diploma		Discrepancy Disaggregated by
2.	Students with IEPs dropping out		Race/Ethnicity
5A	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	9.	Disproportionate Representation
	class 80% or more of the day;		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity
5B	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	10.	Disproportionate Representation
	class less than 40% of the day; and		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity and
5C	LRE for Students Ages 6-21- In separate		Disability
	schools, residential facilities, or housebound/	11.	Initial Evaluation Timeline
See S. C.	hospital placements.	12.	Part C to B Transition
4A	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant	13.	Secondary Transition
	Discrepancy in Single or Multiple		
	Suspensions/Expulsions > than 10 days.		
8A	Preschool Parent Survey; or		
8B	School Age Parent Survey.		
14	Post-School Outcomes		
	Correction of Noncompliance		Data and Submission of Reports
Timely	y Correction of Noncompliance	Time	ly and Accurate
	Fiscal		Complaints and Due Process Hearings
Fiscal	Accountability	Numl	per of State complaints filed
		Numl	per of State complaints with violations
		Numl	per of due process hearings filed
		Numl	per of due process hearings with violations

The MSDE supports the efforts and work of each LLA and LSS to improve performance and identify and correct noncompliance with federal and State requirements. Programmatic technical assistance and monitoring may include, but is not limited to teleconference calls, targeted technical assistance, professional learning opportunities, targeted funds for improvement, and onsite visits. In certain circumstances, enforcement options may be necessary for sustained poor performance and/or ongoing noncompliance.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a), if Maryland determines, for two consecutive years, a public agency needs assistance under §300.603(b)(1)(ii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Advise the public agency of available sources of technical assistance that may help to address the identified areas in which the public agency needs assistance;
- (2) Direct the use of federal funds, in accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a)(2), on the area or areas in which the public agency needs assistance; and/or
- (3) Identify the public agency as a high-risk grantee and impose special conditions on the public agency application for federal funds under the IDEA.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(b), if Maryland determines, for three or more consecutive years, that a public agency needs intervention under §300.603(b)(1)(iii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must implement enforcement actions. The MSDE may:

(1) Take any of the actions described in 34 C.F.R. §300.604(a);

- (2) Take one or more of the following actions:
- (i) Requires the public agency to prepare a corrective action plan or improvement plan if the MSDE determines that the public agency should be able to correct the problem within one year.
- (ii) Require the public agency to enter into a compliance agreement under section 457 of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended, 20 U.S.C. §1221 et seq. (GEPA), if the MSDE has reason to believe that the public agency cannot correct the problem within one year.
- (iii) For each year of the determination, withholds not less than 20 percent and not more than 50 percent of the State's funds under Section 611(e) of the IDEA, until the MSDE determines the public agency has sufficiently addressed the areas in which the public agency needs intervention.
- (iv) Seeks to recover funds under Section 452 of the GEPA.
- (v) Withholds, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under Part B of the IDEA.

In addition to the enforcement actions described above, if the MSDE determines a LLA or LSS needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of the IDEA or that there is a substantial failure to comply with any condition of a public agency's eligibility under the IDEA, in accordance with 34 C.F.R. §300.604(c), the MSDE may take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Recover Funds under section 452 of the GEPA; or
- (2) Withhold, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under the IDEA.

Prior to withholding any funds under the IDEA, the MSDE provides reasonable notice and an opportunity for a hearing to the public agency involved, pursuant to the procedures in in 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.180 through 300.183.

Framework for Assistance and Intervention

Needs Assistance

The process for the provision of assistance is dependent upon the degree of need within each LSS or LLA. The types of assistance must be individualized to address the root causes of the problem(s) for each indicator(s) in order to meet the specific identified needs. The MSDE will identify:

- What needs to change;
- How frequently and in what manner the local school system reports on progress; and
- The MSDE contact for support, information, documentation, and follow-up.

In collaboration with the MSDE, LSSs/LLAs will identify the type, amount, and frequency of assistance needed to address the specific needs. A wide variety of assistance is available. The MSDE is available to consult with LSSs/LLAs by telephone, fax, email, mail, or in-person. To enable a LSS to improve performance and meet compliance available assistance may include, but is not limited to the MSDE assisting a LSS/LLA to:

- Clarify/examine/develop/revise policies and procedures;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system administration and system of general supervision;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system of self-monitoring;
- Provide training/professional development;
- Access the advice of experts to address the area(s) of need, including explicit plans for addressing the area for concern within a specified period of time;

- Identify and implement professional development, instructional strategies, and methods of instruction that are based on scientifically based research;
- Designate and use distinguished superintendents, principals, special education administrators, special education teachers, and other teachers to provide advice, assistance, and support;
- Devise additional approaches to providing assistance, such as collaborating with institutions of higher education, educational service agencies, national centers of technical assistance supported under IDEA, and private providers of scientifically based technical assistance;
- Provide access to additional tools and resources;
- Share sample forms, procedures, processes;
- Provide information on where additional information, resources, support, and other organizations for support may be located;
- Examine/revise data collection;
- Improve collaboration/coordination with internal and external colleagues;
- Provide local director networking opportunities;
- Develop specialized programs/services;
- Examine options for increasing/reallocating personnel
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise fiscal management procedures and documentation
- Use evaluative measures to validate progress and correction of previous noncompliance
- Provide access to discretionary funds.

Needs Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Intervention" indicates a need for assistance in either performance and/or compliance areas within the LSS/LLA General Supervision process. Using the National Center for Special Education Accountability Monitoring (NCSEAM) "Developing and Implementing an Effective System of General Supervision: Part B," MSDE will direct the LSSILLA to examine, clarify, and develop an effective system of general supervision to address results and compliance. This may require more frequent MSDE oversight and direct onsite follow-up.

In collaboration with the LSSILLA, the MSDE may determine a local school system needs additional assistance beyond that listed above in the determination category of "Needs Assistance." Additional supports and required actions may include but are not limited to:

- Mandatory review of the local school system's system of general supervision to include:
 - o Local Self-Assessment of Performance and Compliance:
 - o Policies, procedures, and effective practices, including the local school system's required documentation of implementation;
 - o Integrated Monitoring Activities;
 - o Fiscal Management;
 - o Data Processes and Results;
 - o Improvement, Correction, Incentives, and Sanctions;
 - o Effective Dispute Resolution; and
 - o Directed Targeted Assistance and Professional Development.
- Identification and prioritization of corrective actions based upon the analysis of their system of general supervision, needs and resources;
- Development and implementation of required Corrective Action Plans with required timelines for submission, provision of evidence and data to demonstrate progress and correction as soon as possible but in no case later than one year;
- MSDE prescribing the use of discretionary funds;

- Identification of a local school system as a high risk grantee if a local school system is in the category of Needs Intervention for 2 years;
- Required quarterly reporting on status of correction of noncompliance

Needs Substantial Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Substantial Intervention" indicates a need for significant assistance and support in multiple results and compliance indicators. In addition to the available assistance listed above, to address "Needs Intervention," additional supports and required actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Reduce or eliminate the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Passthrough funds;
- Withhold or reduce Part B Passthrough funds pending completion of the corrective actions;
- Withhold or reduce State funds for special education services pending the completion of corrective actions;
- Assign MSDE staff on-site to assist in the completion of the corrective actions; and
- Assign a monitor to oversee the local school system's special education programs, with the responsibility for the costs of monitoring to be determined by the Department.

Prior to reducing or withholding funds, ail requirements regarding proper notice by MSDE to the local school system shall be met, consistent with 20 U.S.C. §1413(c) and (d).

FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators										
	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			FFY 2016			Previous Results			
Re				Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014		
1	Students with IEPs gra diploma - 4 year cohor 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01	≥ 65.91%	66.89%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	62.75%	69.89%			
2	Students with IEPs dropping out (ESSA Option 2 leaver rate based on lag data for 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.08.01.07		≤ 3.51%	≤ 3.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	≤ 3.00%	3.16%		
	State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA	AMO for Reading	NA	NA	NA	Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.	NA	NA		
3A	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	AMO for Math	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA		
3B	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA	Math	≥ 95.00%	99.40%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	= 99.05%	NA		
36	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	A.05.11.05 MAR Reading		98.83%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	7 99.03%	IVA		

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Washington County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators									
	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			FFY 2016				Previous Results	
Re					Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	State Assessment: Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level, modified and alternate academic achievement standards	3	Mathematics	16.50%	8.70%	Not Met	Target not met in reading and math for the 3rd grade through the 8th grade and high school. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	7.19%	NA
			Reading	18.60%	8.70%	Not Met		2.90%	NA
		4	Mathematics	16.15%	9.03%	Not Met		7.32%	NA
			Reading	21.10%	6.49%	Not Met		4.91%	NA
		5	Mathematics	18.85%	9.09%	Not Met		7.05%	NA
			Reading	23.60%	7.83%	Not Met		5.13%	NA
3C		6	Mathematics	20.55%	7.10%	Not Met		9.15%	NA
			Reading	26.10%	5.81%	Not Met		6.10%	NA
		7	Mathematics	22.25%	14.71%	Not Met		6.71%	NA
			Reading	28.60%	15.29%	Not Met		8.48%	NA
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	8	Mathematics	23.95%	9.41%	Not Met		11.11%	NA
			Reading	31.10%	8.82%	Not Met		9.83%	NA
		HS	Mathematics	56.08%	42.26%	Not Met		51.30%	NA
			Reading	50.52%	13.07%	Not Met		29.59%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Washington County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators									
CDD/ADD Indicators		FFY 2016				Previous Results			
Re	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY		
			Results ¹	Met		2015	2014		
14	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	*	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	2.30*	1.33		
4A	Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	*	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	*	*		

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Washington County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	.016 (SFY 20	17) Part B	Indicators		
SPP/APR Indicators			FFY 2016				Previous Results	
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY
			Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose Ll day (MD SSIS LRE A)	≥ 69.90%	77.93%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	78.57%	79.58%	
	COMAR 13A.05.01.10 Students aged 6-21 whose Ll	RF is $< 40\%$ of the				Target met, no further action required.		
5B	dov (MD SSIS I DE C)			10.99%	Met	ranget met, no rander action required.	10.28%	10.24%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.10							
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is separate public/private day and residential facilities and home and hospital facilities		≤ 5.94%	5.57%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	6.37%	5.48%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16							
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in regular early childhood setting the majority of the day		≥ 64.70%	68.30%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	71.27%	67.94%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.10							
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class		≤ 18.30%	7.62%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	4.00%	6.11%
7A	ε	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥68.90%	62.50%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	66.67%	67.35%
A	ll l	2. Exits within age expectations	≥68.00%	49.02%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	54.24%	68.66%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Washington County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Washington County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B I	ndicators		
	CDD /ADD In dies			FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	SPP/APR Indicator Com	pliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥67.60%	67.05%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	70.00%	52.46%
/B	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥57.20%	42.16%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	50.85%	41.79%
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥63.10%	67.14%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	68.89%	61.40%
7C	meet their needs. COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥65.70%	54.90%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	55.93%	58.21%
8	Parent Survey	Age 3-5		75.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	45.00%	47.00%
8	·	Age 6-21	≥70.00%	68.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	45.00%	42.00%
	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they	A. Higher ED w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥25.00%	20.90%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	14.37%	17.99%
14	left school, and were:	B. Higher ED or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥51.00%	72.39%	Met	Target met, no further action required. Please share promising practices.	53.45%	54.50%
	COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	C. Higher ED or training program or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥57.00%	81.34%	Met	Target met, no further action required. Please share promising practices.	56.90%	56.61%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Washington County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Washington County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indicato			FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	Results
Results	Indicator Comp	liance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Asian	< 2.00	*	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	0.00	0.00
	Discrepancy exists in the	Black/African American	< 2.00	*	Met	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	12.70*	8.32*
4B	category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	*	Met	calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine	0.00	0.00
(Ages 6-21)	* No suspension	White	< 2.00	*	Met	the factors that contribute to suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity.	0.00	0.00
	of disabled or nondisabled students	2 or more races	< 2.00	*	Met	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities	0.00	0.00
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	*	Met	disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.		0.00

Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Washington County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Washington County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

			FFY :	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B	Indicators		,,
	SPP/APR Indicato			FFY 2016		Action Required	Previou	s Results
Results	Indicator Comp	oliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	1.16	Met	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	1.02	1.58
	ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of	Asian	< 2.00	0.47	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	1.49	0.46
	inappropriate identification	Black/African American	< 2.00	1.52	Met	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	1.53	1.43
9	exists in the category	rategory Niciza is > 30 Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	2.88*	NA	calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine	2.27*	0.98
(Ages 6-21)	* N size is ≤ 30	White	< 2.00	0.91	Met	the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to	0.90	0.86
		2 or more races	< 2.00	0.84	Met	COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS	0.79	0.81
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.68	Met	should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.71	0.87

Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Washington County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Washington County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

				F	FY 2016 ¹	(SFY 2017)	Part B Ir	dicators			
	SPP/APR Ind		Intellectual Disability	Specific Learning Disability	Emotional Disability	Speech or Ianguage Impairment	Autism	Other Health Impairment	Action Required		
	FFY 2016 results: Disproportionate representation	American Indian/Alaska Native	0.00	1.35	0.00	2.61*	0.00	0.00	Disproportionality for Black/African American students with an Intellectual Disability was identified. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes		
	(≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race.	Asian	0.19	0.15	0.00	0.93	1.27	0.36	and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.		
	cutegories by ruce.	Black/African American	2.02	1.65	1.22	1.28	1.23	1.51	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the		
	Discrepancy exists in the	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	6.39*	5.02*	0.00	4.85*	0.00	0.00	current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to		
10	category N size is ≥ 30	White	0.75	0.85	1.23	0.79	1.12	1.07	determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity <u>and</u> disability.		
	* N size is < 30	2 or more races	0.72	0.74	1.39	0.98	0.89	0.79	Targeted review to be scheduled by MSDE, DSE/EIS to determine if disproportionality is a result of inappropriate identification.		
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	0.65	0.79	0.65	0.92	0.41	0.54	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to the identification of SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity and disability. To be decided FFY 2019.		

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Washington County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Washington County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

		FFY 20)16 (SFY 201	.7) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previou	s Results
Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days COMAR 13A.05.01.06	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Washington County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Washington County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

		Ot	ther Data (Considera			
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	s Results
Results I	ndicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Timely correction of noncompliance (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
	State reported data are timely and accurate. (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met. No further action required.	100%	100%
	Number of State complaints filed	NA	1	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of State complaints identified with violation(s)	NA	1	NA	Correction of the identified noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by August 2018.	NA	NA
	Number of State findings from State complaints corrected in a timely manner	NA	NA	NA	TBD FFY 2017.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Number of due process hearings identified with violations	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	Fiscal Accountability: Number of findings identified	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
	National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Washington County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services



Karen B. Salmon, Ph.D.

State Superintendent of Schools

MEMORANDUM

TO: Dr. Donna C. Hanlin

Superintendent

FROM:

Marcella E. Franczkowski, M.S. Marcella E. State Superintendent Division of S Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

RE: Amendment to FFY 2016 Part B Local Determination

DATE: April 6, 2018

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS) revisited the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2016 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Report (APR) Part B Indicators issued on March 8, 2018 (see attached) for the Wicomico County Public Schools (WCPS). In this communication, you were informed that the WCPS achieved the determination status of "Needs Assistance-Year 1" for the implementation of special education and related services for students ages 3 through 21 with an Individualized Education Program (IEP). Following a comprehensive reexamination of the data, I am proud to share with you that for FFY 2016, WCPS has achieved "Meets Requirements." Please note the due date for any assigned Improvement Plan(s) and/or Corrective Action Plan(s) are now due by Monday, May 7, 2018.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to equity and excellence in providing education to Maryland's students.

Attachments

c: Bonnie L. Walston

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017 Wicomico County

Previous Results	FFY FFY	2015 2014		54.02% 60.26%				
H 70	207	The state of the s	Plan by			tion.	tion.	tion.
Action Dominion	Parion vedanied		Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.		Target met, no further action required.	Target met, no further action required. Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.	Target met, no further action required. Waived by U.S. Department of Educat Change in methodology.	Target met, no further action required. Waived by U.S. Department of Educat Change in methodology. Target met, no further action required.
	Target	Met	Not Met		Met	Met NA	Met NA	Met NA Met
	Local	Results ¹	54.37%		3.40%	3.40% NA	3.40% NA	3.40% NA NA 98.50%
A CHARLES OF THE PARTY OF THE P	State	Target	≥ 65.91%		≤3.51%	< 3.51% NA	≥ 3.51% NA NA	≤ 3.51% NA NA ≥ 95.00%
SPD/ADR Indicators	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator		Students with IEPs graduating with a regular diploma - 4 year cohort (ESSA lag data based on 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01		Students with IEPs dropping out (ESSA Option 2 leaver rate based on lag data for 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.08.01.07	Students with IEPs dropping out (ESSA Option 2 leaver rate based on lag data for 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.08.01.07 State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA	Students with IEPs dropping out (ESSA Option 2 leaver rate based on lag data for 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.08.01.07 State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	Students with IEPs dropping out (ESSA Option 2 leaver rate based on lag data for 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.08.01.07 State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05 State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA
	Requite		Stud dipk 2015 1 CON CON	Stud	2 COM			

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Wicomico County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017 Wicomico County

	FFY 2016	EFY 2016 (SEY 2017) Part B Indicators	- R Indicators		
	FF	FFY 2016		Previous Results	Results
SPP/APR Indicators Sator Compliance Indicator			: Action Required	FFY	FFY
Target		Results ¹ Met		2015	2014
Mathematics 16.50%		25.47% Met		19.44%	NA
Reading 18.60%		14.29% Not Met		10.34%	NA
Mathematics 16.15%		12.59% Not Met	t Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based mactices targeted	5.26%	NA
* Reading 21.10%		9.15% Not Met		7.51%	NA
Mathematics 18.85%		6.85% Not Met		9.85%	NA
Reading 23.60%		5.48% Not Met		8.27%	NA
Mathematics 20.55%		4.92% Not Met		8.33%	NA
Reading	26.10% 5	5.83% Not Met		4.51%	NA
Mathematics 22.25%		10.48% Not Met		5.88%	NA
Reading	8 8.60% 8	8.80% Not Met		9.49%	NA
Mathematics 23.95%		6.56% Not Met		9.38%	NA
Reading 31.10%		4.03% Not Met		8.00%	NA
Mathematics 56.0	56.08% 31	31.17% Not Met		46.58%	NA
Reading	50.52% 7.	7.89% Not Met		33.80%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Wicomico County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Wicomico County

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017

	Previous Results	FFY	2014				0.79								3.63				
	Previous	FFY	2015				0.67								3.58*				
ndicators		Action Required		Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their	nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required.	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	regulations will have an impact on the current	calculate and to determine disproportionality for	policies, procedures, and practices to determine	and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled neers by race/ethnicity	Disproportionality in the suspension and	expulsion of SWD when compared to their	nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required.	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current	methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for	this indicator. The LSS should review its	policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the ensuencion	and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.
17) Part B I		Target	Met				NA								Met				
FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators	FFY 2016	Local	Results ¹				1.14*								0.00				
FFY 2		State	Target				≤ 8.00								< 8.00				
	SPD/APR Indicators	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled	Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30	* N size is < 30	* No suspension of disabled or nondisabled			COMAR 13A.08.03.03	Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs.	nondisabled	Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30	* N size is < 30	* No suspension of disabled or nondisabled	students			COMAR 13A.08.03.03
		Res									4A								

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Wicomico County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Wicomico County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017

			FFY 2	016 (SFY 20	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators	ndicators		
				FFY 2016			Previous Results	Recults
	~ .	itors	State	Local	Target	Action Beauired	FFV	FFV
Z Y	Kesuits indicator Comp	Compliance Indicator	Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is \geq 80% of the day (MD SSIS LRE A)	LRE is ≥ 80% of the	> 69.90%	77.52%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	77.21%	77.77%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.10							
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is < 40% of the day (MD SSIS LRE C)	LRE is < 40% of the	< 11.76%	12.63%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and	13.94%	13.57%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.10					student results.		
	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is separate public/private day and residential facilities and	LRE is separate dential facilities and				Target met, no further action required.		
5C	home and hospital facilities	S	< 5.94%	0.87%	Met		0.67%	0.64%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16							
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in regular early childhood setting the majority of the day	rivices in regular early rity of the day	> 64.70%	61.24%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve	54.82%	53.24%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.10					student results.		
68	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class	parate School or Class	< 18 30%	%00.0	Met	Target met, no further action required.	1 57%	7 170%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.10			2000	10111		1.26/0	4.17/0
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved	1. Exits with substantial growth	\000 03\	òòò	TOWER.	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and	1000) 001
V L	positive social-emotional skills		≥08.90%	0.00%	Not Met	evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	0001	53.19%
4		2. Exits within age expectations			,	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and	,	
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	•	>68.00%	00.07%	Not Met	evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	%001	66.30%

REVISED April 5, 2018

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Wicomico County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017 Wicomico County

			FFY 2	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators	17) Part B I:	ndicators		
				FFY 2016			Previous Results	Reculte
	SPP/APR Indicators	ators		0707 1 11	With Table Street, Str		Frevious	Results
Re	Results Indicator Com	Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
78	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	>67.60%	66.67%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	100%	52.31%
1	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	>57.20%	83.33%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	52.36%
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥63.10%	50.00%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	100%	53.06%
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	>65.70%	83.33%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	72.83%
∞	Parent Survey	Age 3-5	>83.00%	82.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	27.00%	53.00%
)		Age 6-21	>70.00%	70.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	40.00%	26.00%
	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they	A. Higher ED w/in 1 year of leaving HS	>25.00%	20.24%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	10.23%	%60.6
14	left school, and were:	B. Higher ED or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	>51.00%	64.29%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	55.68%	51.14%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	C. Higher ED or training program or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	>57.00%	75.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	73.86%	60.23%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Wicomico County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

REVISED April 5, 2018

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017 Wicomico County

	Previous Results	FFY	2014	00.00	0.00	7.04*	0.00	98.0	00.00	2.18	
	Previous	FFY	2015	0.00	0.00	1.19	: *:	0.37	0.00	0.77	
ndicators	Action Required			Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine	the factors that contribute to suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity.	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities	ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to	determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.
FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators		Target	Met	Met	Met	NA	Met	Met	Met	Met	
016 (SFY 20	FFY 2016	Local	Results ¹	0.00	0.00	2.00*	*	0.14	98.0	0.00	
FFY 2		State	Target	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	
	S	Compliance Indicator		American Indian/Alaska Native	Asian	Black/African American	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	White	2 or more races	Hispanic/Latino	
	SPP/APR Indicators	Results Indicator Compl		Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and	expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Discrepancy exists in the	category N size is ≥ 30		of disabled or nondisabled students		COMAR 13A.08.01.21
		Results					48	(Ages 6-21)			

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Wicomico County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

REVISED April 5, 2018

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017 Wicomico County

	- Doculto	Previous Results	FFY 2014		1.21	0.38	1.54	0.74	62.0	06:0	06:0	
	Drowing	Previous	2015		0.49	0:30	1.51	0.00	68.0	1.02	1.80	
ndicators	Action Required	Action Required			Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to	calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine	identification of SWD by race/ethnicity.	COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities	should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to	determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.
FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators			Target . Met		Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	Met	
	FFY 2016	Local Results ¹	0.46	0.30	1.52	00.0	0.87	66.0	0.83			
FFY 2			State Target R		< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	< 2.00	
	y	Compliance Indicator	iance marcarol		American Indian/Alaska Native	Asian	Black/African American	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	White	2 or more races	Hispanic/Latino	
	SPP/APR Indicators				Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students	ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of	inappropriate identification	Userepancy exists in the category	* N size is < 30			COMAR 13A.08.01.21
		SPP// Results Indicator						6	(Ages 6-21)			

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Wicomico County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

REVISED - APRIL 5, 2018 Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017 Wicomico County

-	-		
)			
1			
ı			
1			
I			
Ì			
	A 200 C		
	and other party of		
	Contract of the		
	-		
•			
•	-		

	Required Action	Disproportionality for Black/African American students with an Intellectual Disability and for Black/African American students with an Emotional Disability was identified. Submit	an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1 2018	The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS	should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by racelethnicity and disability	Targeted review to be scheduled by MSDE, DSE/EIS.	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to the identification of	SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity <u>and</u> disability. To be decided FFY 2019.
dicators	Other Health Impairment	0.00	0.36	1.86	00.00	0.88	0.88	0.50	
Part B Ir	meituA	1.23	0.67	98.0	0.00	1.26	1.79	0.73	
FFY 2016 ¹ (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators	Speech or language Impairment	0.00	0.35	1.07	0.00	1.05	1.34	66.0	
FY 2016 ¹	Emotional Disability	0.00	0.00	2.88	0.00	0.81	0.57	0.19	
E	Specific Learning Disability	0.59	0.10	1.63	0.00	0.79	08.0	1.00	
	Intellectual Disability	1.58	0.87	2.00	0.00	0.61	0.51	0.75	
	icators cator	American Indian/Alaska Native	Asian	Black/African American	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	White	2 or more races	Hispanic/Latino	
	SPP/APR Indicators Compliance Indicator	FFY 2016 results: Disproportionate representation	(≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race	as a result of inappropriate identification	Discrepancy exists in the	category N size is ≥ 30	* N size is < 30		COMAR 13A.08.01.21
						10			

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Wicomico County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Wicomico County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017

		FFY 20	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators	17) Part B II	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous Results	Results
Results	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator	State	Local	Target		FFY	FFY
		Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
Ξ	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	100%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.06						
	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday				Target met, no further action required.		
12	COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100%	100%	Met		100%	100%
	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements				Target met, no further action required.		
13	COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	100%	100%	Met		100%	100%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Wicomico County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018 Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017 Wicomico County

	O	Other Data Considerations	Considera	tions		
SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous Results	Results
Results Indicator Compliance Indicator	State	Local	Target		FFY	FFY
	Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
Timely correction of noncompliance (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	100%
State reported data are timely and accurate. (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	100%
Number of State complaints filed	NA	1	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
Number of State complaints identified with violation(s)	NA	-	NA	Correction of the identified noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by August 2018.	NA	NA
Number of State findings from State complaints corrected in a timely manner.	NA	NA	NA	TBD FFY 2017.	NA	NA
Number of due process hearings filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
Number of due process hearings identified with violations	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
Fiscal Accountability: Number of findings identified	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Wicomico County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

REVISED April 5, 2018

Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 Determination Overview Part C & Part B July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is focused on state accountability for Part C and Part B Indicators defined in the Office of Special Education's (OSEP) State Performance Plan. Annually, the OSEP evaluates each state's performance on these Indicators, and, as a result, assigns each state to one of four levels of determination: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) anticipates receiving its current federal determination status for Part C and Part B in June 2018.

To receive a State determination, the IDEA requires states to evaluate each Local Lead Agency (LLA) for the IDEA Part C early intervention services, and each Local School System (LSS) for the IDEA Part B special education performance on the established Indicators. As a result of that evaluation, the state is to assign each LLA and LSS to one of four levels of determination status: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." In making determinations, the OSEP requires states to consider:

- Performance on Results and Compliance Indicators;
- Whether data submitted by public agencies are valid, reliable (accurate), and timely;
- Uncorrected noncompliance from other sources;
- Any audit findings; and
- Other information.

The MSDE, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS), uses a results-based rubric on identified indicators to rate the performance for each LLA and LSS in meeting the State's targets as defined by the State Performance Plan for Part C and Part B. The status and results for each LLA and LSS will be posted, as required, on the MSDE Public Website of State Performance Plan Results at http://mdideareport.org no later than June 1, 2018. For the FFY 2016 determinations, the MSDE, DSE/EIS has included additional indicators highlighted below in blue.

The following **Part C** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

Results	Compliance
2. Primary Service Setting	1. Timely Services
3A. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Emotional Skills	7. 45 Day Timeline
3B. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Knowledge and Skills	8A. Transition - Transition Outcomes
3C. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Use of appropriate behaviors	8B. Transition - Notification to LSS
4A. Family Survey-Know their rights	8C. Transition - Timely Planning Meeting
4B. Family Survey-Effectively communicate their	
children's needs	
4C. Family Survey-Help their children develop and learn	
5. Child Find 0-1	
6. Child Find 0-3	
Correction of Noncompliance	Data and Submission of Reports
Timely Correction of Noncompliance	Timely and Accurate

Fiscal	Complaints and Due Process Hearing
Fiscal Accountability	Number of State complaints filed
	Number of State complaints with violations
	Number of due process hearings filed
	Number of due process hearings with violations

The following **Part B** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

	Results		Compliance
1.	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular	4B.	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant
	diploma		Discrepancy Disaggregated by
2.	Students with IEPs dropping out		Race/Ethnicity
5A	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	9.	Disproportionate Representation
	class 80% or more of the day;		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity
5B	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	10.	Disproportionate Representation
	class less than 40% of the day; and		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity and
5C	LRE for Students Ages 6-21- In separate		Disability
	schools, residential facilities, or housebound/	11.	Initial Evaluation Timeline
See S. C.	hospital placements.	12.	Part C to B Transition
4A	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant	13.	Secondary Transition
	Discrepancy in Single or Multiple		
	Suspensions/Expulsions > than 10 days.		
8A	Preschool Parent Survey; or		
8B	School Age Parent Survey.		
14	Post-School Outcomes		
	Correction of Noncompliance		Data and Submission of Reports
Timely	y Correction of Noncompliance	Time	ly and Accurate
	Fiscal		Complaints and Due Process Hearings
Fiscal	Accountability	Numl	per of State complaints filed
		Numl	per of State complaints with violations
		Numl	per of due process hearings filed
		Numl	per of due process hearings with violations

The MSDE supports the efforts and work of each LLA and LSS to improve performance and identify and correct noncompliance with federal and State requirements. Programmatic technical assistance and monitoring may include, but is not limited to teleconference calls, targeted technical assistance, professional learning opportunities, targeted funds for improvement, and onsite visits. In certain circumstances, enforcement options may be necessary for sustained poor performance and/or ongoing noncompliance.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a), if Maryland determines, for two consecutive years, a public agency needs assistance under §300.603(b)(1)(ii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Advise the public agency of available sources of technical assistance that may help to address the identified areas in which the public agency needs assistance;
- (2) Direct the use of federal funds, in accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a)(2), on the area or areas in which the public agency needs assistance; and/or
- (3) Identify the public agency as a high-risk grantee and impose special conditions on the public agency application for federal funds under the IDEA.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(b), if Maryland determines, for three or more consecutive years, that a public agency needs intervention under §300.603(b)(1)(iii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must implement enforcement actions. The MSDE may:

(1) Take any of the actions described in 34 C.F.R. §300.604(a);

- (2) Take one or more of the following actions:
- (i) Requires the public agency to prepare a corrective action plan or improvement plan if the MSDE determines that the public agency should be able to correct the problem within one year.
- (ii) Require the public agency to enter into a compliance agreement under section 457 of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended, 20 U.S.C. §1221 et seq. (GEPA), if the MSDE has reason to believe that the public agency cannot correct the problem within one year.
- (iii) For each year of the determination, withholds not less than 20 percent and not more than 50 percent of the State's funds under Section 611(e) of the IDEA, until the MSDE determines the public agency has sufficiently addressed the areas in which the public agency needs intervention.
- (iv) Seeks to recover funds under Section 452 of the GEPA.
- (v) Withholds, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under Part B of the IDEA.

In addition to the enforcement actions described above, if the MSDE determines a LLA or LSS needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of the IDEA or that there is a substantial failure to comply with any condition of a public agency's eligibility under the IDEA, in accordance with 34 C.F.R. §300.604(c), the MSDE may take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Recover Funds under section 452 of the GEPA; or
- (2) Withhold, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under the IDEA.

Prior to withholding any funds under the IDEA, the MSDE provides reasonable notice and an opportunity for a hearing to the public agency involved, pursuant to the procedures in in 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.180 through 300.183.

Framework for Assistance and Intervention

Needs Assistance

The process for the provision of assistance is dependent upon the degree of need within each LSS or LLA. The types of assistance must be individualized to address the root causes of the problem(s) for each indicator(s) in order to meet the specific identified needs. The MSDE will identify:

- What needs to change;
- How frequently and in what manner the local school system reports on progress; and
- The MSDE contact for support, information, documentation, and follow-up.

In collaboration with the MSDE, LSSs/LLAs will identify the type, amount, and frequency of assistance needed to address the specific needs. A wide variety of assistance is available. The MSDE is available to consult with LSSs/LLAs by telephone, fax, email, mail, or in-person. To enable a LSS to improve performance and meet compliance available assistance may include, but is not limited to the MSDE assisting a LSS/LLA to:

- Clarify/examine/develop/revise policies and procedures;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system administration and system of general supervision;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system of self-monitoring;
- Provide training/professional development;
- Access the advice of experts to address the area(s) of need, including explicit plans for addressing the area for concern within a specified period of time;

- Identify and implement professional development, instructional strategies, and methods of instruction that are based on scientifically based research;
- Designate and use distinguished superintendents, principals, special education administrators, special education teachers, and other teachers to provide advice, assistance, and support;
- Devise additional approaches to providing assistance, such as collaborating with institutions of higher education, educational service agencies, national centers of technical assistance supported under IDEA, and private providers of scientifically based technical assistance;
- Provide access to additional tools and resources;
- Share sample forms, procedures, processes;
- Provide information on where additional information, resources, support, and other organizations for support may be located;
- Examine/revise data collection;
- Improve collaboration/coordination with internal and external colleagues;
- Provide local director networking opportunities;
- Develop specialized programs/services;
- Examine options for increasing/reallocating personnel
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise fiscal management procedures and documentation
- Use evaluative measures to validate progress and correction of previous noncompliance
- Provide access to discretionary funds.

Needs Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Intervention" indicates a need for assistance in either performance and/or compliance areas within the LSS/LLA General Supervision process. Using the National Center for Special Education Accountability Monitoring (NCSEAM) "Developing and Implementing an Effective System of General Supervision: Part B," MSDE will direct the LSSILLA to examine, clarify, and develop an effective system of general supervision to address results and compliance. This may require more frequent MSDE oversight and direct onsite follow-up.

In collaboration with the LSSILLA, the MSDE may determine a local school system needs additional assistance beyond that listed above in the determination category of "Needs Assistance." Additional supports and required actions may include but are not limited to:

- Mandatory review of the local school system's system of general supervision to include:
 - o Local Self-Assessment of Performance and Compliance:
 - o Policies, procedures, and effective practices, including the local school system's required documentation of implementation;
 - o Integrated Monitoring Activities;
 - o Fiscal Management;
 - o Data Processes and Results;
 - o Improvement, Correction, Incentives, and Sanctions;
 - o Effective Dispute Resolution; and
 - o Directed Targeted Assistance and Professional Development.
- Identification and prioritization of corrective actions based upon the analysis of their system of general supervision, needs and resources;
- Development and implementation of required Corrective Action Plans with required timelines for submission, provision of evidence and data to demonstrate progress and correction as soon as possible but in no case later than one year;
- MSDE prescribing the use of discretionary funds;

- Identification of a local school system as a high risk grantee if a local school system is in the category of Needs Intervention for 2 years;
- Required quarterly reporting on status of correction of noncompliance

Needs Substantial Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Substantial Intervention" indicates a need for significant assistance and support in multiple results and compliance indicators. In addition to the available assistance listed above, to address "Needs Intervention," additional supports and required actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Reduce or eliminate the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Passthrough funds;
- Withhold or reduce Part B Passthrough funds pending completion of the corrective actions;
- Withhold or reduce State funds for special education services pending the completion of corrective actions;
- Assign MSDE staff on-site to assist in the completion of the corrective actions; and
- Assign a monitor to oversee the local school system's special education programs, with the responsibility for the costs of monitoring to be determined by the Department.

Prior to reducing or withholding funds, ail requirements regarding proper notice by MSDE to the local school system shall be met, consistent with 20 U.S.C. §1413(c) and (d).

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	CDD /ADD Inc	dicators		FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	SPP/APR Inc sults Indicator C		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
1	Students with IEPs gra diploma - 4 year cohor 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01	t (ESSA lag data based on	≥ 65.91%	54.37%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	54.02%	60.26%
2	Students with IEPs dro leaver rate based on lag COMAR 13A.08.01.07	,	≤ 3.51%	3.40%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	3.29%	3.77%
	State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA AMO for Reading		NA	NA	NA	Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.	NA	NA
3A	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	AMO for Math	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA
2D	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA	Math	≥ 95.00%	98.50%	Met	Target met, no further action required.		NA
3B	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	Reading	≥ 95.00%	98.61%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	99.32%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Wicomico County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

				FFY	2016 (SFY 2	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR In	dicator	e		FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re			nce Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	State Assessment: Proficiency rate for	3	Mathematics	16.50%	25.47%	Met	Target met in math for 3rd grade.	19.44%	NA
	children with IEPs against grade level, modified and	3	Reading	18.60%	14.29%	Not Met	Target not met in reading for 3rd grade. Target not met in reading and math for the 4th grade through the 8th grade and high school. Submit an	10.34%	NA
	alternate academic achievement	4	Mathematics	16.15%	12.59%	Not Met	Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted	5.26%	NA
	standards	4	Reading	21.10%	9.15%	Not Met	to improve student results.	7.51%	NA
		5	Mathematics	18.85%	6.85%	Not Met		9.85%	NA
		3	Reading	23.60%	5.48%	Not Met		8.27%	NA
3C		6	Mathematics	20.55%	4.92%	Not Met		8.33%	NA
		6	Reading	26.10%	5.83%	Not Met		4.51%	NA
		7	Mathematics	22.25%	10.48%	Not Met		5.88%	NA
		,	Reading	28.60%	8.80%	Not Met	=	9.49%	NA
		8	Mathematics	23.95%	6.56%	Not Met		9.38%	NA
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05		Reading	31.10%	4.03%	Not Met		8.00%	NA
	COMAR 13A.03.06.05	HS	Mathematics	56.08%	31.17%	Not Met		46.58%	NA
	13/1.03.00.03	113	Reading	50.52%	7.89%	Not Met		33.80%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Wicomico County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016			Previou	s Results
D.	esults Indicator Compliance Indicator	State	Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY
_ ne	compliance mulcator	Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	1.14*	NA	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	0.67	0.79
	Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	3.58*	3.63

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Wicomico County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	016 (SFY 20)17) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indica	store		FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	sults Indicator Com		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose day (MD SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	LRE is $\geq 80\%$ of the	≥ 69.90%	77.52%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	77.21%	77.77%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose day (MD SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	LRE is $\leq 40\%$ of the	≤ 11.76%	12.63%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	13.94%	13.57%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose public/private day and resi home and hospital facilitie COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16	dential facilities and	≤ 5.94%	0.87%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	0.67%	0.64%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Se childhood setting the majo COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≥ 64.70%	61.24%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	54.82%	53.24%	
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≤ 18.30%	0.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	1.52%	4.17%
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥68.90%	0.00%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	100%	53.19%
/A	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥68.00%	66.67%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	100%	66.30%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Wicomico County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators								
	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			FFY 2016			Previous Results		
Re				State Local Target Target Results¹ Met		Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014	
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥67.60%	66.67%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	100%	52.31%	
,,,	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥57.20%	83.33%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	52.36%	
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥63.10%	50.00%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	100%	53.06%	
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥65.70%	83.33%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	72.83%	
8	Parent Survey	Age 3-5	≥83.00%	82.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	27.00%	53.00%	
O		Age 6-21	≥70.00%	70.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	40.00%	56.00%	
	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they	A. Higher ED w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥25.00%	20.24%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	10.23%	9.09%	
14	left school, and were:	B. Higher ED or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥51.00%	64.29%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	55.68%	51.14%	
	COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	C. Higher ED or training program or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥57.00%	75.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	73.86%	60.23%	

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Wicomico County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators								
	SPP/APR Indicato		FFY 2016			Action Required	Previous Results		
Results	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014	
	(≥ 2.0) in the rate of India	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	0.00	0.00	
	expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Asian	< 2.00	0.00	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes	0.00	0.00	
	Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30	Black/African American	< 2.00	2.00*	NA	into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to suspension and	1.19	7.04*	
4B		Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	*	Met		*	0.00	
(Ages 6-21)	* No suspension	White	< 2.00	0.14	Met	expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity.	0.37	0.86	
	of disabled or nondisabled students	2 or more races	< 2.00	0.86	Met	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities	0.00	0.00	
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.00	Met	ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.77	2.18	

Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Wicomico County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators									
	SPP/APR Indicato		FFY 2016			Action Required	Previous Results			
Results	Indicator Comp	lliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014		
	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	0.46	Met	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	0.49	1.21		
	ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30	Asian	< 2.00	0.30	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS	0.30	0.38		
		Black/African American	< 2.00	1.52	Met		1.51	1.54		
9		Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.74		
(Ages 6-21)		White	< 2.00	0.87	Met		0.89	0.79		
		2 or more races	< 2.00	0.99	Met		1.02	0.90		
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.83	Met	should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	1.80	0.90		

Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Wicomico County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Wicomico County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

	FFY 2016 ¹ (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators										
	SPP/APR Indicators Compliance Indicator		Intellectual Disability	Specific Learning Disability	Emotional Disability	Speech or language Impairment	Autism	Other Health Impairment	Required Action		
	FFY 2016 results: Disproportionate representation	American Indian/Alaska Native	1.58	0.59	0.00	0.00	1.23	0.00	Disproportionality for Black/African American students with an Intellectual Disability and for Black/African American students with an Emotional Disability was identified. Submit		
	$(\geq 2.0) \text{ in disability categories by race}$	Asian	0.87	0.10	0.00	0.35	0.67	0.36	an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.		
	as a result of inappropriate identification	a result of Black/African American		1.63	2.88	1.07	0.86	1.86	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018.		
	Discrepancy exists in the	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS		
10	category N size is ≥ 30	White	0.61	0.79	0.81	1.05	1.26	0.88	should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity <u>and</u> disability.		
	* N size is < 30	2 or more races	0.51	0.80	0.57	1.34	1.79	0.88	Targeted review to be scheduled by MSDE, DSE/EIS.		
		Hispanic/Latino	0.75	1.00	0.19	0.99	0.73	0.50	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to the identification of		
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21								SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity and disability. To be decided FFY 2019.		

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Wicomico County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Wicomico County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators								
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previou	Previous Results		
Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014		
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days COMAR 13A.05.01.06	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	100%		
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	100%		
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	100%		

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Wicomico County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Wicomico County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

	Other Data Considerations							
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous Results		
Results I	ndicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014	
	Timely correction of noncompliance (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	100%	
	State reported data are timely and accurate. (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	100%	
	Number of State complaints filed	NA	1	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA	
	Number of State complaints identified with violation(s)	NA	1	NA	Correction of the identified noncompliance must be demonstrated and verified by August 2018.	NA	NA	
	Number of State findings from State complaints corrected in a timely manner.	NA	NA	NA	TBD FFY 2017.	NA	NA	
	Number of due process hearings filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA	
	Number of due process hearings identified with violations	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA	
	Fiscal Accountability: Number of findings identified	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA	
	National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA	

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Wicomico County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services



200 West Baltimore Street • Baltimore, MD 21201 • 410-767-0100 • 410-333-6442 TTY/TDD • marylandpublicschools.org

March 8, 2018

Mr. Louis Taylor Superintendent Worcester Co. Board of Education 6270 Worcester Highway Newark, MD 21841

Dear Mr. Louis Taylor:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]¹. The MSDE, DSE/EIS uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each local school system in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2016 Part B determinations, the Worcester County Board of Education has achieved the determination status of "Meets Requirements."

Attached please find supporting documentation:

- 1. FFY 2016 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a FFY 2017 local determination status on page two please note the addition of fiscal accountability, State complaints/due process hearings, and preschool least restrictive environment data);
- 2. FFY 2016 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a six-year graduated results driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year); and
- 3. FFY 2016 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21).

The MSDE, DSE/EIS is committed to maintaining a balanced focus on results and compliance to narrow the school readiness and achievement gaps. Please note in FFY 2019 the determination status for each local school system will reflect the July 1, 2018 proposed revision to Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) regarding the identification of significant disproportionality. Maryland's proposed revised definition of significant disproportionality, as required by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), includes the three to five years of age population. Beginning in 2016, the DSE/EIS has provided guidance, technical assistance, and professional learning opportunities to support local school systems in the implementation of revised data collection processes and review of local policies, procedures, and practices. Please note that FFY 2016-17 and FFY 2017-18 data capturing suspension/expulsion (in and out of school), identification by race/ethnicity, and identification by race/ethnicity and disability will be used for the FFY 2019 local determination status.

¹ USDE, OSEP uses state National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) participation and performance data for students with disabilities to assign Maryland's special education determination status (see attached "NAEP"). DSE/EIS will be analyzing local testing protocols and decisions for the NAEP assessment.

Mr. Louis Taylor March 8, 2018 Page Two

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the DSE/EIS five-year strategic plan provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon a comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), the Worcester County Board of Education has been assigned the "Universal" tier for the delivery of the DSE/EIS technical assistance model for results.

Local school systems are strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DSE/EIS will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to equity and efficiency for excellence. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of the Worcester County Board of Education to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Marcella E. Franczkowski, M.S. Assistant State Superintendent Division of Special Education/ Early Intervention Services

Marcella E Fraxczkowske

MEF/AM/cc

Attachments

c: Karen B. Salmon Carol A. Williamson Rae Ann Record

Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

FFY 2016 Determination Overview Part C & Part B July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) is focused on state accountability for Part C and Part B Indicators defined in the Office of Special Education's (OSEP) State Performance Plan. Annually, the OSEP evaluates each state's performance on these Indicators, and, as a result, assigns each state to one of four levels of determination: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) anticipates receiving its current federal determination status for Part C and Part B in June 2018.

To receive a State determination, the IDEA requires states to evaluate each Local Lead Agency (LLA) for the IDEA Part C early intervention services, and each Local School System (LSS) for the IDEA Part B special education performance on the established Indicators. As a result of that evaluation, the state is to assign each LLA and LSS to one of four levels of determination status: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention." In making determinations, the OSEP requires states to consider:

- Performance on Results and Compliance Indicators;
- Whether data submitted by public agencies are valid, reliable (accurate), and timely;
- Uncorrected noncompliance from other sources;
- Any audit findings; and
- Other information.

The MSDE, Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services (DSE/EIS), uses a results-based rubric on identified indicators to rate the performance for each LLA and LSS in meeting the State's targets as defined by the State Performance Plan for Part C and Part B. The status and results for each LLA and LSS will be posted, as required, on the MSDE Public Website of State Performance Plan Results at http://mdideareport.org no later than June 1, 2018. For the FFY 2016 determinations, the MSDE, DSE/EIS has included additional indicators highlighted below in blue.

The following **Part C** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

Results	Compliance
2. Primary Service Setting	1. Timely Services
3A. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Emotional Skills	7. 45 Day Timeline
3B. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Knowledge and Skills	8A. Transition - Transition Outcomes
3C. Birth – 4 Outcomes – Use of appropriate behaviors	8B. Transition - Notification to LSS
4A. Family Survey-Know their rights	8C. Transition - Timely Planning Meeting
4B. Family Survey-Effectively communicate their	
children's needs	
4C. Family Survey-Help their children develop and learn	
5. Child Find 0-1	
6. Child Find 0-3	
Correction of Noncompliance	Data and Submission of Reports
Timely Correction of Noncompliance	Timely and Accurate

Fiscal	Complaints and Due Process Hearing
Fiscal Accountability	Number of State complaints filed
	Number of State complaints with violations
	Number of due process hearings filed
	Number of due process hearings with violations

The following **Part B** indicators are included in assigning determinations:

	Results		Compliance			
1.	Students with IEPs graduating with a regular	4B.	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant			
	diploma		Discrepancy Disaggregated by			
2.	Students with IEPs dropping out		Race/Ethnicity			
5A	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	9.	Disproportionate Representation			
	class 80% or more of the day;		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity			
5B	LRE for Students Ages 6-21 -Inside the regular	10.	Disproportionate Representation			
	class less than 40% of the day; and		Disaggregated by Race/Ethnicity and			
5C	LRE for Students Ages 6-21- In separate		Disability			
	schools, residential facilities, or housebound/	11.	Initial Evaluation Timeline			
See S. C.	hospital placements.	12.	Part C to B Transition			
4A	Suspension/Expulsion - Significant	13.	Secondary Transition			
	Discrepancy in Single or Multiple					
	Suspensions/Expulsions > than 10 days.					
8A	Preschool Parent Survey; or					
8B	School Age Parent Survey.					
14	Post-School Outcomes					
	Correction of Noncompliance		Data and Submission of Reports			
Timely	y Correction of Noncompliance	Time	ly and Accurate			
	Fiscal		Complaints and Due Process Hearings			
Fiscal	Accountability	Number of State complaints filed				
			Number of State complaints with violations			
		Numl	per of due process hearings filed			
		Number of due process hearings with violations				

The MSDE supports the efforts and work of each LLA and LSS to improve performance and identify and correct noncompliance with federal and State requirements. Programmatic technical assistance and monitoring may include, but is not limited to teleconference calls, targeted technical assistance, professional learning opportunities, targeted funds for improvement, and onsite visits. In certain circumstances, enforcement options may be necessary for sustained poor performance and/or ongoing noncompliance.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a), if Maryland determines, for two consecutive years, a public agency needs assistance under §300.603(b)(1)(ii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Advise the public agency of available sources of technical assistance that may help to address the identified areas in which the public agency needs assistance;
- (2) Direct the use of federal funds, in accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(a)(2), on the area or areas in which the public agency needs assistance; and/or
- (3) Identify the public agency as a high-risk grantee and impose special conditions on the public agency application for federal funds under the IDEA.

In accordance with 34 C.P.R. §300.604(b), if Maryland determines, for three or more consecutive years, that a public agency needs intervention under §300.603(b)(1)(iii) in implementing the requirements of the IDEA, the MSDE must implement enforcement actions. The MSDE may:

(1) Take any of the actions described in 34 C.F.R. §300.604(a);

- (2) Take one or more of the following actions:
- (i) Requires the public agency to prepare a corrective action plan or improvement plan if the MSDE determines that the public agency should be able to correct the problem within one year.
- (ii) Require the public agency to enter into a compliance agreement under section 457 of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended, 20 U.S.C. §1221 et seq. (GEPA), if the MSDE has reason to believe that the public agency cannot correct the problem within one year.
- (iii) For each year of the determination, withholds not less than 20 percent and not more than 50 percent of the State's funds under Section 611(e) of the IDEA, until the MSDE determines the public agency has sufficiently addressed the areas in which the public agency needs intervention.
- (iv) Seeks to recover funds under Section 452 of the GEPA.
- (v) Withholds, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under Part B of the IDEA.

In addition to the enforcement actions described above, if the MSDE determines a LLA or LSS needs substantial intervention in implementing the requirements of the IDEA or that there is a substantial failure to comply with any condition of a public agency's eligibility under the IDEA, in accordance with 34 C.F.R. §300.604(c), the MSDE may take one or more of the following actions:

- (1) Recover Funds under section 452 of the GEPA; or
- (2) Withhold, in whole or in part, any further payments to the public agency under the IDEA.

Prior to withholding any funds under the IDEA, the MSDE provides reasonable notice and an opportunity for a hearing to the public agency involved, pursuant to the procedures in in 34 C.F.R. §§ 300.180 through 300.183.

Framework for Assistance and Intervention

Needs Assistance

The process for the provision of assistance is dependent upon the degree of need within each LSS or LLA. The types of assistance must be individualized to address the root causes of the problem(s) for each indicator(s) in order to meet the specific identified needs. The MSDE will identify:

- What needs to change;
- How frequently and in what manner the local school system reports on progress; and
- The MSDE contact for support, information, documentation, and follow-up.

In collaboration with the MSDE, LSSs/LLAs will identify the type, amount, and frequency of assistance needed to address the specific needs. A wide variety of assistance is available. The MSDE is available to consult with LSSs/LLAs by telephone, fax, email, mail, or in-person. To enable a LSS to improve performance and meet compliance available assistance may include, but is not limited to the MSDE assisting a LSS/LLA to:

- Clarify/examine/develop/revise policies and procedures;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system administration and system of general supervision;
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise system of self-monitoring;
- Provide training/professional development;
- Access the advice of experts to address the area(s) of need, including explicit plans for addressing the area for concern within a specified period of time;

- Identify and implement professional development, instructional strategies, and methods of instruction that are based on scientifically based research;
- Designate and use distinguished superintendents, principals, special education administrators, special education teachers, and other teachers to provide advice, assistance, and support;
- Devise additional approaches to providing assistance, such as collaborating with institutions of higher education, educational service agencies, national centers of technical assistance supported under IDEA, and private providers of scientifically based technical assistance;
- Provide access to additional tools and resources;
- Share sample forms, procedures, processes;
- Provide information on where additional information, resources, support, and other organizations for support may be located;
- Examine/revise data collection;
- Improve collaboration/coordination with internal and external colleagues;
- Provide local director networking opportunities;
- Develop specialized programs/services;
- Examine options for increasing/reallocating personnel
- Clarify/examine/develop/revise fiscal management procedures and documentation
- Use evaluative measures to validate progress and correction of previous noncompliance
- Provide access to discretionary funds.

Needs Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Intervention" indicates a need for assistance in either performance and/or compliance areas within the LSS/LLA General Supervision process. Using the National Center for Special Education Accountability Monitoring (NCSEAM) "Developing and Implementing an Effective System of General Supervision: Part B," MSDE will direct the LSSILLA to examine, clarify, and develop an effective system of general supervision to address results and compliance. This may require more frequent MSDE oversight and direct onsite follow-up.

In collaboration with the LSSILLA, the MSDE may determine a local school system needs additional assistance beyond that listed above in the determination category of "Needs Assistance." Additional supports and required actions may include but are not limited to:

- Mandatory review of the local school system's system of general supervision to include:
 - o Local Self-Assessment of Performance and Compliance:
 - o Policies, procedures, and effective practices, including the local school system's required documentation of implementation;
 - o Integrated Monitoring Activities;
 - o Fiscal Management;
 - o Data Processes and Results;
 - o Improvement, Correction, Incentives, and Sanctions;
 - o Effective Dispute Resolution; and
 - o Directed Targeted Assistance and Professional Development.
- Identification and prioritization of corrective actions based upon the analysis of their system of general supervision, needs and resources;
- Development and implementation of required Corrective Action Plans with required timelines for submission, provision of evidence and data to demonstrate progress and correction as soon as possible but in no case later than one year;
- MSDE prescribing the use of discretionary funds;

- Identification of a local school system as a high risk grantee if a local school system is in the category of Needs Intervention for 2 years;
- Required quarterly reporting on status of correction of noncompliance

Needs Substantial Intervention

The determination category of "Needs Substantial Intervention" indicates a need for significant assistance and support in multiple results and compliance indicators. In addition to the available assistance listed above, to address "Needs Intervention," additional supports and required actions may include, but are not limited to:

- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Reduce or eliminate the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Discretionary funds;
- Redirect or target the use of funds allocated under IDEA Part B Passthrough funds;
- Withhold or reduce Part B Passthrough funds pending completion of the corrective actions;
- Withhold or reduce State funds for special education services pending the completion of corrective actions;
- Assign MSDE staff on-site to assist in the completion of the corrective actions; and
- Assign a monitor to oversee the local school system's special education programs, with the responsibility for the costs of monitoring to be determined by the Department.

Prior to reducing or withholding funds, ail requirements regarding proper notice by MSDE to the local school system shall be met, consistent with 20 U.S.C. §1413(c) and (d).

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Inc	licatore		FFY 2016		Previous Res		
Re	Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
1	Students with IEPs grad diploma - 4 year cohor 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.01.01	≥ 65.91%	76.47%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	80.00%	81.48%	
2	Students with IEPs dropping out (ESSA Option 2 leaver rate based on lag data for 2015-2016) COMAR 13A.08.01.07		≤ 3.51%	≤ 3.00%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	≤ 3.00%	≤ 3.00%
	State Assessment: AMO for disability subgroup in the LEA	AMO for Reading	NA	NA	NA	Waived by U.S. Department of Education. Change in methodology.	NA	NA
3A	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	AMO for Math	NA	NA	NA		NA	NA
2D	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA	Math	≥ 95.00%	99.80%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	00.229/	N/A
3B	COMAR 13A.05.11.05 COMAR 13A.03.06.05	Reading		99.57%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	99.33%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Worcester County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

				FFY	2016 (SFY 2	017) Part B	Indicators		
	SPP/APR Inc	dicator			FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	sults Indicator C			State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	State Assessment: Proficiency rate for	3	Mathematics	16.50%	34.78%	Met	in main for the 3" grade, 3" grade, 8" grade, and	31.58%	NA
	children with IEPs against grade level, modified and	3	Reading	18.60%	30.43%	Met	high school. Target not met in reading for the 4 th grade through	18.42%	NA
	alternate academic achievement	4	Mathematics	16.15%	14.29%	Not Met	the 8 th grade and high school. Target not met in math for the 4 th grade, 6 th grade, and 7 th grade.	20.51%	NA
	standards	4	Reading	21.10%	16.28%	Not Met	Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based	28.21%	NA
		5	Mathematics	18.85%	23.08%	Met	practices targeted to improve student results.	14.06%	NA
		3	Reading	23.60%	20.51%	Not Met		12.50%	NA
3C		6	Mathematics	20.55%	7.94%	Not Met		10.14%	NA
			Reading	26.10%	4.76%	Not Met		15.94%	NA
		7	Mathematics	22.25%	11.94%	Not Met		13.64%	NA
		,	Reading	28.60%	13.43%	Not Met		15.15%	NA
		8	Mathematics	23.95%	26.15%	Met		18.75%	NA
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	0	Reading	31.10%	15.38%	Not Met		20.83%	NA
	COMAR 13A.03.06.05	HS	Mathematics	56.08%	66.15%	Met		71.05%	NA
	1371.03.00.03	110	Reading	50.52%	39.06%	Not Met		50.00%	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Worcester County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

		FF1 2	2016 (SFY 20	JI7 Part B	mulcators	D .	- D U
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016			Previous Results	
Re	esults Indicator Compliance Indicator	State	Local	Target	Action Required	FFY	FFY
		Target	Results ¹	Met		2015	2014
ŀA	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	0.88	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	0.00	1.16
	Single suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled □ Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension of disabled or nondisabled students COMAR 13A.08.03.03	≤ 8.00	*	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and expulsion of SWD when compared to their nondisabled peers by race/ethnicity.	0.00	*

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Worcester County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	016 (SFY 20)17) Part B I	ndicators		
	CDD /ADD Indica	.tour		FFY 2016			Previous	Results
Re	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance Indicator		State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose day (MD SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	LRE is \geq 80% of the	≥ 69.90%	82.07%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	84.28%	88.04%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose day (MD SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≤ 11.76%	4.28%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	3.52%	1.88%	
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose public/private day and resi home and hospital facilitie COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16	dential facilities and	≤ 5.94%	5.79%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	6.37%	5.24%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in regular early childhood setting the majority of the day COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≥ 64.70%	44.24%	Not Met	Target not met. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted to improve student results.	51.85%	38.24%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class COMAR 13A.05.01.10		≤ 18.30%	14.03%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	18.52%	27.45%
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥68.90%	88.89%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	85.75%	79.31%
	skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥68.00%	70.59%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	81.82%	67.50%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Worcester County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20	17) Part B	Indicators		
	CDD/ADD India	ato vo		FFY 2016			Previous	s Results
Re	SPP/APR Indicator Com	pliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met	Action Required	FFY 2015	FFY 2014
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥67.60%	85.71%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	69.23%	74.29%
/B	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥57.20%	70.59%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	66.67%	62.50%
	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	1. Exits with substantial growth	≥63.10%	83.33%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	89.47%	72.41%
7C	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	2. Exits within age expectations	≥65.70%	79.41%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	78.79%	67.50%
8	Parent Survey	Age 3-5	≥83.00%	76.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	67.00%	92.00%
8	·	Age 6-21	≥70.00%	80.00%	NA	These data reflect baseline data and the revised target.	55.00%	67.00%
	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they	A. Higher ED w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥25.00%	Small cell size	NA	No further action required.	25.00%	28.07%
14	left school, and were:	B. Higher ED or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥51.00%	Small cell size	NA	No further action required.	62.50%	73.68%
	COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	C. Higher ED or training program or employed w/in 1 year of leaving HS	≥57.00%	Small cell size	NA	No further action required.	70.83%	77.19%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Worcester County Annual Data FFY 2016 Maryland State Department of Education Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

			FFY 2	2016 (SFY 20)17) Part B I	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indicato			FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous Results	
Results	Indicator Comp	liance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	0.00	Met	Disproportionality in the suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity was not identified. No further action required. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to suspension and expulsion of SWD by race/ethnicity. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the suspension and exclusion of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.00	0.00
	expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Asian	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.00
	Discrepancy exists in the category N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30 * No suspension	Black/African American	< 2.00	3.30*	NA		0.00	4.25*
4B		Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	*	Met		0.00	*
(Ages 6-21)		White	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.00
	of disabled or nondisabled students	2 or more races	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.00
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.00	Met		0.00	0.00

Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Worcester County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

	FFY 2016 (SFY 2017) Part B Indicators												
	SPP/APR Indicato			FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous Results						
Results	Indicator Comp	oliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014					
	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students	American Indian/Alaska Native	< 2.00	0.85	Met	Disproportionality in the identification of SWD by race or ethnicity was not identified. No further action required.	0.53	1.20					
	ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification Discrepancy exists in the category	Asian	< 2.00	0.61	Met	The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD by race/ethnicity. PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students with disabilities ages 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should ensure that its data collection processes are aligned with the new requirements and review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification of SWD ages 3-5 by race/ethnicity. To be decided FFY 2019.	0.60	0.58					
		Black/African American	< 2.00	1.59	Met		1.68	1.62					
9		Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	< 2.00	0.00	Met		3.74*	0.00					
(Ages 6-21)	N size is ≥ 30 * N size is < 30	White	< 2.00	0.82	Met		0.78	0.76					
		2 or more races	< 2.00	0.78	Met		0.73	0.65					
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	< 2.00	0.76	Met		0.74	0.84					

Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated.
 Worcester County Annual Data FFY 2016
 Maryland State Department of Education
 Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Worcester County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

				F	FY 2016 ¹	(SFY 2017)	Part B In	dicators	
	SPP/APR Indicators Compliance Indicator		Intellectual Disability	Specific Learning Disability	Emotional Disability	Speech or language Impairment	Autism	Other Health Impairment	Action Required
	FFY 2016 results: Disproportionate representation	American Indian/Alaska Native	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.57*	1.60	Disproportionality for White students with Autism was identified. Submit an Improvement Plan by May 7, 2018. Identify the root causes and evidence-based practices targeted
	(≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race	Asian	1.10	0.16	0.00	0.45	1.70	1.19	to improve student results. The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of
	as a result of inappropriate identification	Black/African American	3.01*	1.71	2.18*	0.79	0.61	1.91	significant disproportionality goes into effect July 1, 2018. The revised COMAR regulations will have an impact on the current methodology used by MSDE, DSE/EIS to calculate and
10	Discrepancy exists in the	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	to determine disproportionality for this indicator. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that may contribute to the identification
	category N size is ≥ 30	White	0.47	0.69	0.62	1.07	2.09	0.79	of SWD by race/ethnicity <u>and</u> disability. Targeted review to be scheduled by MSDE, DSE/EIS.
	* N size is < 30	2 or more races	0.80	0.76	1.05	1.02	0.59	0.68	PLEASE NOTE: The proposed change to COMAR regarding the definition of significant disproportionality for students
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	0.36	1.14	0.85	1.54	0.22	0.32	with disabilities aged 3-5 goes into effect July 1, 2018. The LSS should review its policies, procedures, and practices to determine the factors that contribute to the identification of SWD aged 3-5 by race/ethnicity and disability. To be decided FFY 2019.

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Worcester County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Worcester County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

		FFY 20)16 (SFY 201	l7) Part B li	ndicators		
	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	s Results
Results	Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days COMAR 13A.05.01.06	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required. Subsequent data demonstrated that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2015 was corrected within one year of the written finding.	99.46%	99.30%
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	100%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	100%

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Worcester County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services

Worcester County Annual Data on SPP/APR Part B Indicators Notice of Performance for the Period July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 REVISED – APRIL 5, 2018

	Ot	ther Data (Considera	tions		
SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2016		Action Required	Previous	Results
Results Indicator Compliance Indicator	State Target	Local Results ¹	Target Met		FFY 2015	FFY 2014
Timely correction of noncompliance (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	100%
State reported data are timely and accurate. (Specific actions required are embedded within each Results and Compliance Indicator and used to establish local determination status)	100%	100%	Met	Target met, no further action required.	100%	100%
Number of State complaints filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
Number of State complaints identified with violation(s)	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
Number of State findings from State complaints corrected in a timely manner	NA	NA	NA	TBD FFY 2017.	NA	NA
Number of due process hearings filed	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
Number of due process hearings identified with violations	NA	0	NA	No further action required.	NA	NA
Fiscal Accountability: Number of findings identified	NA	1	NA	Target met. No further action required. The one finding of noncompliance identified on August 3, 2017 was corrected and verified within one year from the issuance of the written finding.	NA	NA
National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)	NA	NA	NA	Not considered for 2016-2017 Local Determination Status. (See attachment for Statewide Data).	NA	NA

¹Local results are based on current data unless otherwise indicated. Worcester County Annual Data FFY 2016
Maryland State Department of Education
Division of Special Education/Early Intervention Services